JOURNAL OF FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Volume 10, Released 1998, Published 2003
Inst. of Computer Science, Univ. of Białystok

On the Characterization of Hausdorff Spaces[1]

Artur Korniłowicz
University of Białystok

MML Identifier: YELLOW12.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/yellow12.html

The articles [18], [9], [25], [14], [26], [7], [27], [8], [19], [6], [16], [10], [17], [15], [20], [28], [24], [1], [2], [3], [11], [12], [13], [4], [21], [22], [23], and [5] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. The Properties of Some Functions

In this paper A, B, X, Y are sets.

Let X be an empty set. Observe that $\bigcup X$ is empty.

One can prove the following propositions:

(1) $(\delta_X)^\circ A \subseteq [:A, A:]$.

(2) $(\delta_X)^{-1}([:A, A:]) \subseteq A$.

(3) For every subset A of X holds $(\delta_X)^{-1}([:A, A:]) = A$.

(4) $\mathrm{dom}\langle \pi_2(X \times Y), \pi_1(X \times Y) \rangle = [:X, Y:]$ and $\mathrm{rng}\langle \pi_2(X \times Y), \pi_1(X \times Y) \rangle = [:Y, X:]$.

(5) $\langle \pi_2(X \times Y), \pi_1(X \times Y) \rangle^\circ [:A, B:] \subseteq [:B, A:]$.

(6) For every subset A of X and for every subset B of Y holds $\langle \pi_2(X \times Y), \pi_1(X \times Y) \rangle^\circ [:A, B:] = [:B, A:]$.

(7) $\langle \pi_2(X \times Y), \pi_1(X \times Y) \rangle$ is one-to-one.

Let X, Y be sets. Observe that $\langle \pi_2(X \times Y), \pi_1(X \times Y) \rangle$ is one-to-one.

Next we state the proposition

(8) $\langle \pi_2(X \times Y), \pi_1(X \times Y) \rangle^{-1} = \langle \pi_2(Y \times X), \pi_1(Y \times X) \rangle$.

2. The Properties of the Relational Structures

One can prove the following propositions:

(9) Let L_1 be a semilattice, L_2 be a non empty relational structure, x, y be elements of L_1, and x_1, y_1 be elements of L_2. Suppose the relational structure of L_1 = the relational structure of L_2 and $x = x_1$ and $y = y_1$. Then $x \sqcap y = x_1 \sqcap y_1$.

[1]This work has been supported by KBN Grant 8 T11C 018 12.

(10) Let L_1 be a sup-semilattice, L_2 be a non empty relational structure, x, y be elements of L_1, and x_1, y_1 be elements of L_2. Suppose the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2 and $x = x_1$ and $y = y_1$. Then $x \sqcup y = x_1 \sqcup y_1$.

(11) Let L_1 be a semilattice, L_2 be a non empty relational structure, X, Y be subsets of L_1, and X_1, Y_1 be subsets of L_2. Suppose the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2 and $X = X_1$ and $Y = Y_1$. Then $X \sqcap Y = X_1 \sqcap Y_1$.

(12) Let L_1 be a sup-semilattice, L_2 be a non empty relational structure, X, Y be subsets of L_1, and X_1, Y_1 be subsets of L_2. Suppose the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2 and $X = X_1$ and $Y = Y_1$. Then $X \sqcup Y = X_1 \sqcup Y_1$.

(13) Let L_1 be an antisymmetric up-complete non empty reflexive relational structure, L_2 be a non empty reflexive relational structure, x be an element of L_1, and y be an element of L_2. Suppose the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2 and $x = y$. Then $\Downarrow x = \Downarrow y$ and $\Uparrow x = \Uparrow y$.

(14) Let L_1 be a meet-continuous semilattice and L_2 be a non empty reflexive relational structure. Suppose the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2. Then L_2 is meet-continuous.

(15) Let L_1 be a continuous antisymmetric non empty reflexive relational structure and L_2 be a non empty reflexive relational structure. Suppose the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2. Then L_2 is continuous.

(16) Let L_1, L_2 be relational structures, A be a subset of L_1, and J be a subset of L_2. Suppose the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2 and $A = J$. Then $\mathrm{sub}(A) = \mathrm{sub}(J)$.

(17) Let L_1, L_2 be non empty relational structures, A be a relational substructure of L_1, and J be a relational substructure of L_2. Suppose that

(i) the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2,

(ii) the relational structure of $A =$ the relational structure of J, and

(iii) A is meet-inheriting.

Then J is meet-inheriting.

(18) Let L_1, L_2 be non empty relational structures, A be a relational substructure of L_1, and J be a relational substructure of L_2. Suppose that

(i) the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2,

(ii) the relational structure of $A =$ the relational structure of J, and

(iii) A is join-inheriting.

Then J is join-inheriting.

(19) Let L_1 be an up-complete antisymmetric non empty reflexive relational structure, L_2 be a non empty reflexive relational structure, X be a subset of L_1, and Y be a subset of L_2 such that the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2 and $X = Y$ and X has the property (S). Then Y has the property (S).

(20) Let L_1 be an up-complete antisymmetric non empty reflexive relational structure, L_2 be a non empty reflexive relational structure, X be a subset of L_1, and Y be a subset of L_2. Suppose the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2 and $X = Y$ and X is directly closed. Then Y is directly closed.

(21) Let N be an antisymmetric relational structure with g.l.b.'s, D, E be subsets of N, and X be an upper subset of N. If D misses X, then $D \sqcap E$ misses X.

(22) Let R be a reflexive non empty relational structure. Then $\mathrm{id}_{\text{the carrier of } R} \subseteq$ (the internal relation of R) $\cap$ (the internal relation of $R^{\smile}$).

(23) Let R be an antisymmetric relational structure. Then (the internal relation of R) $\cap$ (the internal relation of $R^\smile$) $\subseteq \mathrm{id}_{\text{the carrier of } R}$.

(24) Let R be an upper-bounded semilattice and X be a subset of $[:R, R:]$. If $\inf\,(\sqcap_R)^\circ X$ exists in R, then $\sqcap_R$ preserves inf of X.

Let R be a complete semilattice. Observe that $\sqcap_R$ is infs-preserving.

We now state the proposition

(25) Let R be a lower-bounded sup-semilattice and X be a subset of $[:R, R:]$. If $\sup\,(\sqcup_R)^\circ X$ exists in R, then $\sqcup_R$ preserves sup of X.

Let R be a complete sup-semilattice. Note that $\sqcup_R$ is sups-preserving.

We now state several propositions:

(26) For every semilattice N and for every subset A of N such that $\mathrm{sub}(A)$ is meet-inheriting holds A is filtered.

(27) For every sup-semilattice N and for every subset A of N such that $\mathrm{sub}(A)$ is join-inheriting holds A is directed.

(28) Let N be a transitive relational structure and A, J be subsets of N. If A is coarser than $\uparrow J$, then $\uparrow A \subseteq \uparrow J$.

(29) For every transitive relational structure N and for all subsets A, J of N such that A is finer than $\downarrow J$ holds $\downarrow A \subseteq \downarrow J$.

(30) Let N be a non empty reflexive relational structure, x be an element of N, and X be a subset of N. If $x \in X$, then $\uparrow x \subseteq \uparrow X$.

(31) Let N be a non empty reflexive relational structure, x be an element of N, and X be a subset of N. If $x \in X$, then $\downarrow x \subseteq \downarrow X$.

3. On the Hausdorff Spaces

In the sequel R, S, T are non empty topological spaces.

Let T be a non empty topological structure. One can check that the topological structure of T is non empty.

Let T be a topological space. Note that the topological structure of T is topological space-like.

One can prove the following three propositions:

(32) Let S, T be topological structures and B be a basis of S. Suppose the topological structure of $S =$ the topological structure of T. Then B is a basis of T.

(33) Let S, T be topological structures and B be a prebasis of S. Suppose the topological structure of $S =$ the topological structure of T. Then B is a prebasis of T.

(34) Every basis of T is non empty.

Let T be a non empty topological space. One can verify that every basis of T is non empty.

We now state the proposition

(35) For every point x of T holds every basis of x is non empty.

Let T be a non empty topological space and let x be a point of T. One can verify that every basis of x is non empty.

Next we state a number of propositions:

(36) Let S_1, T_1, S_2, T_2 be non empty topological spaces, f be a map from S_1 into S_2, and g be a map from T_1 into T_2. Suppose that

(i) the topological structure of $S_1 =$ the topological structure of T_1,

(ii) the topological structure of $S_2 =$ the topological structure of T_2,

(iii) $f = g$, and

(iv) f is continuous.

Then g is continuous.

(37) $\mathrm{id}_{\text{the carrier of } T} = \{p; p$ ranges over points of $[:T, T:]$: $\pi_1((\text{the carrier of } T) \times \text{the carrier of } T)(p) = \pi_2((\text{the carrier of } T) \times \text{the carrier of } T)(p)\}$.

(38) $\delta_{\text{the carrier of } T}$ is a continuous map from T into $[:T, T:]$.

(39) $\pi_1((\text{the carrier of } S) \times \text{the carrier of } T\ )$ is a continuous map from $[:S, T:]$ into S.

(40) $\pi_2((\text{the carrier of } S) \times \text{the carrier of } T\ )$ is a continuous map from $[:S, T:]$ into T.

(41) Let f be a continuous map from T into S and g be a continuous map from T into R. Then $\langle f, g\rangle$ is a continuous map from T into $[:S, R:]$.

(42) $\langle \pi_2((\text{the carrier of } S) \times \text{the carrier of } T), \pi_1((\text{the carrier of } S) \times \text{the carrier of } T)\rangle$ is a continuous map from $[:S, T:]$ into $[:T, S:]$.

(43) Let f be a map from $[:S, T:]$ into $[:T, S:]$. Suppose $f = \langle \pi_2((\text{the carrier of } S) \times \text{the carrier of } T), \pi_1((\text{the carrier of } S) \times \text{the carrier of } T)\rangle$. Then f is a homeomorphism.

(44) $[:S, T:]$ and $[:T, S:]$ are homeomorphic.

(45) Let T be a Hausdorff non empty topological space and f, g be continuous maps from S into T. Then

(i) for every subset X of S such that $X = \{p; p$ ranges over points of S: $f(p) \neq g(p)\}$ holds X is open, and

(ii) for every subset X of S such that $X = \{p; p$ ranges over points of S: $f(p) = g(p)\}$ holds X is closed.

(46) T is Hausdorff iff for every subset A of $[:T, T:]$ such that $A = \mathrm{id}_{\text{the carrier of } T}$ holds A is closed.

Let S, T be topological structures. Observe that there exists a refinement of S and T which is strict.

Let S be a non empty topological structure and let T be a topological structure. One can verify that there exists a refinement of S and T which is strict and non empty and there exists a refinement of T and S which is strict and non empty.

Next we state the proposition

(47) Let R, S, T be topological structures. Then R is a refinement of S and T if and only if the topological structure of R is a refinement of S and T.

For simplicity, we adopt the following rules: S_1, S_2, T_1, T_2 denote non empty topological spaces, R denotes a refinement of $[:S_1, T_1:]$ and $[:S_2, T_2:]$, R_1 denotes a refinement of S_1 and S_2, and R_2 denotes a refinement of T_1 and T_2.

The following three propositions are true:

(48) Suppose the carrier of $S_1 =$ the carrier of S_2 and the carrier of $T_1 =$ the carrier of T_2. Then $\{[:U_1, V_1:] \cap [:U_2, V_2:]; U_1$ ranges over subsets of S_1, U_2 ranges over subsets of S_2, V_1 ranges over subsets of T_1, V_2 ranges over subsets of T_2: U_1 is open $\wedge$ $U_2$is open $\wedge$ $V_1$is open $\wedge$ $V_2$is open$\}$ is a basis of R.

(49) Suppose the carrier of S_1 = the carrier of S_2 and the carrier of T_1 = the carrier of T_2. Then the carrier of $[:R_1, R_2:]$ = the carrier of R and the topology of $[:R_1, R_2:]$ = the topology of R.

(50) Suppose the carrier of S_1 = the carrier of S_2 and the carrier of T_1 = the carrier of T_2. Then $[:R_1, R_2:]$ is a refinement of $[:S_1, T_1:]$ and $[:S_2, T_2:]$.

REFERENCES

[1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/lattice3.html.

[2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_0.html.

[3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_0.html.

[4] Grzegorz Bancerek. The "way-below" relation. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_3.html.

[5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bases and refinements of topologies. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 10, 1998. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/yellow_9.html.

[6] Czesław Byliński. Basic functions and operations on functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_3.html.

[7] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html.

[8] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/partfun1.html.

[9] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html.

[10] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/tops_2.html.

[11] Artur Korniłowicz. Cartesian products of relations and relational structures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_3.html.

[12] Artur Korniłowicz. Definitions and properties of the join and meet of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_4.html.

[13] Artur Korniłowicz. Meet – continuous lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_2.html.

[14] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/setfam_1.html.

[15] Beata Padlewska. Locally connected spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/connsp_2.html.

[16] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/pre_topc.html.

[17] Alexander Yu. Shibakov and Andrzej Trybulec. The Cantor set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 7, 1995. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/cantor_1.html.

[18] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html.

[19] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/mcart_1.html.

[20] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/borsuk_1.html.

[21] Andrzej Trybulec. Moore-Smith convergence. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_6.html.

[22] Andrzej Trybulec. Baire spaces, Sober spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 9, 1997. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol9/yellow_8.html.

[23] Andrzej Trybulec. Scott topology. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 9, 1997. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol9/waybel11.html.

[24] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/orders_1.html.

[25] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html.

[26] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html.

[27] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relset_1.html.

[28] Mariusz Żynel and Adam Guzowski. T_0 topological spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 6, 1994. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/t_0topsp.html.

Received April 18, 1998

Published January 2, 2004