

# Universality of Measure Space<sup>1</sup>

Noboru Endou  
National Institute of Technology, Gifu College  
2236-2 Kamimakuwa, Motosu, Gifu, Japan

Yasunari Shidama  
Karuiwaza Hotch 244-1  
Nagano, Japan

**Summary.** In this paper we formalize in Mizar [4], [5] and we prove the interconversion between Cartesian product types and tuple types and their integration for measures in higher dimensional spaces. In Mizar, two types of representations are mainly used for higher-dimensional sets: those using direct products and those using tuples. The direct product type is suitable for recursively extending from lower dimensions to higher dimensions, but is not suitable for representations of general orders such as  $n$ -dimensional. The tuple type compensates for this disadvantage and is also used as the domain of multivariable functions. However, the direct relationship (universality) between Cartesian product type and tuple type has not yet been demonstrated.

In this paper, we prove the universality between Cartesian product type and tuple type, and construct a measure on the set of tuple types [12] and [1]. We then show that the integral over the Cartesian product type coincides with the integral over the set of tuple types.

MSC: 28A35 68V20

Keywords: product measure; Lebesgue integration

MML identifier: MEASUR14, version: 8.1.14 5.85.1476

## 1. UNIVERSALITY OF CARTESIAN PRODUCT TYPE SETS AND TUPLE TYPE SETS

Now we state the propositions:

---

<sup>1</sup>This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI 23K11242.

(1) Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , and a function  $f$  from  $X$  into  $Y$ . Suppose  $f$  is bijective. Then

(i)  $\circ f$  is bijective, and

(ii) for every subset  $s$  of  $X$ ,  $(\circ f)(s) = f^\circ s$ .

PROOF: For every object  $y$  such that  $y \in 2^Y$  there exists an object  $x$  such that  $x \in 2^X$  and  $y = (\circ f)(x)$  by [7, (77)].  $\square$

(2) Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , a function  $f$  from  $X$  into  $Y$ , and a field  $S$  of subsets of  $X$ . If  $f$  is bijective, then  $(\circ f)^\circ S$  is a field of subsets of  $Y$ .

PROOF:  $\circ f$  is bijective. Reconsider  $S_1 = (\circ f)^\circ S$  as a family of subsets of  $Y$ . For every sets  $A, B$  such that  $A, B \in S_1$  holds  $A \cap B \in S_1$  by (1), [7, (62)]. For every subset  $A$  of  $Y$  such that  $A \in S_1$  holds  $A^c \in S_1$  by (1), [7, (64)], [16, (113)].  $\square$

Let  $X, Y$  be non empty sets,  $f$  be a function from  $X$  into  $Y$ , and  $S$  be a field of subsets of  $X$ . Assume  $f$  is bijective. The functor  $\text{CopyField}(f, S)$  yielding a field of subsets of  $Y$  is defined by the term

(Def. 1)  $(\circ f)^\circ S$ .

Now we state the proposition:

(3) Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , a function  $f$  from  $X$  into  $Y$ , and a  $\sigma$ -field  $S$  of subsets of  $X$ . Suppose  $f$  is bijective. Then  $(\circ f)^\circ S$  is a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $Y$ .

PROOF: Set  $S_1 = (\circ f)^\circ S$ .  $\circ f$  is bijective. For every sequence  $A_1$  of subsets of  $Y$  such that  $\text{rng } A_1 \subseteq S_1$  holds  $\text{Intersection } A_1 \in S_1$  by [8, (4)], [9, (3)], [13, (13)], (1).  $\square$

Let  $X, Y$  be non empty sets,  $f$  be a function from  $X$  into  $Y$ , and  $S$  be a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $X$ . Assume  $f$  is bijective. The functor  $\text{CopyField}(f, S)$  yielding a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $Y$  is defined by the term

(Def. 2)  $(\circ f)^\circ S$ .

Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , a function  $f$  from  $X$  into  $Y$ , a field  $S$  of subsets of  $X$ , and a measure  $M$  on  $S$ . Now we state the propositions:

(4) Suppose  $f$  is bijective. Then

(i) there exists a function  $G$  from  $S$  into  $\text{CopyField}(f, S)$  such that  $G = \circ f \upharpoonright S$  and  $\text{dom } G = S$  and  $\text{rng } G = \text{CopyField}(f, S)$  and  $G$  is bijective, and

(ii) there exists a function  $F$  from  $\text{CopyField}(f, S)$  into  $S$  such that  $F = (\circ f \upharpoonright S)^{-1}$  and  $\text{rng } F = S$  and  $\text{dom } F = \text{CopyField}(f, S)$  and  $F$  is bijective.

(5) Suppose  $f$  is bijective. Then there exists a measure  $M_1$  on  $\text{CopyField}(f, S)$  such that

(i)  $M_1 = M \cdot ((^\circ f \upharpoonright S)^{-1})$ , and

(ii) for every element  $s$  of  $\text{CopyField}(f, S)$ , there exists an element  $t$  of  $S$  such that  $s = f^\circ t$  and  $M_1(s) = M(t)$ .

PROOF: Consider  $F$  being a function from  $\text{CopyField}(f, S)$  into  $S$  such that  $F = (^\circ f \upharpoonright S)^{-1}$  and  $\text{rng } F = S$  and  $\text{dom } F = \text{CopyField}(f, S)$  and  $F$  is bijective. Consider  $G$  being a function from  $S$  into  $\text{CopyField}(f, S)$  such that  $G = ^\circ f \upharpoonright S$  and  $\text{dom } G = S$  and  $\text{rng } G = \text{CopyField}(f, S)$  and  $G$  is bijective. Reconsider  $M_1 = M \cdot F$  as a function from  $\text{CopyField}(f, S)$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ .  $(^\circ f \upharpoonright S)(\emptyset) = f^\circ \emptyset$ . For every element  $s$  of  $\text{CopyField}(f, S)$ , there exists an element  $t$  of  $S$  such that  $s = f^\circ t$  and  $M_1(s) = M(t)$  by [7, (49)], (1), [7, (13), (34)]. For every elements  $A, B$  of  $\text{CopyField}(f, S)$  such that  $A$  misses  $B$  and  $A \cup B \in \text{CopyField}(f, S)$  holds  $M_1(A \cup B) = M_1(A) + M_1(B)$  by [7, (62)], (1), [7, (49), (34)].  $\square$

Let  $X, Y$  be non empty sets,  $f$  be a function from  $X$  into  $Y$ ,  $S$  be a field of subsets of  $X$ , and  $M$  be a measure on  $S$ . Assume  $f$  is bijective. The functor **CopyMeasure( $f, M$ )** yielding a measure on  $\text{CopyField}(f, S)$  is defined by

(Def. 3)  $it = M \cdot ((^\circ f \upharpoonright S)^{-1})$  and for every element  $s$  of  $\text{CopyField}(f, S)$ , there exists an element  $t$  of  $S$  such that  $s = f^\circ t$  and  $it(s) = M(t)$ .

Now we state the proposition:

(6) Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , a function  $f$  from  $X$  into  $Y$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S$  of subsets of  $X$ , and a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M$  on  $S$ . Suppose  $f$  is bijective. Then there exists a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $\text{CopyField}(f, S)$  such that

(i)  $M_1 = M \cdot ((^\circ f \upharpoonright S)^{-1})$ , and

(ii) for every element  $s$  of  $\text{CopyField}(f, S)$ , there exists an element  $t$  of  $S$  such that  $s = f^\circ t$  and  $M_1(s) = M(t)$ .

PROOF: Reconsider  $S_0 = S$  as a field of subsets of  $X$ . Consider  $F$  being a function from  $\text{CopyField}(f, S_0)$  into  $S_0$  such that  $F = (^\circ f \upharpoonright S_0)^{-1}$  and  $\text{rng } F = S_0$  and  $\text{dom } F = \text{CopyField}(f, S_0)$  and  $F$  is bijective. Consider  $G$  being a function from  $S_0$  into  $\text{CopyField}(f, S_0)$  such that  $G = ^\circ f \upharpoonright S_0$  and  $\text{dom } G = S_0$  and  $\text{rng } G = \text{CopyField}(f, S_0)$  and  $G$  is bijective. Consider  $M_1$  being a measure on  $\text{CopyField}(f, S_0)$  such that  $M_1 = M \cdot ((^\circ f \upharpoonright S_0)^{-1})$  and for every element  $s$  of  $\text{CopyField}(f, S_0)$ , there exists an element  $t$  of  $S_0$  such that  $s = f^\circ t$  and  $M_1(s) = M(t)$ . For every sequence  $s$  of separated subsets of  $\text{CopyField}(f, S)$ ,  $\overline{\sum} M_1 \cdot s = M_1(\bigcup \text{rng } s)$  by [7, (62), (13)], [8, (63)], [9, (3)].  $\square$

Let  $X, Y$  be non empty sets,  $f$  be a function from  $X$  into  $Y$ ,  $S$  be a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $X$ , and  $M$  be a  $\sigma$ -measure on  $S$ . Assume  $f$  is bijective. The functor **CopyMeasure**( $f, M$ ) yielding a  $\sigma$ -measure on  $\text{CopyField}(f, S)$  is defined by

(Def. 4)  $it = M \cdot ((^\circ f \upharpoonright S)^{-1})$  and for every element  $s$  of  $\text{CopyField}(f, S)$ , there exists an element  $t$  of  $S$  such that  $s = f^\circ t$  and  $it(s) = M(t)$ .

Let  $m$  be a non zero natural number and  $X$  be a non-empty,  $m$ -element finite sequence. The functor **Pt2FinSeq**( $X$ ) yielding an  $m$ -element finite sequence is defined by

(Def. 5) there exists a function  $i_1$  from  $\prod_{\text{FS}} \text{SubFin}(X, 1)$  into  $\prod \text{SubFin}(X, 1)$  such that  $it(1) = i_1$  and  $i_1$  is bijective and for every object  $x$  such that  $x \in \prod_{\text{FS}} \text{SubFin}(X, 1)$  holds  $i_1(x) = \langle x \rangle$  and for every non zero natural number  $i$  such that  $i < m$  there exists a function  $F_2$  from  $\prod_{\text{FS}} \text{SubFin}(X, i)$  into  $\prod \text{SubFin}(X, i)$  and there exists a function  $I_3$  from  $\prod_{\text{FS}} \text{SubFin}(X, i) \times \text{ElmFin}(X, i + 1)$  into  $\prod \text{SubFin}(X, i + 1)$  such that  $F_2 = it(i)$  and  $I_3 = it(i + 1)$  and  $F_2$  is bijective and  $I_3$  is bijective and for every objects  $x, y$  such that  $x \in \prod_{\text{FS}} \text{SubFin}(X, i)$  and  $y \in \text{ElmFin}(X, i + 1)$  there exists a finite sequence  $s$  such that  $F_2(x) = s$  and  $I_3(x, y) = s \hat{\ } \langle y \rangle$ .

Now we state the proposition:

(7) Let us consider non zero natural numbers  $m, n$ , and a non-empty,  $m$ -element finite sequence  $X$ . Suppose  $n \leq m$ . Then  $(\text{Pt2FinSeq}(X))(n)$  is a function from  $\prod_{\text{FS}} \text{SubFin}(X, n)$  into  $\prod \text{SubFin}(X, n)$ .

PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$  if  $1 \leq \$_1 \leq n$ , then there exists a non zero natural number  $i$  such that  $\$_1 = i$  and  $(\text{Pt2FinSeq}(X))(i)$  is a function from  $\prod_{\text{FS}} \text{SubFin}(X, i)$  into  $\prod \text{SubFin}(X, i)$ . For every natural number  $k$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[k]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[k + 1]$  by [2, (13), (14)], [11, (9)]. For every natural number  $k$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[k]$  from [2, Sch. 2]. Consider  $i$  being a non zero natural number such that  $i = n$  and  $(\text{Pt2FinSeq}(X))(i)$  is a function from  $\prod_{\text{FS}} \text{SubFin}(X, i)$  into  $\prod \text{SubFin}(X, i)$ .  $\square$

Let us consider non zero natural numbers  $m, n_1, n_2, k$  and a non-empty,  $m$ -element finite sequence  $X$ . Now we state the propositions:

(8) Suppose  $k \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq m$ . Then

- (i)  $\text{SubFin}(\text{SubFin}(X, n_1), k) = \text{SubFin}(\text{SubFin}(X, n_2), k)$ , and
- (ii)  $\text{ElmFin}(\text{SubFin}(X, n_1), k) = \text{ElmFin}(\text{SubFin}(X, n_2), k)$ .

(9) If  $k \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq m$ , then  $(\text{Pt2FinSeq}(\text{SubFin}(X, n_1)))(k) = (\text{Pt2FinSeq}(\text{SubFin}(X, n_2)))(k)$ .

PROOF: Set  $X_1 = \text{SubFin}(X, n_1)$ . Set  $X_2 = \text{SubFin}(X, n_2)$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$  if  $1 \leq \$_1 \leq n_1$ , then there exists a non zero natural number  $i$  such that  $i = \$_1$  and  $(\text{Pt2FinSeq}(X_1))(i) = (\text{Pt2FinSeq}(X_2))(i)$ . For every

natural number  $i$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[i]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[i + 1]$  by [2, (14)], (8), [7, (2)], [2, (13)]. For every natural number  $i$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[i]$  from [2, Sch. 2].  $\square$

Now we state the propositions:

- (10) Let us consider non zero natural numbers  $m, n, k$ , and a non-empty,  $m$ -element finite sequence  $X$ . Suppose  $k \leq n \leq m$ . Then  $(\text{Pt2FinSeq}(X))(k) = (\text{Pt2FinSeq}(\text{SubFin}(X, n)))(k)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (9).
- (11) Let us consider non zero natural numbers  $m, n$ , a non-empty,  $m$ -element finite sequence  $X$ , and a function  $P$  from  $\prod_{\text{FS}} \text{SubFin}(X, n)$  into  $\prod \text{SubFin}(X, n)$ . If  $n \leq m$  and  $P = (\text{Pt2FinSeq}(X))(n)$ , then  $P$  is bijective.

PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$  if  $1 \leq \$_1 \leq n$ , then there exists a non zero natural number  $i$  and there exists a function  $F$  from  $\prod_{\text{FS}} \text{SubFin}(X, i)$  into  $\prod \text{SubFin}(X, i)$  such that  $\$_1 = i$  and  $F = (\text{Pt2FinSeq}(X))(i)$  and  $F$  is bijective. For every natural number  $k$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[k]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[k + 1]$  by [2, (13), (14)], [11, (9)]. For every natural number  $k$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[k]$  from [2, Sch. 2].  $\square$

Let  $m$  be a non zero natural number and  $X$  be a non-empty,  $m$ -element finite sequence. The functor **CarProd(X)** yielding a function from  $\prod_{\text{FS}} X$  into  $\prod X$  is defined by the term

(Def. 6)  $(\text{Pt2FinSeq}(X))(m)$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (12) Let us consider a non zero natural number  $m$ , and a non-empty,  $m$ -element finite sequence  $X$ . Then  $\text{CarProd}(X)$  is bijective. The theorem is a consequence of (11).
- (13) Let us consider a non zero natural number  $n$ , a non-empty,  $(n + 1)$ -element finite sequence  $X$ , and objects  $x, y$ . Suppose  $x \in \prod_{\text{FS}} \text{SubFin}(X, n)$  and  $y \in \text{ElmFin}(X, n + 1)$ . Then there exist finite sequences  $s, t$  such that

- (i)  $(\text{CarProd}(\text{SubFin}(X, n)))(x) = s$ , and
- (ii)  $\langle y \rangle = t$ , and
- (iii)  $(\text{CarProd}(X))(x, y) = s \wedge t$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (10).

Let  $n$  be a non zero natural number,  $X$  be a non-empty,  $n$ -element finite sequence, and  $S$  be a family of  $\sigma$ -fields of  $X$ . The functor **XProd-Field(S)** yielding a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $\prod X$  is defined by the term

(Def. 7)  $\text{CopyField}(\text{CarProd}(X), \prod_{\text{Field}} S)$ .

Let  $m$  be a family of  $\sigma$ -measures of  $S$ . The functor **XProd-Measure(m)** yielding a  $\sigma$ -measure on  $\text{XProd-Field}(S)$  is defined by the term

(Def. 8)  $\text{CopyMeasure}(\text{CarProd}(X), \text{Measure}_{\text{Prod}}(m))$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (14) Let us consider non empty sets  $X$ ,  $Y$ , and a function  $f$  from  $X$  into  $Y$ . Suppose  $f$  is bijective. Then there exists a function  $g$  from  $Y$  into  $X$  such that

- (i)  $g$  is bijective, and
- (ii)  $g = f^{-1}$ , and
- (iii)  ${}^\circ g = ({}^\circ f)^{-1}$ .

PROOF: Reconsider  $g = f^{-1}$  as a function from  $Y$  into  $X$ .  ${}^\circ f$  is bijective.  ${}^\circ g$  is bijective. For every objects  $x, y$  such that  $x \in \text{dom}({}^\circ f)$  and  $y \in \text{dom}({}^\circ g)$  holds  $({}^\circ f)(x) = y$  iff  $({}^\circ g)(y) = x$  by (1), [7, (85), (94), (43)].  $\square$

- (15) Let us consider non empty sets  $X$ ,  $Y$ , a function  $T$  from  $X$  into  $Y$ , a partial function  $f$  from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , and a partial function  $g$  from  $Y$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Suppose  $T$  is bijective and  $g = f \cdot (T^{-1})$ . Then

- (i)  $\text{dom } g = T^\circ \text{dom } f$ , and
- (ii)  $\text{dom } g = ({}^\circ T)(\text{dom } f)$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (1).

- (16) Let us consider non empty sets  $X$ ,  $Y$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S$  of subsets of  $X$ , a function  $T$  from  $X$  into  $Y$ , a partial function  $f$  from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , a partial function  $g$  from  $Y$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , an element  $A$  of  $S$ , and an element  $B$  of  $\text{CopyField}(T, S)$ . Suppose  $T$  is bijective and  $g = f \cdot (T^{-1})$ . Let us consider a real number  $r$ . Then  $T^\circ(\text{LE-dom}(f, r)) = \text{LE-dom}(g, r)$ .

PROOF: For every object  $x$ ,  $x \in T^\circ(\text{LE-dom}(f, r))$  iff  $x \in \text{LE-dom}(g, r)$  by [7, (13), (11), (35)].  $\square$

- (17) Let us consider non empty sets  $X$ ,  $Y$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S$  of subsets of  $X$ , and a function  $T$  from  $X$  into  $Y$ . Suppose  $T$  is bijective. Then there exists a function  $H$  from  $Y$  into  $X$  such that

- (i)  $H$  is bijective, and
- (ii)  $H = T^{-1}$ , and
- (iii)  $H^{-1} = T$ , and
- (iv)  ${}^\circ H = ({}^\circ T)^{-1}$ , and
- (v)  $({}^\circ H)^\circ(\text{CopyField}(T, S)) = S$ , and
- (vi)  $\text{CopyField}(H, \text{CopyField}(T, S)) = S$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (1) and (14).

- (18) Let us consider non empty sets  $X$ ,  $Y$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S$  of subsets of  $X$ , a function  $T$  from  $X$  into  $Y$ , and a subset  $A$  of  $X$ . If  $T$  is bijective, then  $A \in S$  iff  $T^\circ A \in \text{CopyField}(T, S)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (17) and (1).

- (19) Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S$  of subsets of  $X$ , a function  $T$  from  $X$  into  $Y$ , and a subset  $B$  of  $Y$ . If  $T$  is bijective, then  $T^{-1}(B) \in S$  iff  $B \in \text{CopyField}(T, S)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (17) and (18).

2. INTEGRAL ON A TUPLE TYPE SET (ONE-DIMENSIONAL)

Now we state the propositions:

- (20) Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S$  of subsets of  $X$ , a function  $T$  from  $X$  into  $Y$ , a partial function  $f$  from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , a partial function  $g$  from  $Y$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , an element  $A$  of  $S$ , and an element  $B$  of  $\text{CopyField}(T, S)$ . Suppose  $T$  is bijective and  $B = T^\circ A$  and  $g = f \cdot (T^{-1})$ . Then  $f$  is  $A$ -measurable if and only if  $g$  is  $B$ -measurable. The theorem is a consequence of (17), (1), and (16).
- (21) Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S$  of subsets of  $X$ , a function  $T$  from  $X$  into  $Y$ , and a finite sequence  $F$  of separated subsets of  $S$ . Suppose  $T$  is bijective. Then  $(^\circ T|S) \cdot F$  is a finite sequence of separated subsets of  $\text{CopyField}(T, S)$ .  
 PROOF: Set  $H = ^\circ T|S$ . Reconsider  $G = H \cdot F$  as a finite sequence of elements of  $\text{CopyField}(T, S)$ . For every objects  $m, n$  such that  $m \neq n$  holds  $G(m)$  misses  $G(n)$  by [7, (12), (49)], (1), [7, (62)].  $\square$
- (22) Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S$  of subsets of  $X$ , a function  $T$  from  $X$  into  $Y$ , a partial function  $f$  from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , and a partial function  $g$  from  $Y$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Suppose  $T$  is bijective and  $g = f \cdot (T^{-1})$ . Then  $f$  is simple function in  $S$  if and only if  $g$  is simple function in  $\text{CopyField}(T, S)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (17).
- (23) Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , a function  $T$  from  $X$  into  $Y$ , a partial function  $f$  from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , and a partial function  $g$  from  $Y$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Suppose  $T$  is bijective and  $g = f \cdot (T^{-1})$ . Then  $f$  is non-negative if and only if  $g$  is non-negative.
- (24) Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S$  of subsets of  $X$ , a function  $T$  from  $X$  into  $Y$ , a finite sequence  $F$  of separated subsets of  $S$ , a finite sequence  $a$  of elements of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , a partial function  $f$  from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , and a partial function  $g$  from  $Y$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Suppose  $T$  is bijective and  $g = f \cdot (T^{-1})$  and  $F$  and  $a$  are representation of  $f$ . Then there exists a finite sequence  $G$  of separated subsets of  $\text{CopyField}(T, S)$  such that

- (i)  $G = (^\circ T|S) \cdot F$ , and
- (ii)  $G$  and  $a$  are representation of  $g$ .

PROOF: Set  $H = \circ T \upharpoonright S$ . Reconsider  $G = H \cdot F$  as a finite sequence of separated subsets of  $\text{CopyField}(T, S)$ . For every object  $x$ ,  $x \in \text{dom } g$  iff  $x \in \bigcup \text{rng } G$  by [7, (11), (35)], (1), [7, (49), (13), (3)]. For every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } G$  for every object  $x$  such that  $x \in G(n)$  holds  $g(x) = a(n)$  by [7, (12), (49)], (1), [7, (13), (34)].  $\square$

Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S$  of subsets of  $X$ , a function  $T$  from  $X$  into  $Y$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M$  on  $S$ , a partial function  $f$  from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , and a partial function  $g$  from  $Y$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (25) Suppose  $T$  is bijective and  $g = f \cdot (T^{-1})$  and  $f$  is simple function in  $S$  and  $f$  is non-negative. Then  $\int_g (\text{CopyMeasure}(T, M))(x)dx = \int_f M(x)dx$ .

PROOF:  $g$  is simple function in  $\text{CopyField}(T, S)$  and  $g$  is non-negative. Consider  $F$  being a finite sequence of separated subsets of  $S$ ,  $a, x$  being finite sequences of elements of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $F$  and  $a$  are representation of  $f$  and  $a(1) = 0_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}}$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $2 \leq n$  and  $n \in \text{dom } a$  holds  $0_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}} < a(n) < +\infty$  and  $\text{dom } x = \text{dom } F$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } x$  holds  $x(n) = a(n) \cdot (M \cdot F)(n)$  and  $\int_f M(x)dx = \sum x$ . Consider  $G$  being a finite sequence of separated

subsets of  $\text{CopyField}(T, S)$  such that  $G = (\circ T \upharpoonright S) \cdot F$  and  $G$  and  $a$  are representation of  $g$ . Set  $L = \text{CopyMeasure}(T, M)$ . For every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } x$  holds  $x(n) = a(n) \cdot (L \cdot G)(n)$  by [7, (13)], (1), [7, (49)].  $\square$

- (26) Suppose  $T$  is bijective and  $g = f \cdot (T^{-1})$  and  $f$  is simple function in  $S$  and  $f$  is non-negative. Then  $\int' g d \text{CopyMeasure}(T, M) = \int' f dM$ . The theorem is a consequence of (25).

Now we state the propositions:

- (27) Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , a function  $T$  from  $X$  into  $Y$ , a partial function  $f$  from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , and a partial function  $g$  from  $Y$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Suppose  $T$  is bijective and  $g = f \cdot (T^{-1})$ . Then

- (i)  $\max_+(g) = (\max_+(f)) \cdot (T^{-1})$ , and
- (ii)  $\max_-(g) = (\max_-(f)) \cdot (T^{-1})$ .

PROOF: Reconsider  $H = T^{-1}$  as a function from  $Y$  into  $X$ . Reconsider  $g_1 = (\max_+(f)) \cdot H$  as a partial function from  $Y$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . For every object  $x$ ,  $x \in \text{dom } g_1$  iff  $x \in \text{dom } g$  by [7, (11)]. For every element  $y$  of  $Y$  such that  $y \in \text{dom } g_1$  holds  $g_1(y) = \max(g(y), 0_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}})$  by [7, (11), (13)]. Reconsider  $g_1 = (\max_-(f)) \cdot H$  as a partial function from  $Y$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . For every object  $x$ ,  $x \in \text{dom } g_1$  iff  $x \in \text{dom } g$  by [7, (11)]. For every element  $y$  of  $Y$  such that



$y \in \text{dom } g_1$  holds  $g_1(y) = \max(-g(y), 0_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}})$  by [7, (11), (13)].  $\square$

(28) Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S$  of subsets of  $X$ , a function  $T$  from  $X$  into  $Y$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M$  on  $S$ , a partial function  $f$  from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , a partial function  $g$  from  $Y$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , and an element  $A$  of  $S$ . Suppose  $T$  is bijective and  $g = f \cdot (T^{-1})$  and  $A = \text{dom } f$  and  $f$  is  $A$ -measurable. Then there exists an element  $B$  of  $\text{CopyField}(T, S)$  such that

- (i)  $B = T^\circ A$ , and
- (ii)  $B = \text{dom } g$ , and
- (iii)  $g$  is  $B$ -measurable.

The theorem is a consequence of (1) and (20).

(29) Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S$  of subsets of  $X$ , a function  $T$  from  $X$  into  $Y$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M$  on  $S$ , a partial function  $f$  from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , an element  $A$  of  $S$ , and a partial function  $g$  from  $Y$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Suppose  $T$  is bijective and  $g = f \cdot (T^{-1})$  and  $f$  is non-negative and  $A = \text{dom } f$  and  $f$  is  $A$ -measurable. Then  $\int^+ g \, d\text{CopyMeasure}(T, M) = \int^+ f \, dM$ .

PROOF: Reconsider  $B = T^\circ A$  as an element of  $\text{CopyField}(T, S)$ .  $g$  is  $B$ -measurable.  $g$  is non-negative. Consider  $F$  being a sequence of partial functions from  $X$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $K$  being a sequence of extended reals such that for every natural number  $n$ ,  $F(n)$  is simple function in  $S$  and  $\text{dom}(F(n)) = \text{dom } f$  and for every natural number  $n$ ,  $F(n)$  is non-negative and for every natural numbers  $n, m$  such that  $n \leq m$  for every element  $x$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in \text{dom } f$  holds  $F(n)(x) \leq F(m)(x)$  and for every element  $x$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in \text{dom } f$  holds  $F\#x$  is convergent and  $\lim(F\#x) = f(x)$  and for every natural number  $n$ ,  $K(n) = \int' F(n) \, dM$  and  $K$  is convergent and  $\int^+ f \, dM = \lim K$ . Reconsider  $H = T^{-1}$  as a function from  $Y$  into  $X$ . Consider  $H$  being a function from  $Y$  into  $X$  such that  $H$  is bijective and  $H = T^{-1}$  and  $H^{-1} = T$  and  ${}^\circ H = ({}^\circ T)^{-1}$  and  $({}^\circ H)^\circ(\text{CopyField}(T, S)) = S$  and  $\text{CopyField}(H, \text{CopyField}(T, S)) = S$ . For every object  $x$ ,  $x \in T^\circ \text{dom } f$  iff  $x \in \text{dom } g$  by [8, (5)], [7, (34), (11), (35)]. For every natural number  $n$ ,  $\text{dom}(F(n) \cdot H) = T^\circ \text{dom}(F(n))$  by [7, (11), (35)], [8, (5)], [7, (34)]. Define  $\mathcal{N}(\text{natural number}) = F(\$_1) \cdot H$ . Consider  $G$  being a sequence of partial functions from  $Y$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that for every natural number  $n$ ,  $G(n) = \mathcal{N}(n)$  from [14, Sch. 1]. Set  $L = \text{CopyMeasure}(T, M)$ . For every natural number  $n$ ,  $G(n)$  is simple function in  $\text{CopyField}(T, S)$  and  $\text{dom}(G(n)) = \text{dom } g$ . For every natural number  $n$ ,  $G(n)$  is non-negative. For every natural numbers  $n, m$  such that  $n \leq m$  for every element  $y$  of  $Y$  such that  $y \in \text{dom } g$  holds  $G(n)(y) \leq G(m)(y)$  by [7, (11), (13)]. For every element  $y$  of  $Y$  such that  $y \in \text{dom } g$  holds  $G\#y$  is convergent

and  $\lim(G\#y) = g(y)$  by [7, (11), (13)]. For every natural number  $n$ ,  $K(n) = \int' G(n) dL$ .  $\square$

- (30) Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S$  of subsets of  $X$ , a function  $T$  from  $X$  into  $Y$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M$  on  $S$ , a partial function  $f$  from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , a partial function  $g$  from  $Y$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , and an element  $B$  of  $\text{CopyField}(T, S)$ . Suppose  $T$  is bijective and  $g = f \cdot (T^{-1})$  and  $B = \text{dom } g$  and  $g$  is  $B$ -measurable. Then there exists an element  $A$  of  $S$  such that

- (i)  $B = T^\circ A$ , and
- (ii)  $A = \text{dom } f$ , and
- (iii)  $f$  is  $A$ -measurable.

The theorem is a consequence of (17), (19), (1), (15), and (20).

Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S$  of subsets of  $X$ , a function  $T$  from  $X$  into  $Y$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M$  on  $S$ , a partial function  $f$  from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , a partial function  $g$  from  $Y$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , and an element  $A$  of  $S$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (31) Suppose  $T$  is bijective and  $g = f \cdot (T^{-1})$  and  $A = \text{dom } f$  and  $f$  is  $A$ -measurable. Then

- (i)  $\int^+ \max_+(f) dM = \int^+ \max_+(g) d \text{CopyMeasure}(T, M)$ , and
- (ii)  $\int^+ \max_-(f) dM = \int^+ \max_-(g) d \text{CopyMeasure}(T, M)$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (27) and (29).

- (32) Suppose  $T$  is bijective and  $g = f \cdot (T^{-1})$  and  $A = \text{dom } f$  and  $f$  is  $A$ -measurable. Then  $\int g d \text{CopyMeasure}(T, M) = \int f dM$ . The theorem is a consequence of (31).

Now we state the proposition:

- (33) Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S$  of subsets of  $X$ , a function  $T$  from  $X$  into  $Y$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M$  on  $S$ , a partial function  $f$  from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , and a partial function  $g$  from  $Y$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Suppose  $T$  is bijective and  $g = f \cdot (T^{-1})$ . Then  $f$  is integrable on  $M$  if and only if  $g$  is integrable on  $\text{CopyMeasure}(T, M)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (28), (31), (17), (1), and (20).

### 3. INTEGRAL OVER TUPLE TYPE SETS ( $n$ -DIMENSIONAL)

Now we state the propositions:

- (34) Let us consider a non zero natural number  $n$ , a non-empty,  $n$ -element finite sequence  $X$ , a family of  $\sigma$ -fields  $S$  of  $X$ , a family of  $\sigma$ -measures  $m$  of  $S$ , a partial function  $f$  from  $\prod_{\text{FS}} X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , a partial function  $g$  from  $\prod X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , an element  $A$  of  $\prod_{\text{Field}} S$ , and an element  $B$  of  $\text{XProd-Field}(S)$ .

Suppose  $B = (\text{CarProd}(X))^\circ A$  and  $g = f \cdot ((\text{CarProd}(X))^{-1})$ . Then  $f$  is  $A$ -measurable if and only if  $g$  is  $B$ -measurable. The theorem is a consequence of (12) and (20).

- (35) Let us consider a non zero natural number  $n$ , a non-empty,  $n$ -element finite sequence  $X$ , a family of  $\sigma$ -fields  $S$  of  $X$ , a family of  $\sigma$ -measures  $m$  of  $S$ , a partial function  $f$  from  $\prod_{\text{FS}} X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , a partial function  $g$  from  $\prod X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , and an element  $A$  of  $\prod_{\text{Field}} S$ . Suppose  $g = f \cdot ((\text{CarProd}(X))^{-1})$  and  $A = \text{dom } f$  and  $f$  is  $A$ -measurable. Then  $\int g \, d \text{XProd-Measure}(m) = \int f \, d \text{Measure}_{\text{Prod}}(m)$ .
- (36) Let us consider a non zero natural number  $n$ , a non-empty,  $n$ -element finite sequence  $X$ , a family of  $\sigma$ -fields  $S$  of  $X$ , a family of  $\sigma$ -measures  $m$  of  $S$ , a partial function  $f$  from  $\prod_{\text{FS}} X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , and a partial function  $g$  from  $\prod X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Suppose  $g = f \cdot ((\text{CarProd}(X))^{-1})$ . Then  $f$  is integrable on  $\text{Measure}_{\text{Prod}}(m)$  if and only if  $g$  is integrable on  $\text{XProd-Measure}(m)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (12) and (33).

4. LEBESGUE TYPE MEASURE AND LEBESGUE INTEGRAL ON  $\mathbb{R}^n$

Let  $n$  be a non zero natural number. Let us observe that  $\text{Seg } n \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is non-empty and  $n$ -element as a finite sequence.

The functor **L-Field( $n$ )** yielding a family of  $\sigma$ -fields of  $\text{Seg } n \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is defined by the term

(Def. 9)  $\text{Seg } n \mapsto \text{L-Field}$ .

The functor **L-Meas( $n$ )** yielding a family of  $\sigma$ -measures of **L-Field( $n$ )** is defined by the term

(Def. 10)  $\text{Seg } n \mapsto \text{L-Meas}$ .

The functor **XL-Field( $n$ )** yielding a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $\mathcal{R}^n$  is defined by the term

(Def. 11)  $\text{XProd-Field}(\text{L-Field}(n))$ .

The functor **XL-Meas( $n$ )** yielding a  $\sigma$ -measure on **XL-Field( $n$ )** is defined by the term

(Def. 12)  $\text{XProd-Measure}(\text{L-Meas}(n))$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (37) (i)  $\prod_{\text{FS}} \text{Seg } 1 \mapsto \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}$ , and
- (ii)  $\text{ElmFin}(\text{Seg } 1 \mapsto \mathbb{R}, 1) = \mathbb{R}$ , and
- (iii)  $\prod_{\text{FS}} \text{Seg } 2 \mapsto \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ , and
- (iv)  $\text{ElmFin}(\text{Seg } 2 \mapsto \mathbb{R}, 2) = \mathbb{R}$ , and

- (v)  $\prod_{\text{FS}} \text{Seg } 3 \mapsto \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ .
- (38) (i)  $\text{CarProd}(\text{Seg } 1 \mapsto \mathbb{R})$  is a function from  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathcal{R}^1$ , and
- (ii) for every object  $s$  such that  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  holds  $(\text{CarProd}(\text{Seg } 1 \mapsto \mathbb{R}))(s) = \langle s \rangle$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (37).

- (39) (i)  $\text{CarProd}(\text{Seg } 2 \mapsto \mathbb{R})$  is a function from  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathcal{R}^2$ , and
- (ii) for every objects  $s, t$  such that  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$  holds  $(\text{CarProd}(\text{Seg } 2 \mapsto \mathbb{R}))(\langle s, t \rangle) = \langle s, t \rangle$ .

PROOF: Set  $F = \text{CarProd}(\text{Seg } 2 \mapsto \mathbb{R})$ . For every objects  $s, t$  such that  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$  holds  $F(\langle s, t \rangle) = \langle s, t \rangle$  by [7, (49)], [15, (7)], [3, (40)], [6, (59)].  $\square$

- (40) (i)  $\text{CarProd}(\text{Seg } 3 \mapsto \mathbb{R})$  is a function from  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathcal{R}^3$ , and
- (ii) for every objects  $s, t, u$  such that  $s, t, u \in \mathbb{R}$  holds  $(\text{CarProd}(\text{Seg } 3 \mapsto \mathbb{R}))(\langle \langle s, t \rangle, u \rangle) = \langle s, t, u \rangle$ .

PROOF: Set  $H = \text{CarProd}(\text{Seg } 3 \mapsto \mathbb{R})$ . For every objects  $s, t, u$  such that  $s, t, u \in \mathbb{R}$  holds  $H(\langle \langle s, t \rangle, u \rangle) = \langle s, t, u \rangle$  by [7, (49)], [15, (7)], [3, (44)], [6, (61)].  $\square$

- (41) (i)  $\prod_{\text{Field}} \text{L-Field}(1) = \text{L-Field}$ , and
- (ii) the Borel sets  $\subseteq \prod_{\text{Field}} \text{L-Field}(1)$ , and
- (iii) for every subset  $I$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $I$  is an interval holds  $I \in \prod_{\text{Field}} \text{L-Field}(1)$ .

- (42) (i)  $\prod_{\text{Field}} \text{L-Field}(2) = \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(\text{L-Field}, \text{L-Field}))$ , and
- (ii)  $\text{MeasRect}(\text{L-Field}, \text{L-Field}) \subseteq \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(\text{L-Field}, \text{L-Field}))$ , and
- (iii) the set of all  $A \times B$  where  $A$  is an element of the Borel sets,  $B$  is an element of the Borel sets  $\subseteq \text{MeasRect}(\text{L-Field}, \text{L-Field})$ , and
- (iv)  $\{I \times J, \text{ where } I, J \text{ are subsets of } \mathbb{R} : I \text{ is an interval and } J \text{ is an interval}\} \subseteq$  the set of all  $A \times B$  where  $A$  is an element of the Borel sets,  $B$  is an element of the Borel sets.

- (43) (i)  $\prod_{\text{Field}} \text{L-Field}(3) = \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(\text{L-Field}, \text{L-Field})), \text{L-Field}))$ , and
- (ii)  $\text{MeasRect}(\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(\text{L-Field}, \text{L-Field})), \text{L-Field}) \subseteq \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(\text{L-Field}, \text{L-Field})), \text{L-Field}))$  and
- (iii) the set of all  $A \times B \times C$  where  $A$  is an element of the Borel sets,  $B$  is an element of the Borel sets,  $C$  is an element of the Borel sets  $\subseteq \text{MeasRect}(\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(\text{L-Field}, \text{L-Field})), \text{L-Field})), \text{L-Field})$  and
- (iv)  $\{I \times J \times K, \text{ where } I, J, K \text{ are subsets of } \mathbb{R} : I \text{ is an interval and } J \text{ is an interval and } K \text{ is an interval}\} \subseteq$  the set of all  $A \times B \times C$  where  $A$  is an element of the Borel sets,  $B$  is an element of the Borel sets,  $C$  is an element of the Borel sets.

(44) Let us consider a non zero natural number  $n$ . Then  $\prod_{\text{Field}} \text{L-Field}(n+1) = \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(\prod_{\text{Field}} \text{L-Field}(n), \text{L-Field}))$ .

(45) (i)  $\text{Measure}_{\text{Prod}}(\text{L-Meas}(1)) = \text{L-Meas}$ , and

(ii) for every element  $E$  of  $\text{L-Field}$ ,  $E \in \prod_{\text{Field}} \text{L-Field}(1)$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (41).

(46) (i)  $\text{Measure}_{\text{Prod}}(\text{L-Meas}(2)) = \text{ProdMeas}(\text{L-Meas}, \text{L-Meas})$ , and

(ii) for every elements  $E_1, E_2$  of  $\text{L-Field}$ ,  $E_1 \times E_2 \in \text{MeasRect}(\text{L-Field}, \text{L-Field})$  and  $(\text{Measure}_{\text{Prod}}(\text{L-Meas}(2)))(E_1 \times E_2) = (\text{L-Meas})(E_1) \cdot (\text{L-Meas})(E_2)$ .

PROOF: For every elements  $E_1, E_2$  of  $\text{L-Field}$ ,  $E_1 \times E_2 \in \text{MeasRect}(\text{L-Field}, \text{L-Field})$  and  $(\text{Measure}_{\text{Prod}}(\text{L-Meas}(2)))(E_1 \times E_2) = (\text{L-Meas})(E_1) \cdot (\text{L-Meas})(E_2)$  by [10, (16)], (37), (41), (45).  $\square$

(47) (i)  $\text{Measure}_{\text{Prod}}(\text{L-Meas}(3)) = \text{ProdMeas}(\text{ProdMeas}(\text{L-Meas}, \text{L-Meas}), \text{L-Meas})$ , and

(ii) for every elements  $E_1, E_2, E_3$  of  $\text{L-Field}$ ,  $E_1 \times E_2 \times E_3 \in \text{MeasRect}(\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(\text{L-Field}, \text{L-Field})), \text{L-Field})$  and  $(\text{Measure}_{\text{Prod}}(\text{L-Meas}(3)))(E_1 \times E_2 \times E_3) = (\text{L-Meas})(E_1) \cdot (\text{L-Meas})(E_2) \cdot (\text{L-Meas})(E_3)$ .

PROOF: For every elements  $E_1, E_2, E_3$  of  $\text{L-Field}$ ,  $E_1 \times E_2 \times E_3 \in \text{MeasRect}(\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(\text{L-Field}, \text{L-Field})), \text{L-Field})$  and  $(\text{Measure}_{\text{Prod}}(\text{L-Meas}(3)))(E_1 \times E_2 \times E_3) = (\text{L-Meas})(E_1) \cdot (\text{L-Meas})(E_2) \cdot (\text{L-Meas})(E_3)$  by (46), [10, (16)].  $\square$

(48) Let us consider a non zero natural number  $n$ . Then  $\text{Measure}_{\text{Prod}}(\text{L-Meas}(n+1)) = \text{ProdMeas}(\text{Measure}_{\text{Prod}}(\text{L-Meas}(n)), \text{L-Meas})$ .

(49) Let us consider a non zero natural number  $n$ , a partial function  $f$  from  $\prod_{\text{FS}} \text{Seg } n \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , a partial function  $g$  from  $\mathcal{R}^n$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , an element  $A$  of  $\prod_{\text{Field}} \text{L-Field}(n)$ , and an element  $B$  of  $\text{XL-Field}(n)$ . Suppose  $g = f \cdot ((\text{CarProd}(\text{Seg } n \mapsto \mathbb{R}))^{-1})$  and  $B = (\text{CarProd}(\text{Seg } n \mapsto \mathbb{R}))^\circ A$ . Then  $f$  is  $A$ -measurable if and only if  $g$  is  $B$ -measurable. The theorem is a consequence of (34).

(50) Let us consider a partial function  $f_1$  from  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , a partial function  $f_2$  from  $\prod_{\text{FS}} \text{Seg } 2 \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , an element  $A_1$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(\text{L-Field}, \text{L-Field}))$ , and an element  $A_2$  of  $\prod_{\text{Field}} \text{L-Field}(2)$ . Suppose  $f_1 = f_2$  and  $A_1 = A_2$ . Then  $f_1$  is  $A_1$ -measurable if and only if  $f_2$  is  $A_2$ -measurable. The theorem is a consequence of (44), (37), and (41).

(51) Let us consider a partial function  $f$  from  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , a partial function  $g$  from  $\mathcal{R}^2$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , an element  $A$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(\text{L-Field}, \text{L-Field}))$ , and an element  $B$  of  $\text{XL-Field}(2)$ . Suppose  $g = f \cdot ((\text{CarProd}(\text{Seg } 2 \mapsto \mathbb{R}))^{-1})$  and  $B = (\text{CarProd}(\text{Seg } 2 \mapsto \mathbb{R}))^\circ A$ . Then  $f$  is  $A$ -measurable if and only if  $g$  is  $B$ -measurable. The theorem is a consequence of (44), (37), (41), (49), and (50).

- (52) Let us consider a partial function  $f_1$  from  $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , a partial function  $f_2$  from  $\prod_{\text{FS}} \text{Seg } 3 \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , an element  $A_1$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(\text{L-Field}(\text{L-Field}(\text{L-Field}))))))$  and an element  $A_2$  of  $\prod_{\text{Field}} \text{L-Field}(3)$ . Suppose  $f_1 = f_2$  and  $A_1 = A_2$ . Then  $f_1$  is  $A_1$ -measurable if and only if  $f_2$  is  $A_2$ -measurable. The theorem is a consequence of (44), (37), and (41).
- (53) Let us consider a partial function  $f$  from  $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , a partial function  $g$  from  $\mathcal{R}^3$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , an element  $A$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(\text{L-Field}, \text{L-Field})), \text{L-Field}))$ , and an element  $B$  of  $\text{XL-Field}(3)$ . Suppose  $g = f \cdot ((\text{CarProd}(\text{Seg } 3 \mapsto \mathbb{R}))^{-1})$  and  $B = (\text{CarProd}(\text{Seg } 3 \mapsto \mathbb{R}))^\circ A$ . Then  $f$  is  $A$ -measurable if and only if  $g$  is  $B$ -measurable. The theorem is a consequence of (44), (37), (41), (49), and (52).
- (54) Let us consider a non zero natural number  $n$ , a partial function  $f$  from  $\prod_{\text{FS}} \text{Seg } n \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , a partial function  $g$  from  $\mathcal{R}^n$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , and an element  $A$  of  $\prod_{\text{Field}} \text{L-Field}(n)$ . Suppose  $g = f \cdot ((\text{CarProd}(\text{Seg } n \mapsto \mathbb{R}))^{-1})$  and  $A = \text{dom } f$  and  $f$  is  $A$ -measurable. Then  $\int g \, d\text{XL-Meas}(n) = \int f \, d\text{Measure}_{\text{Prod}}(\text{L-Meas}(n))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (12) and (32).
- (55) Let us consider a non zero natural number  $n$ , a partial function  $f$  from  $\prod_{\text{FS}} \text{Seg } n \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , and a partial function  $g$  from  $\mathcal{R}^n$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Suppose  $g = f \cdot ((\text{CarProd}(\text{Seg } n \mapsto \mathbb{R}))^{-1})$ . Then  $f$  is integrable on  $\text{Measure}_{\text{Prod}}(\text{L-Meas}(n))$  if and only if  $g$  is integrable on  $\text{XL-Meas}(n)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (36).

## REFERENCES

- [1] Charalambos D. Aliprantis and Kim C. Border. *Infinite dimensional analysis*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2006.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Kornilowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, Karol Pąk, and Josef Urban. Mizar: State-of-the-art and beyond. In Manfred Kerber, Jacques Carette, Cezary Kaliszyk, Florian Rabe, and Volker Sorge, editors, *Intelligent Computer Mathematics*, volume 9150 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 261–279. Springer International Publishing, 2015. ISBN 978-3-319-20614-1. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-20615-8\_17.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Kornilowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, and Karol Pąk. The role of the Mizar Mathematical Library for interactive proof development in Mizar. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 61(1):9–32, 2018. doi:10.1007/s10817-017-9440-6.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164,

- 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
  - [10] Noboru Endou. Fubini's theorem on measure. *Formalized Mathematics*, 25(1):1–29, 2017. doi:10.1515/forma-2017-0001.
  - [11] Noboru Endou and Yasunari Shidama. Multidimensional measure space and integration. *Formalized Mathematics*, 31(1):181–192, 2023. doi:10.2478/forma-2023-0017.
  - [12] Tom Leinster. *Basic Category Theory*. Cambridge University Press, 2014.
  - [13] Andrzej Nędzusiak.  $\sigma$ -fields and probability. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):401–407, 1990.
  - [14] Beata Perkowska. Functional sequence from a domain to a domain. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):17–21, 1992.
  - [15] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):329–334, 1990.
  - [16] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

*Accepted December 9, 2024*

---