JOURNAL OF FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Volume 4, Released 1992, Published 2003
Inst. of Computer Science, Univ. of Białystok

Transpose Matrices and Groups of Permutations

Katarzyna Jankowska
Warsaw University
Białystok

Summary. Some facts concerning matrices with dimension 2×2 are shown. Upper and lower triangular matrices, and operation of deleting rows and columns in a matrix are introduced. Besides, we deal with sets of permutations and the fact that all permutations of finite set constitute a finite group is proved. Some proofs are based on [11] and [14].

MML Identifier: `MATRIX_2`.

WWW: `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/matrix_2.html`

The articles [15], [8], [20], [21], [5], [7], [6], [2], [18], [19], [4], [17], [13], [3], [1], [12], [10], [16], and [9] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. Some examples of matrices

For simplicity, we follow the rules: x, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 are sets, i, j, k, l, n, m are natural numbers, D is a non empty set, K is a field, s is a finite sequence, and a, b, c, d are elements of D.

The scheme *SeqDEx* deals with a non empty set $\mathcal{A}$, a natural number $\mathcal{B}$, and a binary predicate $\mathcal{P}$, and states that:

There exists a finite sequence p of elements of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\operatorname{dom} p = \operatorname{Seg} \mathcal{B}$ and for every k such that $k \in \operatorname{Seg} \mathcal{B}$ holds $\mathcal{P}[k, p(k)]$

provided the parameters satisfy the following condition:

- For every k such that $k \in \operatorname{Seg} \mathcal{B}$ there exists an element x of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathcal{P}[k,x]$.

Let us consider n, m and let a be a set. The functor $\begin{pmatrix} a & \ldots & a \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a & \ldots & a \end{pmatrix}^{n \times m}$ yields a tabular finite sequence and is defined by:

(Def. 1) $$\begin{pmatrix} a & \ldots & a \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a & \ldots & a \end{pmatrix}^{n \times m} = n \mapsto (m \mapsto a).$$

Let us consider D, n, m and let us consider d. Then $\begin{pmatrix} d & \ldots & d \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ d & \ldots & d \end{pmatrix}^{n \times m}$ is a matrix over D of dimension $n \times m$.

The following proposition is true

(1) If $\langle i, j \rangle \in$ the indices of $\begin{pmatrix} a & \ldots & a \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a & \ldots & a \end{pmatrix}^{n \times m}$, then $\begin{pmatrix} a & \ldots & a \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a & \ldots & a \end{pmatrix}^{n \times m} \circ (i, j) = a$.

In the sequel a', b' are elements of K.

Next we state the proposition

(2) $$\begin{pmatrix} a' & \dots & a' \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a' & \dots & a' \end{pmatrix}^{n\times n} + \begin{pmatrix} b' & \dots & b' \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b' & \dots & b' \end{pmatrix}^{n\times n} = \begin{pmatrix} a'+b' & \dots & a'+b' \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a'+b' & \dots & a'+b' \end{pmatrix}^{n\times n}.$$

Let a, b, c, d be sets. The functor $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ yielding a tabular finite sequence is defined as follows:

(Def. 2) $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \langle \langle a,b\rangle, \langle c,d\rangle\rangle$.

Next we state two propositions:

(3) $\operatorname{len}\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ y_1 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} = 2$ and $\operatorname{width}\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ y_1 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} = 2$ and the indices of $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ y_1 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} = [:\operatorname{Seg}2, \operatorname{Seg}2:]$.

(4)(i) $\langle 1, 1\rangle \in$ the indices of $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ y_1 & y_2 \end{pmatrix}$,

(ii) $\langle 1, 2\rangle \in$ the indices of $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ y_1 & y_2 \end{pmatrix}$,

(iii) $\langle 2, 1\rangle \in$ the indices of $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ y_1 & y_2 \end{pmatrix}$, and

(iv) $\langle 2, 2\rangle \in$ the indices of $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ y_1 & y_2 \end{pmatrix}$.

Let us consider D and let a be an element of D. Then $\langle a\rangle$ is an element of D^1.

Let us consider D, let us consider n, and let p be an element of D^n. Then $\langle p\rangle$ is a matrix over D of dimension $1 \times n$.

The following proposition is true

(5) $\langle 1, 1\rangle \in$ the indices of $\langle\langle a\rangle\rangle$ and $\langle\langle a\rangle\rangle \circ (1,1) = a$.

Let us consider D and let a, b, c, d be elements of D. Then $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ is a matrix over D of dimension 2.

The following proposition is true

(6) $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \circ (1,1) = a$ and $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \circ (1,2) = b$ and $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \circ (2,1) = c$ and $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \circ (2,2) = d$.

Let us consider n and let K be a field. A matrix over K of dimension n is said to be an upper triangular matrix over K of dimension n if:

(Def. 3) For all i, j such that $\langle i, j\rangle \in$ the indices of it holds if $i > j$, then it $\circ (i, j) = 0_K$.

Let us consider n and let us consider K. A matrix over K of dimension n is said to be a lower triangular matrix over K of dimension n if:

(Def. 4) For all i, j such that $\langle i, j\rangle \in$ the indices of it holds if $i < j$, then it $\circ (i, j) = 0_K$.

We now state the proposition

(7) For every matrix M over D such that $\operatorname{len}M = n$ holds M is a matrix over D of dimension $n \times \operatorname{width}M$.

2. Deleting of rows and columns in a matrix

Let us consider i and let p be a finite sequence. The functor $p_{\restriction i}$ yields a finite sequence and is defined as follows:

(Def. 5) $p_{\restriction i} = p \cdot \mathrm{Sgm}(\mathrm{dom}\, p \setminus \{i\})$.

One can prove the following three propositions:

(8) For every finite sequence p holds if $i \in \mathrm{dom}\, p$, then there exists m such that $\mathrm{len}\, p = m+1$ and $\mathrm{len}(p_{\restriction i}) = m$ and if $i \notin \mathrm{dom}\, p$, then $p_{\restriction i} = p$.

(9) For every finite sequence p of elements of D holds $p_{\restriction i}$ is a finite sequence of elements of D.

(10) For every matrix M over K of dimension $n \times m$ and for every k such that $k \in \mathrm{Seg}\, n$ holds $M(k) = \mathrm{Line}(M,k)$.

Let us consider i, let us consider K, and let M be a matrix over K. Let us assume that $i \in \mathrm{Seg}\, \mathrm{width}\, M$. The deleting of i-column in M yielding a matrix over K is defined by the conditions (Def. 6).

(Def. 6)(i) len (the deleting of i-column in M) $= \mathrm{len}\, M$, and

(ii) for every k such that $k \in \mathrm{dom}\, M$ holds (the deleting of i-column in $M)(k) = \mathrm{Line}(M,k)_{\restriction i}$.

One can prove the following propositions:

(11) For all matrices M_1, M_2 over D such that ${M_1}^{\mathrm{T}} = {M_2}^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\mathrm{len}\, M_1 = \mathrm{len}\, M_2$ holds $M_1 = M_2$.

(12) For every matrix M over D such that $\mathrm{width}\, M > 0$ holds $\mathrm{len}(M^{\mathrm{T}}) = \mathrm{width}\, M$ and $\mathrm{width}(M^{\mathrm{T}}) = \mathrm{len}\, M$.

(13) For all matrices M_1, M_2 over D such that $\mathrm{width}\, M_1 > 0$ and $\mathrm{width}\, M_2 > 0$ and ${M_1}^{\mathrm{T}} = {M_2}^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\mathrm{width}({M_1}^{\mathrm{T}}) = \mathrm{width}({M_2}^{\mathrm{T}})$ holds $M_1 = M_2$.

(14) For all matrices M_1, M_2 over D such that $\mathrm{width}\, M_1 > 0$ and $\mathrm{width}\, M_2 > 0$ holds $M_1 = M_2$ iff ${M_1}^{\mathrm{T}} = {M_2}^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\mathrm{width}\, M_1 = \mathrm{width}\, M_2$.

(15) For every matrix M over D such that $\mathrm{len}\, M > 0$ and $\mathrm{width}\, M > 0$ holds $(M^{\mathrm{T}})^{\mathrm{T}} = M$.

(16) For every matrix M over D and for every i such that $i \in \mathrm{dom}\, M$ holds $\mathrm{Line}(M,i) = (M^{\mathrm{T}})_{\square,i}$.

(17) For every matrix M over D and for every j such that $j \in \mathrm{Seg}\, \mathrm{width}\, M$ holds $\mathrm{Line}(M^{\mathrm{T}}, j) = M_{\square,j}$.

(18) For every matrix M over D and for every i such that $i \in \mathrm{dom}\, M$ holds $M(i) = \mathrm{Line}(M,i)$.

Let us consider i, let us consider K, and let M be a matrix over K. Let us assume that $i \in \mathrm{dom}\, M$ and $\mathrm{width}\, M > 0$. The deleting of i-row in M yields a matrix over K and is defined as follows:

(Def. 7)(i) The deleting of i-row in $M = \emptyset$ if $\mathrm{len}\, M = 1$,

(ii) width (the deleting of i-row in M) $= \mathrm{width}\, M$ and for every k such that $k \in \mathrm{Seg}\, \mathrm{width}\, M$ holds (the deleting of i-row in $M)_{\square,k} = (M_{\square,k})_{\restriction i}$, otherwise.

Let us consider i, j, let us consider n, let us consider K, and let M be a matrix over K of dimension n. The deleting of i-row and j-column in M yields a matrix over K and is defined by:

(Def. 8) The deleting of i-row and j-column in $M = \begin{cases} \text{(i)} \quad \emptyset, \text{ if } n = 1, \\ \text{the deleting of } j\text{-column in the deleting of } i\text{-row in } M, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$

3. Sets of permutations

Let I_1 be a set. We say that I_1 is permutational if and only if:

(Def. 9) There exists n such that for every x such that $x \in I_1$ holds x is a permutation of $\mathrm{Seg}\, n$.

Let us observe that there exists a set which is permutational and non empty.

Let P be a permutational non empty set. The functor $\mathrm{len}\, P$ yielding a natural number is defined as follows:

(Def. 10) There exists s such that $s \in P$ and $\mathrm{len}\, P = \mathrm{len}\, s$.

Let P be a permutational non empty set. We see that the element of P is a permutation of $\mathrm{Seg}\, \mathrm{len}\, P$.

One can prove the following proposition

(19) There exists a permutational non empty set P such that $\mathrm{len}\, P = n$.

Let us consider n. The permutations of n-element set constitute a set defined by:

(Def. 11) $x \in$ the permutations of n-element set iff x is a permutation of $\mathrm{Seg}\, n$.

Let us consider n. One can check that the permutations of n-element set is permutational and non empty.

We now state two propositions:

(20) len (the permutations of n-element set) $= n$.

(21) The permutations of 1-element set $= \{\mathrm{idseq}(1)\}$.

Let us consider n and let p be an element of the permutations of n-element set. The functor $\mathrm{len}\, p$ yielding a natural number is defined as follows:

(Def. 12) There exists a finite sequence s such that $s = p$ and $\mathrm{len}\, p = \mathrm{len}\, s$.

We now state the proposition

(22) For every element p of the permutations of n-element set holds $\mathrm{len}\, p = n$.

4. Group of permutations

In the sequel p, q are elements of the permutations of n-element set.

Let us consider n. The functor A_n yielding a strict groupoid is defined by the conditions (Def. 13).

(Def. 13)(i) The carrier of $A_n =$ the permutations of n-element set, and

(ii) for all elements q, p of the permutations of n-element set holds (the multiplication of $A_n)(q, p) = p \cdot q$.

Let us consider n. Note that A_n is non empty.

The following propositions are true:

(23) $\mathrm{idseq}(n)$ is an element of A_n.

(24) $p \cdot \mathrm{idseq}(n) = p$ and $\mathrm{idseq}(n) \cdot p = p$.

(25) $p \cdot p^{-1} = \mathrm{idseq}(n)$ and $p^{-1} \cdot p = \mathrm{idseq}(n)$.

(26) p^{-1} is an element of A_n.

Let us consider n. A permutation of n element set is an element of the permutations of n-element set. Note that A_n is associative and group-like.

The following proposition is true

(28)[1] $\mathrm{idseq}(n) = 1_{A_n}$.

Let us consider n and let p be a permutation of $\mathrm{Seg}\,n$. We say that p is transposition if and only if:

(Def. 14) There exist i, j such that $i \in \mathrm{dom}\,p$ and $j \in \mathrm{dom}\,p$ and $i \neq j$ and $p(i) = j$ and $p(j) = i$ and for every k such that $k \neq i$ and $k \neq j$ and $k \in \mathrm{dom}\,p$ holds $p(k) = k$.

We introduce p is a transposition as a synonym of p is transposition.

Let us consider n and let I_1 be a permutation of $\mathrm{Seg}\,n$. We say that I_1 is even if and only if the condition (Def. 15) is satisfied.

(Def. 15) There exists a finite sequence l of elements of the carrier of A_n such that $\mathrm{len}\,l \bmod 2 = 0$ and $I_1 = \prod l$ and for every i such that $i \in \mathrm{dom}\,l$ there exists q such that $l(i) = q$ and q is a transposition.

We introduce I_1 is odd as an antonym of I_1 is even.

The following proposition is true

(29) $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Seg}\,n}$ is even.

Let us consider K, n, let x be an element of K, and let p be an element of the permutations of n-element set. The functor $(-1)^{\mathrm{sgn}(p)}x$ yields an element of K and is defined as follows:

(Def. 16) $(-1)^{\mathrm{sgn}(p)}x = \begin{cases} \text{(i)} \quad x, & \text{if } p \text{is even,} \\ -x, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Let X be a set. Let us assume that X is finite. The functor Ω^{f}_X yields an element of $\mathrm{Fin}\,X$ and is defined as follows:

(Def. 17) $\Omega^{\mathrm{f}}_X = X$.

One can prove the following proposition

(30) The permutations of n-element set are finite.

REFERENCES

[1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/card_1.html`.

[2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/nat_1.html`.

[3] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finseq_1.html`.

[4] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/binop_1.html`.

[5] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html`.

[6] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html`.

[7] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/partfun1.html`.

[8] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html`.

[9] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/finseq_2.html`.

[10] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finset_1.html`.

[11] Thomas W. Hungerford. *Algebra*, volume 73 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag New York Inc., Seattle, Washington USA, Department of Mathematics University of Washington edition, 1974.

[1] The proposition (27) has been removed.

[12] Katarzyna Jankowska. Matrices. Abelian group of matrices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/matrix_1.html`.

[13] Eugeniusz Kusak, Wojciech Leończuk, and Michał Muzalewski. Abelian groups, fields and vector spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/vectsp_1.html`.

[14] Serge Lang. *Algebra*. PWN, Warszawa, 1984.

[15] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html`.

[16] Andrzej Trybulec and Agata Darmochwał. Boolean domains. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finsub_1.html`.

[17] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Vectors in real linear space. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/rlvect_1.html`.

[18] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Groups. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/group_1.html`.

[19] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Lattice of subgroups of a group. Frattini subgroup. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/group_4.html`.

[20] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html`.

[21] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. `http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html`.

Received May 20, 1992

Published January 2, 2004