JOURNAL OF FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Volume 2, Released 1990, Published 2003
Inst. of Computer Science, Univ. of Białystok

Calculus of Propositions

Jan Popiołek
Warsaw University
Białystok

Andrzej Trybulec
Warsaw University
Białystok

Summary. Continues the analysis of classical language of first order (see [6], [1], [3], [4], [2]). Three connectives: truth, negation and conjuction are primary (see [6]). The others (alternative, implication and equivalence) are defined with respect to them (see [1]). We prove some important tautologies of the calculus of propositions. Most of them are given as the axioms of classical logical calculus (see [5]). In the last part of our article we give some basic rules of inference.

MML Identifier: PROCAL_1.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/procal_1.html

The articles [7], [3], and [4] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

In this paper p, q, r, s are elements of CQC-WFF.

The following propositions are true:

(1) $\neg(p \wedge \neg p) \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(2) $p \vee \neg p \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(3) $p \Rightarrow p \vee q \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(4) $q \Rightarrow p \vee q \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(5) $p \vee q \Rightarrow (\neg p \Rightarrow q) \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(6) $\neg(p \vee q) \Rightarrow \neg p \wedge \neg q \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(7) $\neg p \wedge \neg q \Rightarrow \neg(p \vee q) \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(8) $p \vee q \Rightarrow q \vee p \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(9) $\neg p \vee p \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(10) $\neg(p \vee q) \Rightarrow \neg p \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(11) $p \vee p \Rightarrow p \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(12) $p \Rightarrow p \vee p \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(13) $p \wedge \neg p \Rightarrow q \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(14) $(p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow \neg p \vee q \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(15) $p \wedge q \Rightarrow \neg(p \Rightarrow \neg q) \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(16) $\neg(p \Rightarrow \neg q) \Rightarrow p \wedge q \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

© Association of Mizar Users

(17) $\neg(p \wedge q) \Rightarrow \neg p \vee \neg q \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(18) $\neg p \vee \neg q \Rightarrow \neg(p \wedge q) \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(19) $p \wedge q \Rightarrow p \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(20) $p \wedge q \Rightarrow p \vee q \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(21) $p \wedge q \Rightarrow q \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(22) $p \Rightarrow p \wedge p \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(23) $(p \Leftrightarrow q) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow q) \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(24) $(p \Leftrightarrow q) \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow p) \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(25) $p \vee q \vee r \Rightarrow p \vee (q \vee r) \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(26) $p \wedge q \wedge r \Rightarrow p \wedge (q \wedge r) \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(27) $p \vee (q \vee r) \Rightarrow p \vee q \vee r \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(28) $p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow p \wedge q) \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(29) $(p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow ((q \Rightarrow p) \Rightarrow (p \Leftrightarrow q)) \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(30) $p \vee q \Leftrightarrow q \vee p \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(31) $(p \wedge q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r)) \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(32) $(p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r)) \Rightarrow (p \wedge q \Rightarrow r) \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(33) $(r \Rightarrow p) \Rightarrow ((r \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow (r \Rightarrow p \wedge q)) \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(34) $(p \vee q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r) \vee (q \Rightarrow r) \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(35) $(p \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow ((q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \vee q \Rightarrow r)) \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(36) $(p \Rightarrow r) \wedge (q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \vee q \Rightarrow r) \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(37) $(p \Rightarrow q \wedge \neg q) \Rightarrow \neg p \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(38) $(p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r) \Rightarrow p \vee q \wedge r \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(39) $p \wedge (q \vee r) \Rightarrow p \wedge q \vee p \wedge r \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(40) $(p \vee r) \wedge (q \vee r) \Rightarrow p \wedge q \vee r \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(41) $(p \vee q) \wedge r \Rightarrow p \wedge r \vee q \wedge r \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(42) If $p \in \mathrm{Taut}$, then $p \vee q \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(43) If $q \in \mathrm{Taut}$, then $p \vee q \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(44) If $p \wedge q \in \mathrm{Taut}$, then $p \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(45) If $p \wedge q \in \mathrm{Taut}$, then $q \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(46) If $p \wedge q \in \mathrm{Taut}$, then $p \vee q \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(47) If $p \in \mathrm{Taut}$ and $q \in \mathrm{Taut}$, then $p \wedge q \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(48) If $p \Rightarrow q \in \mathrm{Taut}$, then $p \vee r \Rightarrow q \vee r \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(49) If $p \Rightarrow q \in \mathrm{Taut}$, then $r \vee p \Rightarrow r \vee q \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(50) If $p \Rightarrow q \in \mathrm{Taut}$, then $r \wedge p \Rightarrow r \wedge q \in \mathrm{Taut}$.

(51) If $p \Rightarrow q \in$ Taut, then $p \wedge r \Rightarrow q \wedge r \in$ Taut.

(52) If $r \Rightarrow p \in$ Taut and $r \Rightarrow q \in$ Taut, then $r \Rightarrow p \wedge q \in$ Taut.

(53) If $p \Rightarrow r \in$ Taut and $q \Rightarrow r \in$ Taut, then $p \vee q \Rightarrow r \in$ Taut.

(54) If $p \vee q \in$ Taut and $\neg p \in$ Taut, then $q \in$ Taut.

(55) If $p \vee q \in$ Taut and $\neg q \in$ Taut, then $p \in$ Taut.

(56) If $p \Rightarrow q \in$ Taut and $r \Rightarrow s \in$ Taut, then $p \wedge r \Rightarrow q \wedge s \in$ Taut.

(57) If $p \Rightarrow q \in$ Taut and $r \Rightarrow s \in$ Taut, then $p \vee r \Rightarrow q \vee s \in$ Taut.

(58) If $p \wedge \neg q \Rightarrow \neg p \in$ Taut, then $p \Rightarrow q \in$ Taut.

REFERENCES

[1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Connectives and subformulae of the first order language. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/qc_lang2.html.

[2] Grzegorz Bancerek, Agata Darmochwał, and Andrzej Trybulec. Propositional calculus. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/lukasi_1.html.

[3] Czesław Byliński. A classical first order language. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/cqc_lang.html.

[4] Agata Darmochwał. A first-order predicate calculus. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/cqc_the1.html.

[5] Andrzej Grzegorczyk. *Zarys logiki matematycznej*. PWN, Warsaw, 1973.

[6] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. A first order language. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/qc_lang1.html.

[7] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html.

Received October 23, 1990

Published January 2, 2004