

Special Polygons

Czesław Byliński
Warsaw University
Białystok

Yatsuka Nakamura
Shinshu University
Nagano

MML Identifier: SPPOL_2.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/sppol_2.html

The articles [13], [2], [9], [1], [4], [3], [14], [10], [12], [6], [7], [8], [11], and [5] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. SEGMENTS IN \mathcal{E}_T^2

For simplicity, we follow the rules: P denotes a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 , f, f_1, f_2, g denote finite sequences of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 , p, p_1, p_2, q, q_1, q_2 denote points of \mathcal{E}_T^2 , r_1, r_2, r'_1, r'_2 denote real numbers, and i, j, k, n denote natural numbers.

The following propositions are true:

- (1) For all real numbers r_1, r_2, r'_1, r'_2 such that $[r_1, r_2] = [r'_1, r'_2]$ holds $r_1 = r'_1$ and $r_2 = r'_2$.
- (2) If $i + j = \text{len } f$, then $\mathcal{L}(f, i) = \mathcal{L}(\text{Rev}(f), j)$.
- (3) If $i + 1 \leq \text{len}(f \upharpoonright n)$, then $\mathcal{L}(f \upharpoonright n, i) = \mathcal{L}(f, i)$.
- (4) If $n \leq \text{len } f$ and $1 \leq i$, then $\mathcal{L}(f \upharpoonright n, i) = \mathcal{L}(f, n + i)$.
- (5) If $1 \leq i$ and $i + 1 \leq \text{len } f - n$, then $\mathcal{L}(f \upharpoonright n, i) = \mathcal{L}(f, n + i)$.
- (6) If $i + 1 \leq \text{len } f$, then $\mathcal{L}(f \hat{\ } g, i) = \mathcal{L}(f, i)$.
- (7) If $1 \leq i$, then $\mathcal{L}(f \hat{\ } g, \text{len } f + i) = \mathcal{L}(g, i)$.
- (8) If f is non empty and g is non empty, then $\mathcal{L}(f \hat{\ } g, \text{len } f) = \mathcal{L}(f \upharpoonright_{\text{len } f}, g_1)$.
- (9) If $i + 1 \leq \text{len}(f - : p)$, then $\mathcal{L}(f - : p, i) = \mathcal{L}(f, i)$.
- (10) If $p \in \text{rng } f$, then $\mathcal{L}(f - : p, i + 1) = \mathcal{L}(f, i + p \leftrightarrow p f)$.
- (11) $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\epsilon_{(\text{the carrier of } \mathcal{E}_T^2)}) = \emptyset$.
- (12) $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\langle p \rangle) = \emptyset$.
- (13) If $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$, then there exists i such that $1 \leq i$ and $i + 1 \leq \text{len } f$ and $p \in \mathcal{L}(f, i)$.
- (14) If $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$, then there exists i such that $1 \leq i$ and $i + 1 \leq \text{len } f$ and $p \in \mathcal{L}(f_i, f_{i+1})$.
- (15) If $1 \leq i$ and $i + 1 \leq \text{len } f$ and $p \in \mathcal{L}(f_i, f_{i+1})$, then $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$.

- (16) If $1 \leq i$ and $i + 1 \leq \text{len } f$, then $\mathcal{L}(f_i, f_{i+1}) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$.
- (17) If $p \in \mathcal{L}(f, i)$, then $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$.
- (18) If $\text{len } f \geq 2$, then $\text{rng } f \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$.
- (19) If f is non empty, then $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f \hat{\ } \langle p \rangle) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \cup \mathcal{L}(f_{\text{len } f}, p)$.
- (20) If f is non empty, then $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\langle p \rangle \hat{\ } f) = \mathcal{L}(p, f_1) \cup \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$.
- (21) $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\langle p, q \rangle) = \mathcal{L}(p, q)$.
- (22) $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{Rev}(f))$.
- (23) If f_1 is non empty and f_2 is non empty, then $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f_1 \hat{\ } f_2) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f_1) \cup \mathcal{L}((f_1)_{\text{len } f_1}, (f_2)_1) \cup \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f_2)$.
- (25)¹ If $q \in \text{rng } f$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f - : q) \cup \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f : - q)$.
- (26) If $p \in \mathcal{L}(f, n)$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\text{Ins}(f, n, p))$.

2. SPECIAL SEQUENCES IN \mathcal{E}_T^2

One can verify the following observations:

- * there exists a finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 which is special sequence,
- * every finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 which is special sequence is also one-to-one, unfolded, s.n.c., special, and non trivial,
- * every finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 which is one-to-one, unfolded, s.n.c., special, and non trivial is also special sequence, and
- * every finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 which is special sequence is also non empty.

Let us mention that there exists a finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 which is one-to-one, unfolded, s.n.c., special, and non trivial.

We now state the proposition

- (27) If $\text{len } f \leq 2$, then f is unfolded.

Let f be an unfolded finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and let us consider n . Observe that $f \upharpoonright n$ is unfolded and $f_{\upharpoonright n}$ is unfolded.

One can prove the following proposition

- (28) If $p \in \text{rng } f$ and f is unfolded, then $f : - p$ is unfolded.

Let f be an unfolded finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and let us consider p . One can check that $f - : p$ is unfolded.

The following propositions are true:

- (29) If f is unfolded, then $\text{Rev}(f)$ is unfolded.
- (30) If g is unfolded and $\mathcal{L}(p, g_1) \cap \mathcal{L}(g, 1) = \{g_1\}$, then $\langle p \rangle \hat{\ } g$ is unfolded.
- (31) If f is unfolded and $k + 1 = \text{len } f$ and $\mathcal{L}(f, k) \cap \mathcal{L}(f_{\text{len } f}, p) = \{f_{\text{len } f}\}$, then $f \hat{\ } \langle p \rangle$ is unfolded.
- (32) Suppose f is unfolded and g is unfolded and $k + 1 = \text{len } f$ and $\mathcal{L}(f, k) \cap \mathcal{L}(f_{\text{len } f}, g_1) = \{f_{\text{len } f}\}$ and $\mathcal{L}(f_{\text{len } f}, g_1) \cap \mathcal{L}(g, 1) = \{g_1\}$. Then $f \hat{\ } g$ is unfolded.

¹ The proposition (24) has been removed.

(33) If f is unfolded and $p \in \mathcal{L}(f, n)$, then $\text{Ins}(f, n, p)$ is unfolded.

(34) If $\text{len } f \leq 2$, then f is s.n.c..

Let f be a s.n.c. finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and let us consider n . Observe that $f|_n$ is s.n.c. and $f|_n$ is s.n.c..

Let f be a s.n.c. finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and let us consider p . One can verify that $f -: p$ is s.n.c..

One can prove the following propositions:

(35) If $p \in \text{rng } f$ and f is s.n.c., then $f -: p$ is s.n.c..

(36) If f is s.n.c., then $\text{Rev}(f)$ is s.n.c..

(37) Suppose that

(i) f is s.n.c.,

(ii) g is s.n.c.,

(iii) $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ misses $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$,

(iv) for every i such that $1 \leq i$ and $i + 2 \leq \text{len } f$ holds $\mathcal{L}(f, i)$ misses $\mathcal{L}(f_{\text{len } f}, g_1)$, and

(v) for every i such that $2 \leq i$ and $i + 1 \leq \text{len } g$ holds $\mathcal{L}(g, i)$ misses $\mathcal{L}(f_{\text{len } f}, g_1)$.

Then $f \frown g$ is s.n.c..

(38) If f is unfolded and s.n.c. and $p \in \mathcal{L}(f, n)$ and $p \notin \text{rng } f$, then $\text{Ins}(f, n, p)$ is s.n.c..

Let us observe that $\epsilon_{(\text{the carrier of } \mathcal{E}_T^2)}$ is special.

Next we state two propositions:

(39) $\langle p \rangle$ is special.

(40) If $p_1 = q_1$ or $p_2 = q_2$, then $\langle p, q \rangle$ is special.

Let f be a special finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and let us consider n . Observe that $f|_n$ is special and $f|_n$ is special.

Next we state the proposition

(41) If $p \in \text{rng } f$ and f is special, then $f -: p$ is special.

Let f be a special finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and let us consider p . One can check that $f -: p$ is special.

Next we state four propositions:

(42) If f is special, then $\text{Rev}(f)$ is special.

(44)² If f is special and $p \in \mathcal{L}(f, n)$, then $\text{Ins}(f, n, p)$ is special.

(45) If $q \in \text{rng } f$ and $1 \neq q \leftarrow f$ and $q \leftarrow f \neq \text{len } f$ and f is unfolded and s.n.c., then $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f -: q) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f -: q) = \{q\}$.

(46) If $p \neq q$ and if $p_1 = q_1$ or $p_2 = q_2$, then $\langle p, q \rangle$ is special sequence.

A S-sequence in \mathbb{R}^2 is special sequence finite sequence of elements of \mathcal{E}_T^2 .

We now state several propositions:

(47) For every S-sequence f in \mathbb{R}^2 holds $\text{Rev}(f)$ is special sequence.

(48) For every S-sequence f in \mathbb{R}^2 such that $i \in \text{dom } f$ holds $f_i \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)$.

(49) If $p \neq q$ and if $p_1 = q_1$ or $p_2 = q_2$, then $\mathcal{L}(p, q)$ is special polygonal arc.

² The proposition (43) has been removed.

- (50) For every S-sequence f in \mathbb{R}^2 such that $p \in \text{rng } f$ and $p \leftarrow f \neq 1$ holds $f - : p$ is special sequence.
- (51) For every S-sequence f in \mathbb{R}^2 such that $p \in \text{rng } f$ and $p \leftarrow f \neq \text{len } f$ holds $f : - p$ is special sequence.
- (52) For every S-sequence f in \mathbb{R}^2 such that $p \in \mathcal{L}(f, i)$ and $p \notin \text{rng } f$ holds $\text{Ins}(f, i, p)$ is special sequence.

3. SPECIAL POLYGONS IN \mathcal{E}_T^2

Let us observe that there exists a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 which is special polygonal arc.

Next we state the proposition

- (53) If P is a special polygonal arc joining p_1 and p_2 , then P is a special polygonal arc joining p_2 and p_1 .

Let us consider p_1, p_2 and let P be a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . We say that p_1 and p_2 split P if and only if the conditions (Def. 1) are satisfied.

- (Def. 1)(i) $p_1 \neq p_2$, and
- (ii) there exist S-sequences f_1, f_2 in \mathbb{R}^2 such that $p_1 = (f_1)_1$ and $p_1 = (f_2)_1$ and $p_2 = (f_1)_{\text{len } f_1}$ and $p_2 = (f_2)_{\text{len } f_2}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f_1) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f_2) = \{p_1, p_2\}$ and $P = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f_1) \cup \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(f_2)$.

We now state four propositions:

- (54) If p_1 and p_2 split P , then p_2 and p_1 split P .
- (55) If p_1 and p_2 split P and $q \in P$ and $q \neq p_1$, then p_1 and q split P .
- (56) If p_1 and p_2 split P and $q \in P$ and $q \neq p_2$, then q and p_2 split P .
- (57) If p_1 and p_2 split P and $q_1 \in P$ and $q_2 \in P$ and $q_1 \neq q_2$, then q_1 and q_2 split P .

Let P be a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Let us observe that P is special polygon if and only if:

- (Def. 2) There exist p_1, p_2 such that p_1 and p_2 split P .

We introduce P is special polygonal as a synonym of P is special polygon.

Let us consider r_1, r_2, r'_1, r'_2 . The functor $[r_1, r_2, r'_1, r'_2]$ yielding a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 is defined by the condition (Def. 3).

- (Def. 3) $[r_1, r_2, r'_1, r'_2] = \{p : p_1 = r_1 \wedge p_2 \leq r'_2 \wedge p_2 \geq r'_1 \vee p_1 \leq r_2 \wedge p_1 \geq r_1 \wedge p_2 = r'_2 \vee p_1 \leq r_2 \wedge p_1 \geq r_1 \wedge p_2 = r'_1 \vee p_1 = r_2 \wedge p_2 \leq r'_2 \wedge p_2 \geq r'_1\}$.

Next we state three propositions:

- (58) If $r_1 < r_2$ and $r'_1 < r'_2$, then $[r_1, r_2, r'_1, r'_2] = \mathcal{L}([r_1, r'_1], [r_1, r'_2]) \cup \mathcal{L}([r_1, r'_2], [r_2, r'_2]) \cup (\mathcal{L}([r_2, r'_2], [r_2, r'_1]) \cup \mathcal{L}([r_2, r'_1], [r_1, r'_1]))$.
- (59) If $r_1 < r_2$ and $r'_1 < r'_2$, then $[r_1, r_2, r'_1, r'_2]$ is special polygonal.
- (60) $\square_{\mathcal{E}^2} = [0, 1, 0, 1]$.

One can check that there exists a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 which is special polygonal.

The following proposition is true

- (61) $\square_{\mathcal{E}^2}$ is special polygonal.

One can check the following observations:

- * there exists a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 which is special polygonal,

- * every subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 which is special polygonal is also non empty, and
- * every subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 which is special polygonal is also non trivial.

A special polygon in \mathbb{R}^2 is a special polygonal subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 .

One can prove the following propositions:

- (62) If P is special polygonal arc, then P is compact.
- (63) Every special polygon in \mathbb{R}^2 is compact.
- (64) If P is special polygonal, then for all p_1, p_2 such that $p_1 \neq p_2$ and $p_1 \in P$ and $p_2 \in P$ holds p_1 and p_2 split P .
- (65) Suppose P is special polygonal. Let given p_1, p_2 . Suppose $p_1 \neq p_2$ and $p_1 \in P$ and $p_2 \in P$. Then there exist subsets P_1, P_2 of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that
- (i) P_1 is a special polygonal arc joining p_1 and p_2 ,
 - (ii) P_2 is a special polygonal arc joining p_1 and p_2 ,
 - (iii) $P_1 \cap P_2 = \{p_1, p_2\}$, and
 - (iv) $P = P_1 \cup P_2$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/nat_1.html.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/ordinal1.html>.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finseq_1.html.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Some properties of restrictions of finite sequences. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 7, 1995. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/finseq_5.html.
- [6] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/compts_1.html.
- [7] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/euclid.html>.
- [8] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Arcs, line segments and special polygonal arcs. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/topreal1.html>.
- [9] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/real_1.html.
- [10] Jarosław Kotowicz. Functions and finite sequences of real numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/rfinseq.html>.
- [11] Yatsuka Nakamura and Jarosław Kotowicz. Connectedness conditions using polygonal arcs. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/topreal4.html>.
- [12] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/pre_topc.html.
- [13] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html>.
- [14] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/finseq_4.html.

Received January 30, 1995

Published January 2, 2004