


On Roots of Polynomials over $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$

Christoph Schwarzweller 
Institute of Informatics
University of Gdańsk
Poland

Summary. This is the first part of a four-article series containing a Mizar [3], [1], [2] formalization of Kronecker’s construction about roots of polynomials in field extensions, i.e. that for every field F and every polynomial $p \in F[X] \setminus F$ there exists a field extension E of F such that p has a root over E . The formalization follows Kronecker’s classical proof using $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ as the desired field extension E [9], [4], [6].

In this first part we show that an irreducible polynomial $p \in F[X] \setminus F$ has a root over $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$. Note, however, that this statement cannot be true in a rigid formal sense: We do not have $F \subseteq F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ as sets, so F is not a subfield of $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$, and hence formally p is not even a polynomial over $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$. Consequently, we translate p along the canonical monomorphism $\phi : F \rightarrow F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ and show that the translated polynomial $\phi(p)$ has a root over $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$.

Because F is not a subfield of $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ we construct in the second part the field $(E \setminus \phi F) \cup F$ for a given monomorphism $\phi : F \rightarrow E$ and show that this field both is isomorphic to F and includes F as a subfield. In the literature this part of the proof usually consists of saying that “one can identify F with its image ϕF in $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ and therefore consider F as a subfield of $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ ”. Interestingly, to do so we need to assume that $F \cap E = \emptyset$, in particular Kronecker’s construction can be formalized for fields F with $F \cap F[X] = \emptyset$.

Surprisingly, as we show in the third part, this condition is not automatically true for arbitrary fields F : With the exception of \mathbb{Z}_2 we construct for every field F an isomorphic copy F' of F with $F' \cap F'[X] \neq \emptyset$. We also prove that for Mizar’s representations of \mathbb{Z}_n , \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R} we have $\mathbb{Z}_n \cap \mathbb{Z}_n[X] = \emptyset$, $\mathbb{Q} \cap \mathbb{Q}[X] = \emptyset$ and $\mathbb{R} \cap \mathbb{R}[X] = \emptyset$, respectively.

In the fourth part we finally define field extensions: E is a field extension of F iff F is a subfield of E . Note, that in this case we have $F \subseteq E$ as sets, and thus a polynomial p over F is also a polynomial over E . We then apply the construction of the second part to $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ with the canonical monomorphism

$\phi : F \longrightarrow F[X]/\langle p \rangle$. Together with the first part this gives - for fields F with $F \cap F[X] = \emptyset$ - a field extension E of F in which $p \in F[X] \setminus F$ has a root.

MSC: 12E05 12F05 68T99 03B35

Keywords: roots of polynomials; field extensions; Kronecker's construction

MML identifier: FIELD_1, version: 8.1.09 5.57.1355

1. PRELIMINARIES

From now on n denotes a natural number.

Let L be a non empty zero structure and p be a polynomial over L . We introduce the notation $\text{LM}(p)$ as a synonym of Leading-Monomial p .

Now we state the proposition:

- (1) Let us consider a non empty zero structure L , and a polynomial p over L . Then $\deg p$ is an element of \mathbb{N} if and only if $p \neq \mathbf{0}.L$.

Let R be a non degenerated ring and p be a non zero polynomial over R . Note that the functor $\deg p$ yields an element of \mathbb{N} . Let R be an add-associative, right zeroed, right complementable, right distributive, non empty double loop structure and f be an additive function from R into R . One can check that $f(0_R)$ reduces to 0_R .

Now we state the proposition:

- (2) Let us consider a ring R , an ideal I of R , an element x of R/I , and an element a of R . Suppose $x = [a]_{\text{EqRel}(R,I)}$. Let us consider a natural number n . Then $x^n = [a^n]_{\text{EqRel}(R,I)}$.

PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv x^{\mathbb{S}1} = [a^{\mathbb{S}1}]_{\text{EqRel}(R,I)}$. For every natural number i , $\mathcal{P}[i]$. \square

Let R be a ring and a, b be elements of R . We say that b is an irreducible factor of a if and only if

- (Def. 1) $b \mid a$ and b is irreducible.

Observe that there exists an integral domain which is non almost left invertible and factorial.

Now we state the proposition:

- (3) Let us consider a non almost left invertible, factorial integral domain R , and a non zero non-unit a of R . Then there exists an element b of R such that b is an irreducible factor of a .

2. THE POLYNOMIALS $a \cdot x^n$

Let R be a ring, a be an element of R , and n be a natural number. We introduce the notation $\text{anpoly}(a, n)$ as a synonym of $\text{seq}(n, a)$.

Let R be a non degenerated ring and a be a non zero element of R . One can check that $\text{anpoly}(a, n)$ is non zero.

Let R be a ring and a be a zero element of R . Observe that $\text{anpoly}(a, n)$ is zero.

Now we state the propositions:

- (4) Let us consider a non degenerated ring R , and a non zero element a of R . Then $\text{deg anpoly}(a, n) = n$.
- (5) Let us consider a non degenerated ring R , and an element a of R . Then $\text{LC anpoly}(a, n) = a$.
- (6) Let us consider a non degenerated ring R , a non zero natural number n , and elements a, x of R . Then $\text{eval}(\text{anpoly}(a, n), x) = a \cdot (x^n)$.
- (7) Let us consider a non degenerated ring R , and an element a of R . Then $\text{anpoly}(a, 0) = a \upharpoonright R$.
- (8) Let us consider a non degenerated ring R , and a non zero element n of \mathbb{N} . Then $\text{anpoly}(1_R, n) = \text{rpoly}(n, 0_R)$.
- (9) Let us consider a non degenerated commutative ring R , and non zero elements a, b of R . Then $b \cdot (\text{anpoly}(a, n)) = \text{anpoly}(a \cdot b, n)$.
- (10) Let us consider a non degenerated commutative ring R , non zero elements a, b of R , and natural numbers n, m . Then $\text{anpoly}(a, n) * \text{anpoly}(b, m) = \text{anpoly}(a \cdot b, n + m)$. The theorem is a consequence of (9).
- (11) Let us consider a non degenerated ring R , and a non zero polynomial p over R . Then $\text{LM}(p) = \text{anpoly}(p(\text{deg } p), \text{deg } p)$.
- (12) Let us consider a non degenerated commutative ring R . Then $\langle 0_R, 1_R \rangle^n = \text{anpoly}(1_R, n)$.

PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv \langle 0_R, 1_R \rangle^{\mathbb{S}_1} = \text{anpoly}(1_R, \mathbb{S}_1)$. $\mathcal{P}[0]$ by [8, (15)]. For every natural number k , $\mathcal{P}[k]$. \square

3. MORE ON HOMOMORPHISMS

Now we state the propositions:

- (13) Let us consider a ring R , an R -homomorphic ring S , a homomorphism h from R to S , an element a of R , and a natural number n . Then $h(a^n) = h(a)^n$.

PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv h(a^{\mathbb{S}_1}) = h(a)^{\mathbb{S}_1}$. $\mathcal{P}[0]$ by [10, (8)]. For every natural number n , $\mathcal{P}[n]$. \square

(14) Let us consider a ring R , an R -homomorphic ring S , and a homomorphism h from R to S . Then $h(\sum \varepsilon_\alpha) = 0_S$, where α is the carrier of R .

Let us consider a ring R , an R -homomorphic ring S , a homomorphism h from R to S , a finite sequence F of elements of R , and an element a of R . Now we state the propositions:

$$(15) \quad h(\sum(\langle a \rangle \frown F)) = h(a) + h(\sum F).$$

$$(16) \quad h(\sum(F \frown \langle a \rangle)) = h(\sum F) + h(a).$$

(17) Let us consider a ring R , an R -homomorphic ring S , a homomorphism h from R to S , and finite sequences F, G of elements of R . Then $h(\sum(F \frown G)) = h(\sum F) + h(\sum G)$.

(18) Let us consider a ring R , an R -homomorphic ring S , and a homomorphism h from R to S . Then $h(\prod \varepsilon_\alpha) = 1_S$, where α is the carrier of R .

Let us consider a ring R , an R -homomorphic ring S , a homomorphism h from R to S , a finite sequence F of elements of R , and an element a of R . Now we state the propositions:

$$(19) \quad h(\prod(\langle a \rangle \frown F)) = h(a) \cdot h(\prod F).$$

$$(20) \quad h(\prod(F \frown \langle a \rangle)) = h(\prod F) \cdot h(a).$$

(21) Let us consider a ring R , an R -homomorphic ring S , a homomorphism h from R to S , and finite sequences F, G of elements of R . Then $h(\prod(F \frown G)) = h(\prod F) \cdot h(\prod G)$.

4. LIFTING HOMOMORPHISMS FROM R TO $R[X]$

Let R, S be rings, f be a function from $\text{PolyRing}(R)$ into $\text{PolyRing}(S)$, and p be an element of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(R)$. Observe that the functor $f(p)$ yields an element of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(S)$. Let R be a ring, S be an R -homomorphic ring, and h be an additive function from R into S . The functor $\text{PolyHom}(h)$ yielding a function from $\text{PolyRing}(R)$ into $\text{PolyRing}(S)$ is defined by

(Def. 2) for every element f of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(R)$ and for every natural number i , $(it(f))(i) = h(f(i))$.

Let h be a homomorphism from R to S . Observe that $\text{PolyHom}(h)$ is additive, multiplicative, and unity-preserving.

Let us consider a ring R , an R -homomorphic ring S , and a homomorphism h from R to S . Now we state the propositions:

$$(22) \quad (\text{PolyHom}(h))(\mathbf{0}.R) = \mathbf{0}.S.$$

$$(23) \quad (\text{PolyHom}(h))(\mathbf{1}.R) = \mathbf{1}.S.$$

Let us consider a ring R , an R -homomorphic ring S , a homomorphism h from R to S , and elements p, q of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(R)$. Now we state the propositions:

$$(24) \quad (\text{PolyHom}(h))(p + q) = (\text{PolyHom}(h))(p) + (\text{PolyHom}(h))(q).$$

$$(25) \quad (\text{PolyHom}(h))(p \cdot q) = (\text{PolyHom}(h))(p) \cdot (\text{PolyHom}(h))(q).$$

(26) Let us consider a ring R , an R -homomorphic ring S , a homomorphism h from R to S , an element p of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(R)$, and an element b of R . Then $(\text{PolyHom}(h))(b \cdot p) = h(b) \cdot (\text{PolyHom}(h))(p)$.

(27) Let us consider a ring R , an R -homomorphic ring S , a homomorphism h from R to S , an element p of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(R)$, and an element a of R . Then $h(\text{eval}(p, a)) = \text{eval}((\text{PolyHom}(h))(p), h(a))$.

PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$ for every element p of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(R)$ for every element a of R such that $\text{len } p = \$_1$ holds $h(\text{eval}(p, a)) = \text{eval}((\text{PolyHom}(h))(p), h(a))$. $\mathcal{P}[0]$ by [7, (5), (17)], [5, (6)], (22). For every natural number k , $\mathcal{P}[k]$. \square

(28) Let us consider an integral domain R , an R -homomorphic integral domain S , a homomorphism h from R to S , an element p of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(R)$, and elements b, x of R . Then $h(\text{eval}(b \cdot p, x)) = h(b) \cdot (\text{eval}((\text{PolyHom}(h))(p), h(x)))$. The theorem is a consequence of (27) and (26).

Let R be a ring. One can check that there exists a ring which is R -homomorphic and R -monomorphic and there exists a ring which is R -homomorphic and R -isomorphic and every ring which is R -monomorphic is also R -homomorphic.

Let S be an R -homomorphic, R -monomorphic ring and h be a monomorphism of R and S . Note that $\text{PolyHom}(h)$ is monomorphic.

Let S be an R -isomorphic, R -homomorphic ring and h be an isomorphism between R and S . Let us note that $\text{PolyHom}(h)$ is isomorphism.

Now we state the propositions:

(29) Let us consider a ring R , an R -homomorphic ring S , a homomorphism h from R to S , and an element p of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(R)$. Then $\text{deg}(\text{PolyHom}(h))(p) \leq \text{deg } p$.

(30) Let us consider a non degenerated ring R , an R -homomorphic ring S , a homomorphism h from R to S , and a non zero element p of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(R)$. Then $\text{deg}(\text{PolyHom}(h))(p) = \text{deg } p$ if and only if $h(\text{LC } p) \neq 0_S$.

Let us consider a ring R , an R -monomorphic, R -homomorphic ring S , a monomorphism h of R and S , and an element p of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(R)$. Now we state the propositions:

$$(31) \quad \text{deg}(\text{PolyHom}(h))(p) = \text{deg } p.$$

- (32) $\text{LM}((\text{PolyHom}(h))(p)) = (\text{PolyHom}(h))(\text{LM}(p))$. The theorem is a consequence of (31).
- (33) Let us consider a ring R , an R -homomorphic ring S , a homomorphism h from R to S , an element p of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(R)$, and an element a of R . If a is a root of p , then $h(a)$ is a root of $(\text{PolyHom}(h))(p)$. The theorem is a consequence of (27).
- (34) Let us consider a ring R , an R -monomorphic, R -homomorphic ring S , a monomorphism h of R and S , an element p of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(R)$, and an element a of R . Then a is a root of p if and only if $h(a)$ is a root of $(\text{PolyHom}(h))(p)$. The theorem is a consequence of (27) and (33).
- (35) Let us consider a ring R , an R -isomorphic, R -homomorphic ring S , an isomorphism h between R and S , an element p of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(R)$, and an element b of S . Then b is a root of $(\text{PolyHom}(h))(p)$ if and only if there exists an element a of R such that a is a root of p and $h(a) = b$. The theorem is a consequence of (27).
- (36) Let us consider a ring R , an R -homomorphic ring S , a homomorphism h from R to S , and an element p of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(R)$. Then $\text{Roots}(p) \subseteq \{a, \text{ where } a \text{ is an element of } R : h(a) \in \text{Roots}((\text{PolyHom}(h))(p))\}$. The theorem is a consequence of (33).
- (37) Let us consider a ring R , an R -monomorphic, R -homomorphic ring S , a monomorphism h of R and S , and an element p of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(R)$. Then $\text{Roots}(p) = \{a, \text{ where } a \text{ is an element of } R : h(a) \in \text{Roots}((\text{PolyHom}(h))(p))\}$. The theorem is a consequence of (36) and (34).
- (38) Let us consider a ring R , an R -isomorphic, R -homomorphic ring S , an isomorphism h between R and S , and an element p of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(R)$. Then $\text{Roots}((\text{PolyHom}(h))(p)) = \{h(a), \text{ where } a \text{ is an element of } R : a \in \text{Roots}(p)\}$. The theorem is a consequence of (35).

5. KRONECKER'S CONSTRUCTION

In the sequel F denotes a field, p denotes an irreducible element of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(F)$, f denotes an element of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(F)$, and a denotes an element of F .

Let us consider F and p . The functor $\text{KroneckerField}(F, p)$ yielding a field is defined by the term

(Def. 3) $\text{PolyRing}(F)/_{\{p\}\text{-ideal}}$.

The functor embedding(p) yielding a function from F into $\text{KroneckerField}(F, p)$ is defined by the term

(Def. 4) (the canonical homomorphism of $\{p\}$ -ideal into quotient field) · (the canonical homomorphism of F into quotient field).

Let us observe that $\text{embedding}(p)$ is additive, multiplicative, and unity-preserving and $\text{embedding}(p)$ is monomorphic and $\text{KroneckerField}(F, p)$ is F -homomorphic and F -monomorphic.

Let us consider f . The functor f_p yielding an element of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(\text{KroneckerField}(F, p))$ is defined by the term

(Def. 5) $(\text{PolyHom}(\text{embedding}(p)))(f)$.

The functor $\text{KrRoot}(p)$ yielding an element of $\text{KroneckerField}(F, p)$ is defined by the term

(Def. 6) $[(0_F, 1_F)]_{\text{EqRel}(\text{PolyRing}(F), \{p\}\text{-ideal})}$.

Now we state the propositions:

$$(39) \quad (\text{embedding}(p))(a) = [a \upharpoonright F]_{\text{EqRel}(\text{PolyRing}(F), \{p\}\text{-ideal})}$$

$$(40) \quad (f_p)(n) = [f(n) \upharpoonright F]_{\text{EqRel}(\text{PolyRing}(F), \{p\}\text{-ideal})}. \text{ The theorem is a consequence of (39).}$$

$$(41) \quad \text{eval}(f_p, \text{KrRoot}(p)) = [f]_{\text{EqRel}(\text{PolyRing}(F), \{p\}\text{-ideal})}$$

PROOF: Set $z = \text{KrRoot}(p)$. Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$ for every f such that $\text{len } f = \$1$ holds $\text{eval}(f_p, z) = [f]_{\text{EqRel}(\text{PolyRing}(F), \{p\}\text{-ideal})}$. For every natural number k , $\mathcal{P}[k]$. \square

(42) $\text{KrRoot}(p)$ is a root of p_p . The theorem is a consequence of (41).

(43) If f is not constant, then there exists an irreducible element p of the carrier of $\text{PolyRing}(F)$ such that f_p has roots. The theorem is a consequence of (3) and (42).

(44) If $\text{embedding}(p)$ is isomorphism, then p has roots. The theorem is a consequence of (38) and (42).

(45) If p has no roots, then $\text{embedding}(p)$ is not isomorphism.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, Karol Pąk, and Josef Urban. Mizar: State-of-the-art and beyond. In Manfred Kerber, Jacques Carette, Cezary Kaliszyk, Florian Rabe, and Volker Sorge, editors, *Intelligent Computer Mathematics*, volume 9150 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 261–279. Springer International Publishing, 2015. ISBN 978-3-319-20614-1. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-20615-8_17.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, and Karol Pąk. The role of the Mizar Mathematical Library for interactive proof development in Mizar. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 61(1):9–32, 2018. doi:10.1007/s10817-017-9440-6.
- [3] Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, and Christoph Schwarzweller. On algebraic hierarchies in mathematical repository of Mizar. In M. Ganzha, L. Maciaszek, and M. Paprzycki, editors, *Proceedings of the 2016 Federated Conference on Computer Science and Infor-*

mation Systems (FedCSIS), volume 8 of *Annals of Computer Science and Information Systems*, pages 363–371, 2016. doi:10.15439/2016F520.

- [4] Nathan Jacobson. *Basic Algebra I*. Dover Books on Mathematics, 1985.
- [5] Artur Korniłowicz and Christoph Schwarzweller. The first isomorphism theorem and other properties of rings. *Formalized Mathematics*, 22(4):291–301, 2014. doi:10.2478/forma-2014-0029.
- [6] Heinz Lüneburg. *Gruppen, Ringe, Körper: Die grundlegenden Strukturen der Algebra*. Oldenbourg Verlag, 1999.
- [7] Robert Milewski. The evaluation of polynomials. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(2):391–395, 2001.
- [8] Robert Milewski. Fundamental theorem of algebra. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(3):461–470, 2001.
- [9] Knut Radbruch. *Algebra I*. Lecture Notes, University of Kaiserslautern, Germany, 1991.
- [10] Christoph Schwarzweller. The binomial theorem for algebraic structures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(3):559–564, 2001.

Accepted March 28, 2019
