

# Maximum Number of Steps Taken by Modular Exponentiation and Euclidean Algorithm<sup>1</sup>

Hiroyuki Okazaki  
Shinshu University  
Nagano, Japan

Koh-ichi Nagao  
Kanto Gakuin University  
Kanagawa, Japan

Yuichi Futa  
Tokyo University of Technology  
Tokyo, Japan

**Summary.** In this article we formalize in Mizar [1], [2] the maximum number of steps taken by some number theoretical algorithms, “right-to-left binary algorithm” for modular exponentiation and “Euclidean algorithm” [5]. For any natural numbers  $a, b, n$ , “right-to-left binary algorithm” can calculate the natural number, see (Def. 2),  $\text{Algo}_{\text{BPow}}(a, n, m) := a^b \bmod n$  and for any integers  $a, b$ , “Euclidean algorithm” can calculate the non negative integer  $\text{gcd}(a, b)$ . We have not formalized computational complexity of algorithms yet, though we had already formalize the “Euclidean algorithm” in [7].

For “right-to-left binary algorithm”, we formalize the theorem, which says that the required number of the modular squares and modular products in this algorithms are  $1 + \lceil \log_2 n \rceil$  and for “Euclidean algorithm”, we formalize the Lamé’s theorem [6], which says the required number of the divisions in this algorithm is at most  $5 \log_{10} \min(|a|, |b|)$ . Our aim is to support the implementation of number theoretic tools and evaluating computational complexities of algorithms to prove the security of cryptographic systems.

MSC: 68W40 11A05 11A15 03B35

Keywords: algorithms; power residues; Euclidean algorithm

MML identifier: NTALGO\_2, version: 8.1.09 5.54.1344

---

<sup>1</sup>This study was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP17K00182 and JP15K00183.

## 1. RIGHT-TO-LEFT BINARY ALGORITHM FOR MODULAR EXPONENTIATION

Let  $F$  be an element of  $Boolean^*$  and  $x$  be an object. Let us note that the functor  $F(x)$  yields a natural number. Let  $n, m$  be natural numbers. Let us note that the functor  $n^m$  yields a natural number. Let  $a, b$  be objects and  $c$  be a natural number. The functor  $\text{BinBranch}(a, b, c)$  is defined by the term

$$(\text{Def. 1}) \quad \begin{cases} a, & \text{if } c = 0, \\ b, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $a, b, c$  be natural numbers. Let us note that the functor  $\text{BinBranch}(a, b, c)$  yields a natural number. Let  $a, n, m$  be elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ . The functor  $\text{Algo}_{\text{BPow}}(a, n, m)$  yielding an element of  $\mathbb{N}$  is defined by

$$(\text{Def. 2}) \quad \text{there exist sequences } A, B \text{ of } \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } it = B(\text{LenBinSeq}(n)) \text{ and } A(0) = a \bmod m \text{ and } B(0) = 1 \text{ and for every natural number } i, A(i+1) = A(i) \cdot A(i) \bmod m \text{ and } B(i+1) = \text{BinBranch}(B(i), B(i) \cdot A(i) \bmod m, (\text{Nat2BinLen})(n)(i+1)).$$

Now we state the propositions:

- (1) Let us consider natural numbers  $a, m, i$ , and a sequence  $A$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $A(0) = a \bmod m$  and for every natural number  $j$ ,  $A(j+1) = A(j) \cdot A(j) \bmod m$ . Then  $A(i) = a^{2^i} \bmod m$ .

PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv A(\$_1) = a^{2^{\$1}} \bmod m$ . For every natural number  $i$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[i]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[i+1]$  by [8, (11)]. For every natural number  $i$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[i]$ .  $\square$

(2)  $\text{LenBinSeq}(0) = 1$ .

(3)  $\text{LenBinSeq}(1) = 1$ .

(4) Let us consider a natural number  $x$ . If  $2 \leq x$ , then  $1 < \text{LenBinSeq}(x)$ .

(5) Let us consider a natural number  $n$ . Suppose  $0 < n$ .

Then  $\text{LenBinSeq}(n) = \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1$ .

(6)  $(\text{Nat2BinLen})(0) = \langle 0 \rangle$ .

(7)  $(\text{Nat2BinLen})(1) = \langle 1 \rangle$ . The theorem is a consequence of (3).

(8) Let us consider an element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ . If  $0 < n$ , then  $(\text{Nat2BinLen})(n)(\text{LenBinSeq}(n)) = 1$ .

PROOF: Reconsider  $x = (\text{Nat2BinLen})(n)$  as an element of  $Boolean^*$ .  $x \notin \{y, \text{ where } y \text{ is an element of } Boolean^* : y(\text{len } y) = 1\}$ .  $\square$

(9)  $(\text{Nat2BinLen})(2) = \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ . The theorem is a consequence of (5).

(10)  $(\text{Nat2BinLen})(3) = \langle 1, 1 \rangle$ . The theorem is a consequence of (5).

(11)  $(\text{Nat2BinLen})(4) = \langle 0, 0, 1 \rangle$ . The theorem is a consequence of (5).

- (12) Let us consider a natural number  $n$ . Then  $(\text{Nat2BinLen})(2^n) = \underbrace{\langle 0, \dots, 0 \rangle}_n \frown$   
 (1) The theorem is a consequence of (5).  
 (13) Let us consider an element  $m$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\text{Alg}_{\text{BPow}}(0, 0, m) = 1$ . The theorem is a consequence of (6).  
 (14) Let us consider elements  $n, m$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ . If  $0 < n$ , then  $\text{Alg}_{\text{BPow}}(0, n, m) = 0$ . The theorem is a consequence of (1) and (8).

Let us consider elements  $a, n, m$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (15) If  $0 < n$  and  $m \leq 1$ , then  $\text{Alg}_{\text{BPow}}(a, n, m) = 0$ . The theorem is a consequence of (8).  
 (16) If  $a \neq 0$  and  $1 < m$ , then  $\text{Alg}_{\text{BPow}}(a, n, m) = a^n \bmod m$ .

PROOF: Consider  $A, B$  being sequences of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\text{Alg}_{\text{BPow}}(a, n, m) = B(\text{LenBinSeq}(n))$  and  $A(0) = a \bmod m$  and  $B(0) = 1$  and for every natural number  $i$ ,  $A(i + 1) = A(i) \cdot A(i) \bmod m$  and  $B(i + 1) = \text{BinBranch}(B(i), B(i) \cdot A(i) \bmod m, (\text{Nat2BinLen})(n)(i + 1))$ .

Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$  if  $\$1 < \text{LenBinSeq}(n)$ , then there exists a  $(\$1 + 1)$ -tuple  $S$  of *Boolean* such that  $S = (\text{Nat2BinLen})(n) \upharpoonright (\$1 + 1)$  and  $B(\$1 + 1) = a^{\text{AbsVal}(S)} \bmod m$ .  $\mathcal{P}[0]$  by [3, (5)]. For every natural number  $i$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[i]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[i + 1]$ . For every natural number  $i$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[i]$ . Reconsider  $f = \text{LenBinSeq}(n) - 1$  as a natural number. Consider  $F_1$  being an  $(f + 1)$ -tuple of *Boolean* such that  $F_1 = (\text{Nat2BinLen})(n) \upharpoonright (f + 1)$  and  $B(f + 1) = a^{\text{AbsVal}(F_1)} \bmod m$ .  $\square$

## 2. LAMÉ'S THEOREM

Now we state the propositions:

- (17)  $\text{Fib}(5) = 5$ .  
 (18)  $1 < \tau$ .  
 (19)  $\tau < 2$ .  
 (20)  $\log_\tau 10 < 5$ . The theorem is a consequence of (17) and (18).  
 (21) Let us consider a natural number  $n$ . If  $3 \leq n$ , then  $\tau^{n-2} < \text{Fib}(n)$ .

PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv \tau^{\$1-2} < \text{Fib}(\$1)$ . For every natural number  $k$  such that  $k \geq 3$  holds if for every natural number  $i$  such that  $i \geq 3$  holds if  $i < k$ , then  $\mathcal{P}[i]$ , then  $\mathcal{P}[k]$  by [4, (22)], (19). For every natural number  $k$  such that  $k \geq 3$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[k]$ .  $\square$

- (22) Let us consider elements  $a, b$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose  $|a| > |b|$  and  $b > 1$ . Then there exist sequences  $A, B$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  and there exists a sequence  $C$  of real numbers and there exists an element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $A(0) = |a|$  and

$B(0) = |b|$  and for every natural number  $i$ ,  $A(i+1) = B(i)$  and  $B(i+1) = A(i) \bmod B(i)$  and  $n = \min^*\{i, \text{ where } i \text{ is a natural number : } B(i) = 0\}$  and  $\gcd(a, b) = A(n)$  and  $\text{Fib}(n+1) \leq |b|$  and  $n \leq 5 \cdot \lceil \log_{10} |b| \rceil$  and  $n \leq C(|b|)$  and  $C$  is polynomially bounded.

PROOF: Consider  $A, B$  being sequences of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $A(0) = |a|$  and  $B(0) = |b|$  and for every natural number  $i$ ,  $A(i+1) = B(i)$  and  $B(i+1) = A(i) \bmod B(i)$  and  $\text{Algo}_{\text{GCD}}(a, b) = A(\min^*\{i, \text{ where } i \text{ is a natural number : } B(i) = 0\})$ . Consider  $n$  being an element of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $n = \min^*\{i, \text{ where } i \text{ is a natural number : } B(i) = 0\}$  and  $\text{Algo}_{\text{GCD}}(a, b) = A(n)$ . For every elements  $a, b$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  and for every sequences  $A, B$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $A(0) = |a|$  and  $B(0) = |b|$  and for every natural number  $i$ ,  $A(i+1) = B(i)$  and  $B(i+1) = A(i) \bmod B(i)$  holds  $\{i, \text{ where } i \text{ is a natural number : } B(i) = 0\}$  is a non empty subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ .  $B(n-1) \neq 0$ . For every natural number  $i$  such that  $i < n$  holds  $B(i) > 0$ . For every natural number  $i$  such that  $i < n$  holds  $B(i+1) \leq B(i) - 1$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv \text{if } \$_1 \leq n, \text{ then } B(\$_1) \leq B(0) - \$_1$ .

For every natural number  $i$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[i]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[i+1]$ . For every natural number  $i$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[i]$ .  $n \leq B(0)$ . For every natural number  $j$  such that  $j < n$  holds  $A(j+1) < A(j)$ . If  $1 < n$ , then  $\text{Fib}(3) \leq A(n-1)$ . For every natural number  $i$  such that  $0 < i < n$  holds  $A(i+2) + A(i+1) \leq A(i)$ . For every natural number  $i$  such that  $i < n$  holds  $\text{Fib}(i+2) \leq A(n-i)$ .  $n \leq 5 \cdot \lceil \log_{10} |b| \rceil$ .  $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The authors would like to express our gratitude to Prof. Yasunari Shidama for his support and encouragement.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Kornilowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, Karol Pał, and Josef Urban. Mizar: State-of-the-art and beyond. In Manfred Kerber, Jacques Carette, Cezary Kaliszyk, Florian Rabe, and Volker Sorge, editors, *Intelligent Computer Mathematics*, volume 9150 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 261–279. Springer International Publishing, 2015. ISBN 978-3-319-20614-1. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-20615-8\_17.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Kornilowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, and Karol Pał. The role of the Mizar Mathematical Library for interactive proof development in Mizar. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 61(1):9–32, 2018. doi:10.1007/s10817-017-9440-6.
- [3] Yoshinori Fujisawa, Yasushi Fuwa, and Hidetaka Shimizu. Euler’s Theorem and small Fermat’s Theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 7(1):123–126, 1998.
- [4] Magdalena Jastrzębska and Adam Grabowski. Some properties of Fibonacci numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 12(3):307–313, 2004.
- [5] Donald E. Knuth. *Art of Computer Programming*. Volume 2: Seminumerical Algorithms, 3rd Edition, Addison-Wesley Professional, 1997.
- [6] Gabriel Lamé. Note sur la limite du nombre des divisions dans la recherche du plus grand commun diviseur entre deux nombres entiers. *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci.*, 19:867–870,

1844.

- [7] Hiroyuki Okazaki, Yosiki Aoki, and Yasunari Shidama. Extended Euclidean algorithm and CRT algorithm. *Formalized Mathematics*, 20(2):175–179, 2012. doi:10.2478/v10037-012-0020-2.
- [8] Marco Riccardi. Pocklington’s theorem and Bertrand’s postulate. *Formalized Mathematics*, 14(2):47–52, 2006. doi:10.2478/v10037-006-0007-y.

*Accepted March 11, 2019*

---