JOURNAL OF FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Volume 10, Released 1998, Published 2003
Inst. of Computer Science, Univ. of Białystok

On T_1 Reflex of Topological Space

Adam Naumowicz
University of Białystok

Mariusz Łapiński
University of Białystok

Summary. This article contains a definition of T_1 reflex of a topological space as a quotient space which is T_1 and fulfils the condition that every continuous map f from a topological space T into S being T_1 space can be considered as a superposition of two continuous maps: the first from T onto its T_1 reflex and the last from T_1 reflex of T into S.

MML Identifier: T_1TOPSP.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/t_1topsp.html

The articles [9], [4], [11], [12], [2], [3], [6], [7], [8], [5], [1], and [10] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

In this paper X is a non empty set and w is a set.

One can prove the following four propositions:

(2)[1] Let T be a non empty topological space, A be a non empty partition of the carrier of T, and y be a subset of the decomposition space of A. Then (the projection onto $A)^{-1}(y) = \bigcup y$.

(3) For every non empty set X and for every partition S of X and for every subset A of S holds $\bigcup S \setminus \bigcup A = \bigcup (S \setminus A)$.

(4) For every non empty set X and for every subset A of X and for every partition S of X such that $A \in S$ holds $\bigcup (S \setminus \{A\}) = X \setminus A$.

(5) Let T be a non empty topological space, S be a non empty partition of the carrier of T, A be a subset of the decomposition space of S, and B be a subset of T. If $B = \bigcup A$, then A is closed iff B is closed.

Let X be a non empty set, let x be an element of X, and let S_1 be a partition of X. The functor $\mathrm{EqClass}(x, S_1)$ yields a subset of X and is defined by:

(Def. 1) $x \in \mathrm{EqClass}(x, S_1)$ and $\mathrm{EqClass}(x, S_1) \in S_1$.

Next we state two propositions:

(6) For all partitions S_1, S_2 of X such that for every element x of X holds $\mathrm{EqClass}(x, S_1) = \mathrm{EqClass}(x, S_2)$ holds $S_1 = S_2$.

(7) For every non empty set X holds $\{X\}$ is a partition of X.

Let X be a set. Family class of X is defined by:

(Def. 2) It $\subseteq 2^{2^X}$.

Let X be a set and let F be a family class of X. We say that F if and only if:

[1] The proposition (1) has been removed.

(Def. 3) For every set S such that $S \in F$ holds S is a partition of X.

Let X be a set. Note that there exists a family class of X which
let X be a set. A partition family of X is a family class of X.
Let X be a non empty set. One can check that there exists a partition of X which is non empty.
We now state the proposition

(8) For every set X and for every partition p of X holds $\{p\}$ is a partition family of X.

Let X be a set. Note that there exists a partition family of X which is non empty.
One can prove the following two propositions:

(9) For every partition S_1 of X and for all elements x, y of X such that $\mathrm{EqClass}(x,S_1)$ meets $\mathrm{EqClass}(y,S_1)$ holds $\mathrm{EqClass}(x,S_1) = \mathrm{EqClass}(y,S_1)$.

(10) Let A be a set, X be a non empty set, and S be a partition of X. If $A \in S$, then there exists an element x of X such that $A = \mathrm{EqClass}(x,S)$.

Let X be a non empty set and let F be a non empty partition family of X. The functor $\mathrm{Intersection}\,F$ yielding a non empty partition of X is defined by:

(Def. 4) For every element x of X holds $\mathrm{EqClass}(x, \mathrm{Intersection}\,F) = \bigcap\{\mathrm{EqClass}(x,S); S$ ranges over partitions of X: $S \in F\}$.

In the sequel T denotes a non empty topological space.
We now state the proposition

(11) $\{A; A$ ranges over partitions of the carrier of T: A is closed$\}$ is a partition family of the carrier of T.

Let us consider T. The functor $\mathrm{ClosedPartitions}\,T$ yields a non empty partition family of the carrier of T and is defined as follows:

(Def. 5) $\mathrm{ClosedPartitions}\,T = \{A; A$ ranges over partitions of the carrier of T: A is closed$\}$.

Let T be a non empty topological space. The functor $T_1\text{-reflex}\,T$ yields a topological space and is defined by:

(Def. 6) $T_1\text{-reflex}\,T =$ the decomposition space of $\mathrm{Intersection}\,\mathrm{ClosedPartitions}\,T$.

Let us consider T. Note that $T_1\text{-reflex}\,T$ is strict and non empty.
Next we state the proposition

(12) For every non empty topological space T holds $T_1\text{-reflex}\,T$ is T_1.

Let us consider T. Observe that $T_1\text{-reflex}\,T$ is T_1.
Let T be a non empty topological space. The functor $T_1\text{-reflect}\,T$ yields a continuous map from T into $T_1\text{-reflex}\,T$ and is defined by:

(Def. 7) $T_1\text{-reflect}\,T =$ the projection onto $\mathrm{Intersection}\,\mathrm{ClosedPartitions}\,T$.

Next we state four propositions:

(13) Let T, T_1 be non empty topological spaces and f be a continuous map from T into T_1. Suppose T_1 is T_1. Then

(i) $\{f^{-1}(\{z\}); z$ ranges over elements of T_1: $z \in \mathrm{rng}\,f\}$ is a partition of the carrier of T, and

(ii) for every subset A of T such that $A \in \{f^{-1}(\{z\}); z$ ranges over elements of T_1: $z \in \mathrm{rng}\,f\}$ holds A is closed.

(14) Let T, T_1 be non empty topological spaces and f be a continuous map from T into T_1. Suppose T_1 is T_1. Let given w and x be an element of T. If $w = \mathrm{EqClass}(x, \mathrm{Intersection}\,\mathrm{ClosedPartitions}\,T)$, then $w \subseteq f^{-1}(\{f(x)\})$.

(15) Let T, T_1 be non empty topological spaces and f be a continuous map from T into T_1. Suppose T_1 is T_1. Let given w. Suppose $w \in$ the carrier of T_1-reflex T. Then there exists an element z of T_1 such that $z \in \operatorname{rng} f$ and $w \subseteq f^{-1}(\{z\})$.

(16) Let T, T_1 be non empty topological spaces and f be a continuous map from T into T_1. Suppose T_1 is T_1. Then there exists a continuous map h from T_1-reflex T into T_1 such that $f = h \cdot T_1$-reflect T.

Let T, S be non empty topological spaces and let f be a continuous map from T into S. The functor T_1-reflex f yielding a continuous map from T_1-reflex T into T_1-reflex S is defined by:

(Def. 8) T_1-reflect $S \cdot f = T_1$-reflex $f \cdot T_1$-reflect T.

REFERENCES

[1] Józef Białas and Yatsuka Nakamura. Dyadic numbers and T_4 topological spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 7, 1995. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/urysohn1.html.

[2] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html.

[3] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html.

[4] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html.

[5] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/tops_2.html.

[6] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/setfam_1.html.

[7] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/pre_topc.html.

[8] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Equivalence relations and classes of abstraction. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/eqrel_1.html.

[9] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html.

[10] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/borsuk_1.html.

[11] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html.

[12] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html.

Received March 7, 1998

Published January 2, 2004