

Subcategories and Products of Categories

Czesław Byliński
Warsaw University
Białystok

Summary. The *subcategory* of a category and product of categories is defined. The *inclusion functor* is the injection (inclusion) map \underline{E} which sends each object and each arrow of a Subcategory E of a category C to itself (in C). The inclusion functor is faithful. *Full subcategories* of C , that is, those subcategories E of C such that $\text{Hom}_E(a, b) = \text{Hom}_C(a, b)$ for any objects a, b of E , are defined. A subcategory E of C is full when the inclusion functor \underline{E} is full. The proposition that a full subcategory is determined by giving the set of objects of a category is proved. The product of two categories B and C is constructed in the usual way. Moreover, some simple facts on *bifunctors* (functors from a product category) are proved. The final notions in this article are that of projection functors and product of two functors (*complex functors* and *product functors*).

MML Identifier: CAT_2.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/cat_2.html

The articles [10], [7], [12], [9], [13], [3], [4], [6], [2], [8], [1], [11], and [5] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

For simplicity, we use the following convention: X is a set, C, D, E are non empty sets, c is an element of C , and d is an element of D .

Let us consider D, X, E , let F be a non empty set of functions from X to E , let f be a function from D into F , and let d be an element of D . Then $f(d)$ is an element of F .

In the sequel f is a function from $[C, D]$ into E .

One can prove the following two propositions:

- (1) $\text{curry } f$ is a function from C into E^D .
- (2) $\text{curry}' f$ is a function from D into E^C .

Let us consider C, D, E, f . Then $\text{curry } f$ is a function from C into E^D . Then $\text{curry}' f$ is a function from D into E^C .

One can prove the following two propositions:

- (3) $f(\langle c, d \rangle) = (\text{curry } f)(c)(d)$.
- (4) $f(\langle c, d \rangle) = (\text{curry}' f)(d)(c)$.

In the sequel B, C, D, C', D' are categories.

Let us consider B, C and let c be an object of C . The functor $B \mapsto c$ yielding a functor from B to C is defined by:

(Def. 1) $B \mapsto c = (\text{the morphisms of } B) \mapsto \text{id}_c$.

Next we state two propositions:

- (6)¹ For every object c of C and for every morphism f of B holds $(B \mapsto c)(f) = \text{id}_c$.

¹ The proposition (5) has been removed.

(7) For every object c of C and for every object b of B holds $(\text{Obj}(B \mapsto c))(b) = c$.

Let us consider C, D . The functor $\text{Funct}(C, D)$ yields a set and is defined as follows:

(Def. 2) For every set x holds $x \in \text{Funct}(C, D)$ iff x is a functor from C to D .

Let us consider C, D . One can check that $\text{Funct}(C, D)$ is non empty.

Let us consider C, D . A non empty set is called a non empty set of functors from C into D if:

(Def. 3) Every element of it is a functor from C to D .

Let us consider C, D and let F be a non empty set of functors from C into D . We see that the element of F is a functor from C to D .

Let A be a non empty set, let us consider C, D , let F be a non empty set of functors from C into D , let T be a function from A into F , and let x be an element of A . Then $T(x)$ is an element of F .

Let us consider C, D . Then $\text{Funct}(C, D)$ is a non empty set of functors from C into D .

Let us consider C . A category is called a subcategory of C if it satisfies the conditions (Def. 4).

(Def. 4)(i) The objects of it \subseteq the objects of C ,

(ii) for all objects a, b of it and for all objects a', b' of C such that $a = a'$ and $b = b'$ holds $\text{hom}(a, b) \subseteq \text{hom}(a', b')$,

(iii) the composition of it \leq the composition of C , and

(iv) for every object a of it and for every object a' of C such that $a = a'$ holds $\text{id}_a = \text{id}_{a'}$.

Let us consider C . One can verify that there exists a subcategory of C which is strict.

In the sequel E denotes a subcategory of C .

Next we state several propositions:

(12)² Every object of E is an object of C .

(13) The morphisms of $E \subseteq$ the morphisms of C .

(14) Every morphism of E is a morphism of C .

(15) For every morphism f of E and for every morphism f' of C such that $f = f'$ holds $\text{dom } f = \text{dom } f'$ and $\text{cod } f = \text{cod } f'$.

(16) Let a, b be objects of E , a', b' be objects of C , and f be a morphism from a to b . If $a = a'$ and $b = b'$ and $\text{hom}(a, b) \neq \emptyset$, then f is a morphism from a' to b' .

(17) For all morphisms f, g of E and for all morphisms f', g' of C such that $f = f'$ and $g = g'$ and $\text{dom } g = \text{cod } f$ holds $g \cdot f = g' \cdot f'$.

(18) C is a subcategory of C .

(19) id_E is a functor from E to C .

Let us consider C, E . The functor $\overset{E}{\hookrightarrow}$ yielding a functor from E to C is defined as follows:

(Def. 5) $\overset{E}{\hookrightarrow} = \text{id}_E$.

We now state several propositions:

(21)³ For every morphism f of E holds $(\overset{E}{\hookrightarrow})(f) = f$.

(22) For every object a of E holds $(\text{Obj}(\overset{E}{\hookrightarrow}))(a) = a$.

(23) For every object a of E holds $(\overset{E}{\hookrightarrow})(a) = a$.

² The propositions (8)–(11) have been removed.

³ The proposition (20) has been removed.

- (24) \underline{E} is faithful.
- (25) \underline{E} is full if and only if for all objects a, b of E and for all objects a', b' of C such that $a = a'$ and $b = b'$ holds $\text{hom}(a, b) = \text{hom}(a', b')$.

Let C be a category structure and let us consider D . We say that C is full subcategory of D if and only if the conditions (Def. 6) are satisfied.

- (Def. 6)(i) C is a subcategory of D , and
- (ii) for all objects a, b of C and for all objects a', b' of D such that $a = a'$ and $b = b'$ holds $\text{hom}(a, b) = \text{hom}(a', b')$.

The following propositions are true:

- (27)⁴ E is full subcategory of C iff \underline{E} is full.
- (28) Let O be a non empty subset of the objects of C . Then $\bigcup\{\text{hom}(a, b); a \text{ ranges over objects of } C, b \text{ ranges over objects of } C: a \in O \wedge b \in O\}$ is a non empty subset of the morphisms of C .
- (29) Let O be a non empty subset of the objects of C and M be a non empty set. Suppose $M = \bigcup\{\text{hom}(a, b); a \text{ ranges over objects of } C, b \text{ ranges over objects of } C: a \in O \wedge b \in O\}$. Then
- (i) (the dom-map of C) $\upharpoonright M$ is a function from M into O ,
- (ii) (the cod-map of C) $\upharpoonright M$ is a function from M into O ,
- (iii) (the composition of C) $\upharpoonright [M, M]$ is a partial function from $[M, M]$ to M , and
- (iv) (the id-map of C) $\upharpoonright O$ is a function from O into M .
- (30) Let O be a non empty subset of the objects of C , M be a non empty set, d, c be functions from M into O , p be a partial function from $[M, M]$ to M , and i be a function from O into M . Suppose that
- (i) $M = \bigcup\{\text{hom}(a, b); a \text{ ranges over objects of } C, b \text{ ranges over objects of } C: a \in O \wedge b \in O\}$,
- (ii) $d = (\text{the dom-map of } C)\upharpoonright M$,
- (iii) $c = (\text{the cod-map of } C)\upharpoonright M$,
- (iv) $p = (\text{the composition of } C)\upharpoonright [M, M]$, and
- (v) $i = (\text{the id-map of } C)\upharpoonright O$.

Then $\langle O, M, d, c, p, i \rangle$ is full subcategory of C .

- (31) Let O be a non empty subset of the objects of C , M be a non empty set, d, c be functions from M into O , p be a partial function from $[M, M]$ to M , and i be a function from O into M . Suppose $\langle O, M, d, c, p, i \rangle$ is full subcategory of C . Then
- (i) $M = \bigcup\{\text{hom}(a, b); a \text{ ranges over objects of } C, b \text{ ranges over objects of } C: a \in O \wedge b \in O\}$,
- (ii) $d = (\text{the dom-map of } C)\upharpoonright M$,
- (iii) $c = (\text{the cod-map of } C)\upharpoonright M$,
- (iv) $p = (\text{the composition of } C)\upharpoonright [M, M]$, and
- (v) $i = (\text{the id-map of } C)\upharpoonright O$.

Let X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2 be non empty sets, let f_1 be a function from X_1 into Y_1 , and let f_2 be a function from X_2 into Y_2 . Then $[f_1, f_2]$ is a function from $[X_1, X_2]$ into $[Y_1, Y_2]$.

Let A, B be non empty sets, let f be a partial function from $[A, A]$ to A , and let g be a partial function from $[B, B]$ to B . Then $[f, g]$ is a partial function from $[A, B]$ to $[A, B]$.

Let us consider C, D . The functor $[C, D]$ yields a category and is defined by the condition (Def. 7).

⁴ The proposition (26) has been removed.

(Def. 7) $[C, D] = \langle [\text{the objects of } C, \text{ the objects of } D], [\text{the morphisms of } C, \text{ the morphisms of } D], [\text{the dom-map of } C, \text{ the dom-map of } D], [\text{the cod-map of } C, \text{ the cod-map of } D], [\text{the composition of } C, \text{ the composition of } D], [\text{the id-map of } C, \text{ the id-map of } D] \rangle$.

Let us consider C, D . Note that $[C, D]$ is strict.

Next we state two propositions:

- (33)⁵(i) The objects of $[C, D] = [\text{the objects of } C, \text{ the objects of } D]$,
- (ii) the morphisms of $[C, D] = [\text{the morphisms of } C, \text{ the morphisms of } D]$,
- (iii) the dom-map of $[C, D] = [\text{the dom-map of } C, \text{ the dom-map of } D]$,
- (iv) the cod-map of $[C, D] = [\text{the cod-map of } C, \text{ the cod-map of } D]$,
- (v) the composition of $[C, D] = [\text{the composition of } C, \text{ the composition of } D]$, and
- (vi) the id-map of $[C, D] = [\text{the id-map of } C, \text{ the id-map of } D]$.
- (34) For every object c of C and for every object d of D holds $\langle c, d \rangle$ is an object of $[C, D]$.

Let us consider C, D , let c be an object of C , and let d be an object of D . Then $\langle c, d \rangle$ is an object of $[C, D]$.

We now state two propositions:

- (35) For every object c_1 of $[C, D]$ there exists an object c of C and there exists an object d of D such that $c_1 = \langle c, d \rangle$.
- (36) For every morphism f of C and for every morphism g of D holds $\langle f, g \rangle$ is a morphism of $[C, D]$.

Let us consider C, D , let f be a morphism of C , and let g be a morphism of D . Then $\langle f, g \rangle$ is a morphism of $[C, D]$.

The following propositions are true:

- (37) For every morphism f_3 of $[C, D]$ there exists a morphism f of C and there exists a morphism g of D such that $f_3 = \langle f, g \rangle$.
- (38) For every morphism f of C and for every morphism g of D holds $\text{dom } \langle f, g \rangle = \langle \text{dom } f, \text{dom } g \rangle$ and $\text{cod } \langle f, g \rangle = \langle \text{cod } f, \text{cod } g \rangle$.
- (39) For all morphisms f, f' of C and for all morphisms g, g' of D such that $\text{dom } f' = \text{cod } f$ and $\text{dom } g' = \text{cod } g$ holds $\langle f', g' \rangle \cdot \langle f, g \rangle = \langle f' \cdot f, g' \cdot g \rangle$.
- (40) For all morphisms f, f' of C and for all morphisms g, g' of D such that $\text{dom } \langle f', g' \rangle = \text{cod } \langle f, g \rangle$ holds $\langle f', g' \rangle \cdot \langle f, g \rangle = \langle f' \cdot f, g' \cdot g \rangle$.
- (41) For every object c of C and for every object d of D holds $\text{id}_{\langle c, d \rangle} = \langle \text{id}_c, \text{id}_d \rangle$.
- (42) For all objects c, c' of C and for all objects d, d' of D holds $\text{hom}(\langle c, d \rangle, \langle c', d' \rangle) = [\text{hom}(c, c'), \text{hom}(d, d')]$.
- (43) Let c, c' be objects of C , f be a morphism from c to c' , d, d' be objects of D , and g be a morphism from d to d' . If $\text{hom}(c, c') \neq \emptyset$ and $\text{hom}(d, d') \neq \emptyset$, then $\langle f, g \rangle$ is a morphism from $\langle c, d \rangle$ to $\langle c', d' \rangle$.
- (44) For every functor S from $[C, C']$ to D and for every object c of C holds $(\text{curry } S)(\text{id}_c)$ is a functor from C' to D .
- (45) For every functor S from $[C, C']$ to D and for every object c' of C' holds $(\text{curry}' S)(\text{id}_{c'})$ is a functor from C to D .

⁵ The proposition (32) has been removed.

Let us consider C, C', D , let S be a functor from $[:C, C']$ to D , and let c be an object of C . The functor $S(c, -)$ yields a functor from C' to D and is defined as follows:

(Def. 8) $S(c, -) = (\text{curry } S)(\text{id}_c)$.

Next we state two propositions:

(47)⁶ For every functor S from $[:C, C']$ to D and for every object c of C and for every morphism f of C' holds $S(c, -)(f) = S(\langle \text{id}_c, f \rangle)$.

(48) For every functor S from $[:C, C']$ to D and for every object c of C and for every object c' of C' holds $(\text{Obj}(S(c, -)))(c') = (\text{Obj } S)(\langle c, c' \rangle)$.

Let us consider C, C', D , let S be a functor from $[:C, C']$ to D , and let c' be an object of C' . The functor $S(-, c')$ yielding a functor from C to D is defined as follows:

(Def. 9) $S(-, c') = (\text{curry}' S)(\text{id}_{c'})$.

One can prove the following propositions:

(50)⁷ For every functor S from $[:C, C']$ to D and for every object c' of C' and for every morphism f of C holds $S(-, c')(f) = S(\langle f, \text{id}_{c'} \rangle)$.

(51) For every functor S from $[:C, C']$ to D and for every object c of C and for every object c' of C' holds $(\text{Obj}(S(-, c')))(c) = (\text{Obj } S)(\langle c, c' \rangle)$.

(52) Let L be a function from the objects of C into $\text{Func}(B, D)$ and M be a function from the objects of B into $\text{Func}(C, D)$. Suppose that

- (i) for every object c of C and for every object b of B holds $M(b)(\text{id}_c) = L(c)(\text{id}_b)$, and
- (ii) for every morphism f of B and for every morphism g of C holds $M(\text{cod } f)(g) \cdot L(\text{dom } g)(f) = L(\text{cod } g)(f) \cdot M(\text{dom } f)(g)$.

Then there exists a functor S from $[:B, C]$ to D such that for every morphism f of B and for every morphism g of C holds $S(\langle f, g \rangle) = L(\text{cod } g)(f) \cdot M(\text{dom } f)(g)$.

(53) Let L be a function from the objects of C into $\text{Func}(B, D)$ and M be a function from the objects of B into $\text{Func}(C, D)$. Given a functor S from $[:B, C]$ to D such that let c be an object of C and b be an object of B . Then $S(-, c) = L(c)$ and $S(b, -) = M(b)$. Let f be a morphism of B and g be a morphism of C . Then $M(\text{cod } f)(g) \cdot L(\text{dom } g)(f) = L(\text{cod } g)(f) \cdot M(\text{dom } f)(g)$.

(54) $\pi_1((\text{the morphisms of } C) \times \text{the morphisms of } D)$ is a functor from $[:C, D]$ to C .

(55) $\pi_2((\text{the morphisms of } C) \times \text{the morphisms of } D)$ is a functor from $[:C, D]$ to D .

Let us consider C, D . The functor $\pi_1(C \times D)$ yielding a functor from $[:C, D]$ to C is defined as follows:

(Def. 10) $\pi_1(C \times D) = \pi_1((\text{the morphisms of } C) \times \text{the morphisms of } D)$.

The functor $\pi_2(C \times D)$ yields a functor from $[:C, D]$ to D and is defined as follows:

(Def. 11) $\pi_2(C \times D) = \pi_2((\text{the morphisms of } C) \times \text{the morphisms of } D)$.

The following propositions are true:

(58)⁸ For every morphism f of C and for every morphism g of D holds $\pi_1(C \times D)(\langle f, g \rangle) = f$.

(59) For every object c of C and for every object d of D holds $(\text{Obj } \pi_1(C \times D))(\langle c, d \rangle) = c$.

(60) For every morphism f of C and for every morphism g of D holds $\pi_2(C \times D)(\langle f, g \rangle) = g$.

⁶ The proposition (46) has been removed.

⁷ The proposition (49) has been removed.

⁸ The propositions (56) and (57) have been removed.

- (61) For every object c of C and for every object d of D holds $(\text{Obj } \pi_2(C \times D))(\langle c, d \rangle) = d$.
- (62) For every functor T from C to D and for every functor T' from C to D' holds $\langle T, T' \rangle$ is a functor from C to $[:D, D']$.

Let us consider C, D, D' , let T be a functor from C to D , and let T' be a functor from C to D' . Then $\langle T, T' \rangle$ is a functor from C to $[:D, D']$.

One can prove the following three propositions:

- (63) Let T be a functor from C to D , T' be a functor from C to D' , and c be an object of C . Then $(\text{Obj } \langle T, T' \rangle)(c) = \langle (\text{Obj } T)(c), (\text{Obj } T')(c) \rangle$.
- (64) For every functor T from C to D and for every functor T' from C' to D' holds $[:T, T'] = \langle T \cdot \pi_1(C \times C'), T' \cdot \pi_2(C \times C') \rangle$.
- (65) For every functor T from C to D and for every functor T' from C' to D' holds $[:T, T']$ is a functor from $[:C, C']$ to $[:D, D']$.

Let us consider C, C', D, D' , let T be a functor from C to D , and let T' be a functor from C' to D' . Then $[:T, T']$ is a functor from $[:C, C']$ to $[:D, D']$.

Next we state the proposition

- (66) Let T be a functor from C to D , T' be a functor from C' to D' , c be an object of C , and c' be an object of C' . Then $(\text{Obj } [:T, T'])(\langle c, c' \rangle) = \langle (\text{Obj } T)(c), (\text{Obj } T')(c') \rangle$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Curried and uncurried functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/funct_5.html.
- [2] Czesław Byliński. Basic functions and operations on functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_3.html.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Introduction to categories and functors. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/cat_1.html.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/partfun1.html>.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/funct_4.html.
- [9] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/domain_1.html.
- [10] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html>.
- [11] Andrzej Trybulec. Function domains and Fränkel operator. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/fraenkel.html>.
- [12] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html.
- [13] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html.

Received May 31, 1990

Published January 2, 2004