JOURNAL OF FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Volume 12, Released 2000, Published 2003
Inst. of Computer Science, Univ. of Białystok

The Characterization of the Continuity of Topologies[1]

Grzegorz Bancerek
University of Białystok

Adam Naumowicz
University of Białystok

Summary. Formalization of [19, pp. 128–130], chapter II, section 4 (4.10, 4.11).

MML Identifier: WAYBEL29.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol12/waybel29.html

The articles [32], [15], [38], [29], [39], [12], [14], [40], [11], [18], [2], [31], [28], [42], [30], [16], [1], [34], [26], [27], [37], [3], [17], [4], [5], [21], [13], [23], [6], [41], [24], [35], [36], [7], [33], [22], [20], [25], [9], [8], and [10] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. Preliminaries

The following propositions are true:

(1) Let S, T be non empty relational structures and f be a map from S into T. Suppose f is one-to-one and onto. Then $f \cdot f^{-1} = \mathrm{id}_T$ and $f^{-1} \cdot f = \mathrm{id}_S$ and f^{-1} is one-to-one and onto.

(2) Let X, Y be non empty sets, Z be a non empty relational structure, S be a non empty relational substructure of $Z^{[:X,Y:]}$, T be a non empty relational substructure of $(Z^Y)^X$, and f be a map from S into T. If f is currying, one-to-one, and onto, then f^{-1} is uncurrying.

(3) Let X, Y be non empty sets, Z be a non empty relational structure, S be a non empty relational substructure of $Z^{[:X,Y:]}$, T be a non empty relational substructure of $(Z^Y)^X$, and f be a map from T into S. If f is uncurrying, one-to-one, and onto, then f^{-1} is currying.

(4) Let X, Y be non empty sets, Z be a non empty poset, S be a non empty full relational substructure of $Z^{[:X,Y:]}$, T be a non empty full relational substructure of $(Z^Y)^X$, and f be a map from S into T. If f is currying, one-to-one, and onto, then f is isomorphic.

(5) Let X, Y be non empty sets, Z be a non empty poset, T be a non empty full relational substructure of $Z^{[:X,Y:]}$, S be a non empty full relational substructure of $(Z^Y)^X$, and f be a map from S into T. If f is uncurrying, one-to-one, and onto, then f is isomorphic.

(6) Let S_1, S_2, T_1, T_2 be relational structures. Suppose that

(i) the relational structure of S_1 = the relational structure of S_2, and

(ii) the relational structure of T_1 = the relational structure of T_2.

Let f be a map from S_1 into T_1. Suppose f is isomorphic. Let g be a map from S_2 into T_2. If $g = f$, then g is isomorphic.

[1]This work has been supported by KBN Grant 8 T11C 018 12.

(7) Let R, S, T be relational structures and f be a map from R into S. Suppose f is isomorphic. Let g be a map from S into T. Suppose g is isomorphic. Let h be a map from R into T. If $h = g \cdot f$, then h is isomorphic.

(10)[1] Let X, Y, X_1, Y_1 be topological spaces. Suppose that

(i) the topological structure of X = the topological structure of X_1, and

(ii) the topological structure of Y = the topological structure of Y_1.

Then $[:X, Y:] = [:X_1, Y_1:]$.

(11) Let X be a non empty topological space, L be a Scott up-complete non empty top-poset, and F be a non empty directed subset of $[X \to L]$. Then $\bigsqcup_{(L^{\text{the carrier of } X})} F$ is a continuous map from X into L.

(12) Let X be a non empty topological space and L be a Scott up-complete non empty top-poset. Then $[X \to L]$ is a directed-sups-inheriting relational substructure of $L^{\text{the carrier of } X}$.

(13) Let S_1, S_2 be topological structures. Suppose the topological structure of S_1 = the topological structure of S_2. Let T_1, T_2 be non empty FR-structures. If the FR-structure of T_1 = the FR-structure of T_2, then $[S_1 \to T_1] = [S_2 \to T_2]$.

Let us mention that every complete continuous top-lattice which is Scott is also injective and T_0.

One can verify that there exists a top-lattice which is Scott, continuous, and complete.

Let X be a non empty topological space and let L be a Scott up-complete non empty top-poset. Note that $[X \to L]$ is up-complete.

One can prove the following two propositions:

(14) Let I be a non empty set and J be a poset-yielding nonempty many sorted set indexed by I. Suppose that for every element i of I holds $J(i)$ is up-complete. Then $I\text{-prod}_{\text{POS}} J$ is up-complete.

(15) Let I be a non empty set and J be a poset-yielding nonempty reflexive-yielding many sorted set indexed by I. Suppose that for every element i of I holds $J(i)$ is up-complete and lower-bounded. Let x, y be elements of $\prod J$. Then $x \ll y$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) for every element i of I holds $x(i) \ll y(i)$, and

(ii) there exists a finite subset K of I such that for every element i of I such that $i \notin K$ holds $x(i) = \bot_{J(i)}$.

Let X be a set and let L be a lower-bounded non empty reflexive antisymmetric relational structure. Observe that L^X is lower-bounded.

Let X be a non empty topological space and let L be a lower-bounded non empty top-poset. Note that $[X \to L]$ is lower-bounded.

Let L be an up-complete non empty poset. One can verify that every topological augmentation of L is up-complete and every topological augmentation of L which is Scott is also correct.

Let L be an up-complete non empty poset. Observe that there exists a topological augmentation of L which is strict and Scott.

Next we state two propositions:

(17)[2] Let L be an up-complete non empty poset and S_1, S_2 be Scott topological augmentations of L. Then the topology of S_1 = the topology of S_2.

(18) Let S_1, S_2 be up-complete antisymmetric non empty reflexive FR-structures. Suppose the FR-structure of S_1 = the FR-structure of S_2 and S_1 is Scott. Then S_2 is Scott.

Let L be an up-complete non empty poset.

[1] The propositions (8) and (9) have been removed.

[2] The proposition (16) has been removed.

(Def. 1) ΣL is a strict Scott topological augmentation of L.

We now state two propositions:

(19) For every Scott up-complete non empty top-poset S holds $\Sigma S =$ the FR-structure of S.

(20) Let L_1, L_2 be up-complete non empty posets. Suppose the relational structure of $L_1 =$ the relational structure of L_2. Then $\Sigma L_1 = \Sigma L_2$.

Let S, T be up-complete non empty posets and let f be a map from S into T. The functor Σf yielding a map from ΣS into ΣT is defined by:

(Def. 2) $\Sigma f = f$.

Let S, T be up-complete non empty posets and let f be a directed-sups-preserving map from S into T. Observe that Σf is continuous.

One can prove the following two propositions:

(21) Let S, T be up-complete non empty posets and f be a map from S into T. Then f is isomorphic if and only if Σf is isomorphic.

(22) For every non empty topological space X and for every Scott complete top-lattice S holds $[X \to S] = [X \to S]$.

Let X, Y be non empty topological spaces. The functor $\Theta(X,Y)$ yields a map from $\langle$the topology of $[:X, Y:]$, $\subseteq\rangle$ into $[X \to \Sigma\langle$the topology of Y, $\subseteq\rangle]$ and is defined by:

(Def. 3) For every open subset W of $[:X, Y:]$ holds $(\Theta(X,Y))(W) = \Theta_{\text{the carrier of } X}(W)$.

2. Some Natural Isomorphisms

Let X be a non empty topological space. The functor $\alpha(X)$ yields a map from $[X \to$ the Sierpiński space$]$ into $\langle$the topology of X, $\subseteq\rangle$ and is defined by:

(Def. 4) For every continuous map g from X into the Sierpiński space holds $(\alpha(X))(g) = g^{-1}(\{1\})$.

We now state the proposition

(23) For every non empty topological space X and for every open subset V of X holds $(\alpha(X))^{-1}(V) = \chi_{V,\text{the carrier of } X}$.

Let X be a non empty topological space. Note that $\alpha(X)$ is isomorphic.

Let X be a non empty topological space. Observe that $(\alpha(X))^{-1}$ is isomorphic.

Let S be an injective T_0-space. Observe that ΩS is Scott.

Let X be a non empty topological space. Note that $[X \to$ the Sierpiński space$]$ is complete.

The following proposition is true

(24) Ω(the Sierpiński space) $= \Sigma 2^1_{\subseteq}$.

Let M be a non empty set and let S be an injective T_0-space. Note that M-prod$_{\text{TOP}}(M \longmapsto S)$ is injective.

We now state two propositions:

(25) For every non empty set M and for every complete continuous lattice L holds $\Omega(M\text{-prod}_{\text{TOP}}(M \longmapsto \Sigma L)) = \Sigma M\text{-prod}_{\text{POS}}(M \longmapsto L)$.

(26) For every non empty set M and for every injective T_0-space T holds $\Omega(M\text{-prod}_{\text{TOP}}(M \longmapsto T)) = \Sigma M\text{-prod}_{\text{POS}}(M \longmapsto \Omega T)$.

Let M be a non empty set and let X, Y be non empty topological spaces. The functor commute(X,M,Y) yielding a map from $[X \to M\text{-prod}_{\text{TOP}}(M \longmapsto Y)]$ into $([X \to Y])^M$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 5) For every continuous map f from X into M-$\mathrm{prod}_{\mathrm{TOP}}(M \longmapsto Y)$ holds $(\mathrm{commute}(X,M,Y))(f) = \mathrm{commute}(f)$.

Let M be a non empty set and let X, Y be non empty topological spaces. Note that $\mathrm{commute}(X,M,Y)$ is one-to-one and onto.

Let M be a non empty set and let X be a non empty topological space. Observe that commute(X, M, the Sierpiński space) is isomorphic.

We now state the proposition

(27) Let X, Y be non empty topological spaces, S be a Scott topological augmentation of $\langle$the topology of Y, $\subseteq\rangle$, and f_1, f_2 be elements of $[X \to S]$. If $f_1 \leq f_2$, then $G_{f_1} \subseteq G_{f_2}$.

3. The Poset of Open Sets

We now state several propositions:

(28) Let Y be a T_0-space. Then the following statements are equivalent

(i) for every non empty topological space X and for every Scott continuous complete top-lattice L and for every Scott topological augmentation T of $[Y \to L]$ there exists a map f from $[X \to T]$ into $[[:X, Y:] \to L]$ and there exists a map g from $[[:X, Y:] \to L]$ into $[X \to T]$ such that f is uncurrying, one-to-one, and onto and g is currying, one-to-one, and onto,

(ii) for every non empty topological space X and for every Scott continuous complete top-lattice L and for every Scott topological augmentation T of $[Y \to L]$ there exists a map f from $[X \to T]$ into $[[:X, Y:] \to L]$ and there exists a map g from $[[:X, Y:] \to L]$ into $[X \to T]$ such that f is uncurrying and isomorphic and g is currying and isomorphic.

(29) Let Y be a T_0-space. Then $\langle$the topology of Y, $\subseteq\rangle$ is continuous if and only if for every non empty topological space X holds $\Theta(X,Y)$ is isomorphic.

(30) Let Y be a T_0-space. Then $\langle$the topology of Y, $\subseteq\rangle$ is continuous if and only if for every non empty topological space X and for every continuous map f from X into $\Sigma\langle$the topology of Y, $\subseteq\rangle$ holds G_f is an open subset of $[:X, Y:]$.

(31) Let Y be a T_0-space. Then $\langle$the topology of Y, $\subseteq\rangle$ is continuous if and only if $\{\langle W, y\rangle; W$ ranges over open subsets of Y, y ranges over elements of Y: $y \in W\}$ is an open subset of $[:\Sigma\langle$the topology of Y, $\subseteq\rangle, Y:]$.

(32) Let Y be a T_0-space. Then $\langle$the topology of Y, $\subseteq\rangle$ is continuous if and only if for every element y of Y and for every open neighbourhood V of y there exists an open subset H of $\Sigma\langle$the topology of Y, $\subseteq\rangle$ such that $V \in H$ and $\bigcap H$ is a neighbourhood of y.

4. The Poset of Scott Open Sets

The following propositions are true:

(33) Let R_1, R_2, R_3 be non empty relational structures and f_1 be a map from R_1 into R_3. Suppose f_1 is isomorphic. Let f_2 be a map from R_2 into R_3. Suppose $f_2 = f_1$ and f_2 is isomorphic. Then the relational structure of $R_1 =$ the relational structure of R_2.

(34) Let L be a complete lattice. Then $\langle\sigma(L), \subseteq\rangle$ is continuous if and only if for every complete lattice S holds $\sigma([:S, L:]) =$ the topology of $[:\Sigma S, \Sigma L:]$.

(35) Let L be a complete lattice. Then the following statements are equivalent

(i) for every complete lattice S holds $\sigma([:S, L:]) =$ the topology of $[:\Sigma S, \Sigma L:]$,

(ii) for every complete lattice S holds the topological structure of $\Sigma[:S, L:] = [:\Sigma S, \Sigma L:]$.

(36) Let L be a complete lattice. Then for every complete lattice S holds $\sigma([:S, L:]) =$ the topology of $[:\Sigma S, \Sigma L:]$ if and only if for every complete lattice S holds $\Sigma[:S, L:] = \Omega[:\Sigma S, \Sigma L:]$.

(37) Let L be a complete lattice. Then $\langle\sigma(L), \subseteq\rangle$ is continuous if and only if for every complete lattice S holds $\Sigma[:S, L:] = \Omega[:\Sigma S, \Sigma L:]$.

REFERENCES

[1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Curried and uncurried functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/funct_5.html.

[2] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/card_3.html.

[3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/lattice3.html.

[4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_0.html.

[5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_0.html.

[6] Grzegorz Bancerek. The "way-below" relation. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_3.html.

[7] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bases and refinements of topologies. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 10, 1998. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/yellow_9.html.

[8] Grzegorz Bancerek. Continuous lattices of maps between T_0 spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 11, 1999. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/waybel26.html.

[9] Grzegorz Bancerek. Retracts and inheritance. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 11, 1999. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/yellow16.html.

[10] Grzegorz Bancerek and Adam Naumowicz. Function spaces in the category of directed suprema preserving maps. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 11, 1999. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/waybel27.html.

[11] Czesław Byliński. Basic functions and operations on functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_3.html.

[12] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html.

[13] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html.

[14] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/partfun1.html.

[15] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html.

[16] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/funct_4.html.

[17] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/tops_2.html.

[18] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finset_1.html.

[19] G. Gierz, K.H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J.D. Lawson, M. Mislove, and D.S. Scott. *A Compendium of Continuous Lattices*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1980.

[20] Adam Grabowski. Scott-continuous functions. Part II. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 11, 1999. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/waybel24.html.

[21] Adam Grabowski and Robert Milewski. Boolean posets, posets under inclusion and products of relational structures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_1.html.

[22] Jarosław Gryko. Injective spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 10, 1998. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/waybel18.html.

[23] Artur Korniłowicz. Cartesian products of relations and relational structures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_3.html.

[24] Artur Korniłowicz. On the topological properties of meet-continuous lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_9.html.

[25] Artur Korniłowicz and Jarosław Gryko. Injective spaces. Part II. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 11, 1999. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/waybel25.html.

[26] Beata Madras. Product of family of universal algebras. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/pralg_1.html.

[27] Beata Madras. Products of many sorted algebras. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 6, 1994. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/pralg_2.html.

[28] Michał Muzalewski. Categories of groups. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/grcat_1.html.

[29] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/setfam_1.html.

[30] Beata Padlewska. Locally connected spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/connsp_2.html.

[31] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/pre_topc.html.

[32] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html.

[33] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/borsuk_1.html.

[34] Andrzej Trybulec. Many-sorted sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/pboole.html.

[35] Andrzej Trybulec. Moore-Smith convergence. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_6.html.

[36] Andrzej Trybulec. Scott topology. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 9, 1997. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol9/waybel11.html.

[37] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/orders_1.html.

[38] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html.

[39] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html.

[40] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relset_1.html.

[41] Mariusz Żynel. The equational characterization of continuous lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/waybel_5.html.

[42] Mariusz Żynel and Adam Guzowski. T_0 topological spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 6, 1994. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/t_0topsp.html.

Received January 6, 2000

Published January 2, 2004