

# Block Diagonal Matrices

Karol Pałk  
Institute of Computer Science  
University of Białystok  
Poland

**Summary.** In this paper I present basic properties of block diagonal matrices over a set. In my approach the finite sequence of matrices in a block diagonal matrix is not restricted to square matrices. Moreover, the off-diagonal blocks need not be zero matrices, but also with another arbitrary fixed value.

MML identifier: MATRIXJ1, version: 7.9.01 4.103.1019

The papers [19], [1], [2], [6], [7], [3], [17], [16], [12], [5], [8], [9], [20], [13], [18], [21], [4], [14], [15], [11], and [10] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

For simplicity, we adopt the following rules:  $i, j, m, n, k$  denote natural numbers,  $x$  denotes a set,  $K$  denotes a field,  $a, a_1, a_2$  denote elements of  $K$ ,  $D$  denotes a non empty set,  $d, d_1, d_2$  denote elements of  $D$ ,  $M, M_1, M_2$  denote matrices over  $D$ ,  $A, A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2$  denote matrices over  $K$ , and  $f, g$  denote finite sequences of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

One can prove the following propositions:

- (1) Let  $K$  be a non empty additive loop structure and  $f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2$  be finite sequences of elements of  $K$ . If  $\text{len } f_1 = \text{len } f_2$ , then  $(f_1 + f_2) \wedge (g_1 + g_2) = f_1 \wedge g_1 + f_2 \wedge g_2$ .
- (2) For all finite sequences  $f, g$  of elements of  $D$  such that  $i \in \text{dom } f$  holds  $(f \wedge g) \upharpoonright_i = (f \upharpoonright_i) \wedge g$ .
- (3) For all finite sequences  $f, g$  of elements of  $D$  such that  $i \in \text{dom } g$  holds  $(f \wedge g) \upharpoonright_{i+\text{len } f} = f \wedge (g \upharpoonright_i)$ .

(4) If  $i \in \text{Seg}(n+1)$ , then  $((n+1) \mapsto d) \upharpoonright i = n \mapsto d$ .

(5)  $\prod(n \mapsto a) = \text{power}_K(a, n)$ .

Let us consider  $f$  and let  $i$  be a natural number. Let us assume that  $i \in \text{Seg}(\sum f)$ . The functor  $\min(f, i)$  yielding an element of  $\mathbb{N}$  is defined by:

(Def. 1)  $i \leq \sum f \upharpoonright \min(f, i)$  and  $\min(f, i) \in \text{dom } f$  and for every  $j$  such that  $i \leq \sum f \upharpoonright j$  holds  $\min(f, i) \leq j$ .

One can prove the following propositions:

(6) If  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $f(i) \neq 0$ , then  $\min(f, \sum f \upharpoonright i) = i$ .

(7) If  $i \in \text{Seg}(\sum f)$ , then  $\min(f, i) -' 1 = \min(f, i) - 1$  and  $\sum f \upharpoonright (\min(f, i) -' 1) < i$ .

(8) If  $i \in \text{Seg}(\sum f)$ , then  $\min(f \wedge g, i) = \min(f, i)$ .

(9) If  $i \in \text{Seg}((\sum f) + \sum g) \setminus \text{Seg}(\sum f)$ , then  $\min(f \wedge g, i) = \min(g, i -' \sum f) + \text{len } f$  and  $i -' \sum f = i - \sum f$ .

(10) If  $i \in \text{dom } f$  and  $j \in \text{Seg}(f_i)$ , then  $j + \sum f \upharpoonright (i -' 1) \in \text{Seg}(\sum f \upharpoonright i)$  and  $\min(f, j + \sum f \upharpoonright (i -' 1)) = i$ .

(11) For all  $i, j$  such that  $i \leq \text{len } f$  and  $j \leq \text{len } f$  and  $\sum f \upharpoonright i = \sum f \upharpoonright j$  and if  $i \in \text{dom } f$ , then  $f(i) \neq 0$  and if  $j \in \text{dom } f$ , then  $f(j) \neq 0$  holds  $i = j$ .

## 2. FINITE SEQUENCES OF MATRICES

Let us consider  $D$  and let  $F$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $(D^*)^*$ . We say that  $F$  is matrix-yielding if and only if:

(Def. 2) For every  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom } F$  holds  $F(i)$  is a matrix over  $D$ .

Let us consider  $D$ . Observe that there exists a finite sequence of elements of  $(D^*)^*$  which is matrix-yielding.

Let us consider  $D$ . A finite sequence of matrices over  $D$  is a matrix-yielding finite sequence of elements of  $(D^*)^*$ .

Let us consider  $K$ . A finite sequence of matrices over  $K$  is a matrix-yielding finite sequence of elements of  $((\text{the carrier of } K)^*)^*$ .

We now state the proposition

(12)  $\emptyset$  is a finite sequence of matrices over  $D$ .

We adopt the following rules:  $F, F_1, F_2$  are finite sequences of matrices over  $D$  and  $G, G', G_1, G_2$  are finite sequences of matrices over  $K$ .

Let us consider  $D, F, x$ . Then  $F(x)$  is a matrix over  $D$ .

Let us consider  $D, F_1, F_2$ . Then  $F_1 \wedge F_2$  is a finite sequence of matrices over  $D$ .

Let us consider  $D, M_1$ . Then  $\langle M_1 \rangle$  is a finite sequence of matrices over  $D$ . Let us consider  $M_2$ . Then  $\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle$  is a finite sequence of matrices over  $D$ .

Let us consider  $D, F, n$ . Then  $F \upharpoonright n$  is a finite sequence of matrices over  $D$ . Then  $F \upharpoonright_n$  is a finite sequence of matrices over  $D$ .

### 3. SEQUENCES OF SIZES OF MATRICES IN A FINITE SEQUENCE

Let us consider  $D, F$ . The functor  $\text{Len } F$  yielding a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 3)  $\text{dom Len } F = \text{dom } F$  and for every  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom Len } F$  holds  $(\text{Len } F)(i) = \text{len } F(i)$ .

The functor  $\text{Width } F$  yields a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  and is defined by:

(Def. 4)  $\text{dom Width } F = \text{dom } F$  and for every  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom Width } F$  holds  $(\text{Width } F)(i) = \text{width } F(i)$ .

Let us consider  $D, F$ . Then  $\text{Len } F$  is an element of  $\mathbb{N}^{\text{len } F}$ . Then  $\text{Width } F$  is an element of  $\mathbb{N}^{\text{len } F}$ .

The following propositions are true:

- (13) If  $\sum \text{Len } F = 0$ , then  $\sum \text{Width } F = 0$ .
- (14)  $\text{Len}(F_1 \wedge F_2) = (\text{Len } F_1) \wedge \text{Len } F_2$ .
- (15)  $\text{Len}\langle M \rangle = \langle \text{len } M \rangle$ .
- (16)  $\sum \text{Len}\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle = \text{len } M_1 + \text{len } M_2$ .
- (17)  $\text{Len}(F_1 \upharpoonright n) = \text{Len } F_1 \upharpoonright n$ .
- (18)  $\text{Width}(F_1 \wedge F_2) = (\text{Width } F_1) \wedge \text{Width } F_2$ .
- (19)  $\text{Width}\langle M \rangle = \langle \text{width } M \rangle$ .
- (20)  $\sum \text{Width}\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle = \text{width } M_1 + \text{width } M_2$ .
- (21)  $\text{Width}(F_1 \upharpoonright n) = \text{Width } F_1 \upharpoonright n$ .

### 4. BLOCK DIAGONAL MATRICES

Let us consider  $D$ , let  $d$  be an element of  $D$ , and let  $F$  be a finite sequence of matrices over  $D$ . The  $d$ -block diagonal of  $F$  is a matrix over  $D$  and is defined by the conditions (Def. 5).

- (Def. 5)(i)  $\text{len}(\text{the } d\text{-block diagonal of } F) = \sum \text{Len } F$ ,
- (ii)  $\text{width}(\text{the } d\text{-block diagonal of } F) = \sum \text{Width } F$ , and
- (iii) for all  $i, j$  such that  $\langle i, j \rangle \in$  the indices of the  $d$ -block diagonal of  $F$  holds if  $j \leq \sum \text{Width } F \upharpoonright (\text{min}(\text{Len } F, i) - 1)$  or  $j > \sum \text{Width } F \upharpoonright \text{min}(\text{Len } F, i)$ , then  $(\text{the } d\text{-block diagonal of } F)_{i,j} = d$  and if  $\sum \text{Width } F \upharpoonright (\text{min}(\text{Len } F, i) - 1) < j \leq \sum \text{Width } F \upharpoonright \text{min}(\text{Len } F, i)$ , then  $(\text{the } d\text{-block diagonal of } F)_{i,j} = F(\text{min}(\text{Len } F, i))_{i - \sum \text{Len } F \upharpoonright (\text{min}(\text{Len } F, i) - 1), j - \sum \text{Width } F \upharpoonright (\text{min}(\text{Len } F, i) - 1)}$ .

Let us consider  $D$ , let  $d$  be an element of  $D$ , and let  $F$  be a finite sequence of matrices over  $D$ . Then the  $d$ -block diagonal of  $F$  is a matrix over  $D$  of dimension  $\sum \text{Len } F \times \sum \text{Width } F$ .

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (22) For every finite sequence  $F$  of matrices over  $D$  such that  $F = \emptyset$  holds the  $d$ -block diagonal of  $F = \emptyset$ .
- (23) Let  $M$  be a matrix over  $D$  of dimension  $\sum \text{Len}\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \times \sum \text{Width}\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle$ . Then  $M =$  the  $d$ -block diagonal of  $\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle$  if and only if for every  $i$  holds if  $i \in \text{dom } M_1$ , then  $\text{Line}(M, i) = \text{Line}(M_1, i) \wedge (\text{width } M_2 \mapsto d)$  and if  $i \in \text{dom } M_2$ , then  $\text{Line}(M, i + \text{len } M_1) = (\text{width } M_1 \mapsto d) \wedge \text{Line}(M_2, i)$ .
- (24) Let  $M$  be a matrix over  $D$  of dimension  $\sum \text{Len}\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \times \sum \text{Width}\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle$ . Then  $M =$  the  $d$ -block diagonal of  $\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle$  if and only if for every  $i$  holds if  $i \in \text{Seg width } M_1$ , then  $M_{\square, i} = ((M_1)_{\square, i}) \wedge (\text{len } M_2 \mapsto d)$  and if  $i \in \text{Seg width } M_2$ , then  $M_{\square, i + \text{width } M_1} = (\text{len } M_1 \mapsto d) \wedge ((M_2)_{\square, i})$ .
- (25) The indices of the  $d_1$ -block diagonal of  $F_1$  is a subset of the indices of the  $d_2$ -block diagonal of  $F_1 \wedge F_2$ .
- (26) Suppose  $\langle i, j \rangle \in$  the indices of the  $d$ -block diagonal of  $F_1$ . Then (the  $d$ -block diagonal of  $F_1)_{i, j} =$  (the  $d$ -block diagonal of  $F_1 \wedge F_2)_{i, j}$ .
- (27)  $\langle i, j \rangle \in$  the indices of the  $d_1$ -block diagonal of  $F_2$  if and only if  $i > 0$  and  $j > 0$  and  $\langle i + \sum \text{Len } F_1, j + \sum \text{Width } F_1 \rangle \in$  the indices of the  $d_2$ -block diagonal of  $F_1 \wedge F_2$ .
- (28) Suppose  $\langle i, j \rangle \in$  the indices of the  $d$ -block diagonal of  $F_2$ . Then (the  $d$ -block diagonal of  $F_2)_{i, j} =$  (the  $d$ -block diagonal of  $F_1 \wedge F_2)_{i + \sum \text{Len } F_1, j + \sum \text{Width } F_1}$ .
- (29) Suppose  $\langle i, j \rangle \in$  the indices of the  $d$ -block diagonal of  $F_1 \wedge F_2$  but  $i \leq \sum \text{Len } F_1$  and  $j > \sum \text{Width } F_1$  or  $i > \sum \text{Len } F_1$  and  $j \leq \sum \text{Width } F_1$ . Then (the  $d$ -block diagonal of  $F_1 \wedge F_2)_{i, j} = d$ .
- (30) Let given  $i, j, k$ . Suppose  $i \in \text{dom } F$  and  $\langle j, k \rangle \in$  the indices of  $F(i)$ . Then
- (i)  $\langle j + \sum \text{Len } F \upharpoonright (i -' 1), k + \sum \text{Width } F \upharpoonright (i -' 1) \rangle \in$  the indices of the  $d$ -block diagonal of  $F$ , and
- (ii)  $F(i)_{j, k} =$  (the  $d$ -block diagonal of  $F)_{j + \sum \text{Len } F \upharpoonright (i -' 1), k + \sum \text{Width } F \upharpoonright (i -' 1)}$ .
- (31) If  $i \in \text{dom } F$ , then  $F(i) = \text{Segm}(\text{the } d\text{-block diagonal of } F, \text{Seg}(\sum \text{Len } F \upharpoonright i) \setminus \text{Seg}(\sum \text{Len } F \upharpoonright (i -' 1)), \text{Seg}(\sum \text{Width } F \upharpoonright i) \setminus \text{Seg}(\sum \text{Width } F \upharpoonright (i -' 1)))$ .
- (32)  $M = \text{Segm}(\text{the } d\text{-block diagonal of } \langle M \rangle \wedge F, \text{Seg len } M, \text{Seg width } M)$ .
- (33)  $M = \text{Segm}(\text{the } d\text{-block diagonal of } F \wedge \langle M \rangle, \text{Seg}(\text{len } M + \sum \text{Len } F) \setminus \text{Seg}(\sum \text{Len } F), \text{Seg}(\text{width } M + \sum \text{Width } F) \setminus \text{Seg}(\sum \text{Width } F))$ .
- (34) The  $d$ -block diagonal of  $\langle M \rangle = M$ .

- (35) The  $d$ -block diagonal of  $F_1 \wedge F_2 =$  the  $d$ -block diagonal of  $\langle$ the  $d$ -block diagonal of  $F_1\rangle \wedge F_2$ .
- (36) The  $d$ -block diagonal of  $F_1 \wedge F_2 =$  the  $d$ -block diagonal of  $F_1 \wedge \langle$ the  $d$ -block diagonal of  $F_2\rangle$ .
- (37) If  $i \in \text{Seg}(\sum \text{Len } F)$  and  $m = \min(\text{Len } F, i)$ , then  $\text{Line}(\text{the } d\text{-block diagonal of } F, i) = ((\sum \text{Width}(F \upharpoonright (m -' 1))) \mapsto d) \wedge \text{Line}(F(m), i -' \sum \text{Len}(F \upharpoonright (m -' 1))) \wedge ((\sum \text{Width } F) -' \sum \text{Width}(F \upharpoonright m)) \mapsto d)$ .
- (38) If  $i \in \text{Seg}(\sum \text{Width } F)$  and  $m = \min(\text{Width } F, i)$ , then  $(\text{the } d\text{-block diagonal of } F)_{\square, i} = ((\sum \text{Len}(F \upharpoonright (m -' 1))) \mapsto d) \wedge (F(m))_{\square, i -' \sum \text{Width}(F \upharpoonright (m -' 1))} \wedge ((\sum \text{Len } F) -' \sum \text{Len}(F \upharpoonright m)) \mapsto d)$ .
- (39) Let  $M_1, M_2, N_1, N_2$  be matrices over  $D$ . Suppose  $\text{len } M_1 = \text{len } N_1$  and  $\text{width } M_1 = \text{width } N_1$  and  $\text{len } M_2 = \text{len } N_2$  and  $\text{width } M_2 = \text{width } N_2$  and the  $d_1$ -block diagonal of  $\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle =$  the  $d_2$ -block diagonal of  $\langle N_1, N_2 \rangle$ . Then  $M_1 = N_1$  and  $M_2 = N_2$ .
- (40) Suppose  $M = \emptyset$ . Then
  - (i) the  $d$ -block diagonal of  $F \wedge \langle M \rangle =$  the  $d$ -block diagonal of  $F$ , and
  - (ii) the  $d$ -block diagonal of  $\langle M \rangle \wedge F =$  the  $d$ -block diagonal of  $F$ .
- (41) Suppose  $i \in \text{dom } A$  and  $\text{width } A = \text{width}(\text{the deleting of } i\text{-row in } A)$ . Then the deleting of  $i$ -row in the  $a$ -block diagonal of  $\langle A \rangle \wedge G =$  the  $a$ -block diagonal of  $\langle$ the deleting of  $i$ -row in  $A\rangle \wedge G$ .
- (42) Suppose  $i \in \text{dom } A$  and  $\text{width } A = \text{width}(\text{the deleting of } i\text{-row in } A)$ . Then the deleting of  $(\sum \text{Len } G) + i$ -row in the  $a$ -block diagonal of  $G \wedge \langle A \rangle =$  the  $a$ -block diagonal of  $G \wedge \langle$ the deleting of  $i$ -row in  $A\rangle$ .
- (43) Suppose  $i \in \text{Seg width } A$ . Then the deleting of  $i$ -column in the  $a$ -block diagonal of  $\langle A \rangle \wedge G =$  the  $a$ -block diagonal of  $\langle$ the deleting of  $i$ -column in  $A\rangle \wedge G$ .
- (44) Suppose  $i \in \text{Seg width } A$ . Then the deleting of  $i + \sum \text{Width } G$ -column in the  $a$ -block diagonal of  $G \wedge \langle A \rangle =$  the  $a$ -block diagonal of  $G \wedge \langle$ the deleting of  $i$ -column in  $A\rangle$ .

Let us consider  $D$  and let  $F$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $(D^*)^*$ . We say that  $F$  is square-matrix-yielding if and only if:

- (Def. 6) For every  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom } F$  there exists  $n$  such that  $F(i)$  is a square matrix over  $D$  of dimension  $n$ .

Let us consider  $D$ . One can verify that there exists a finite sequence of elements of  $(D^*)^*$  which is square-matrix-yielding.

Let us consider  $D$ . Observe that every finite sequence of elements of  $(D^*)^*$  which is square-matrix-yielding is also matrix-yielding.

Let us consider  $D$ . A finite sequence of square-matrices over  $D$  is a square-matrix-yielding finite sequence of elements of  $(D^*)^*$ .

Let us consider  $K$ . A finite sequence of square-matrices over  $K$  is a square-matrix-yielding finite sequence of elements of  $((\text{the carrier of } K)^*)^*$ .

We use the following convention:  $S, S_1, S_2$  denote finite sequences of square-matrices over  $D$  and  $R, R_1, R_2$  denote finite sequences of square-matrices over  $K$ .

One can prove the following proposition

(45)  $\emptyset$  is a finite sequence of square-matrices over  $D$ .

Let us consider  $D, S, x$ . Then  $S(x)$  is a square matrix over  $D$  of dimension  $\text{len } S(x)$ .

Let us consider  $D, S_1, S_2$ . Then  $S_1 \wedge S_2$  is a finite sequence of square-matrices over  $D$ .

Let us consider  $D, n$  and let  $M_1$  be a square matrix over  $D$  of dimension  $n$ . Then  $\langle M_1 \rangle$  is a finite sequence of square-matrices over  $D$ .

Let us consider  $D, n, m$ , let  $M_1$  be a square matrix over  $D$  of dimension  $n$ , and let  $M_2$  be a square matrix over  $D$  of dimension  $m$ . Then  $\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle$  is a finite sequence of square-matrices over  $D$ .

Let us consider  $D, S, n$ . Then  $S|_n$  is a finite sequence of square-matrices over  $D$ . Then  $S \downarrow_n$  is a finite sequence of square-matrices over  $D$ .

The following proposition is true

(46)  $\text{Len } S = \text{Width } S$ .

Let us consider  $D$ , let  $d$  be an element of  $D$ , and let  $S$  be a finite sequence of square-matrices over  $D$ . Then the  $d$ -block diagonal of  $S$  is a square matrix over  $D$  of dimension  $\sum \text{Len } S$ .

One can prove the following propositions:

(47) Let  $A$  be a square matrix over  $K$  of dimension  $n$ . Suppose  $i \in \text{dom } A$  and  $j \in \text{Seg } n$ . Then the deleting of  $i$ -row and  $j$ -column in the  $a$ -block diagonal of  $\langle A \rangle \wedge R =$  the  $a$ -block diagonal of  $\langle$ the deleting of  $i$ -row and  $j$ -column in  $A \rangle \wedge R$ .

(48) Let  $A$  be a square matrix over  $K$  of dimension  $n$ . Suppose  $i \in \text{dom } A$  and  $j \in \text{Seg } n$ . Then the deleting of  $i + \sum \text{Len } R$ -row and  $j + \sum \text{Len } R$ -column in the  $a$ -block diagonal of  $R \wedge \langle A \rangle =$  the  $a$ -block diagonal of  $R \wedge \langle$ the deleting of  $i$ -row and  $j$ -column in  $A \rangle$ .

Let us consider  $K, R$ . The functor  $\text{Det } R$  yielding a finite sequence of elements of  $K$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 7)  $\text{dom Det } R = \text{dom } R$  and for every  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom Det } R$  holds  $(\text{Det } R)(i) = \text{Det } R(i)$ .

Let us consider  $K, R$ . Then  $\text{Det } R$  is an element of  $(\text{the carrier of } K)^{\text{len } R}$ .

In the sequel  $N$  denotes a square matrix over  $K$  of dimension  $n$  and  $N_1$  denotes a square matrix over  $K$  of dimension  $m$ .

The following propositions are true:

- (49)  $\text{Det}\langle N \rangle = \langle \text{Det } N \rangle$ .
- (50)  $\text{Det}(R_1 \frown R_2) = (\text{Det } R_1) \frown \text{Det } R_2$ .
- (51)  $\text{Det}(R \upharpoonright n) = \text{Det } R \upharpoonright n$ .
- (52)  $\text{Det}(\text{the } 0_K\text{-block diagonal of } \langle N, N_1 \rangle) = \text{Det } N \cdot \text{Det } N_1$ .
- (53)  $\text{Det}(\text{the } 0_K\text{-block diagonal of } R) = \prod \text{Det } R$ .
- (54) If  $\text{len } A_1 \neq \text{width } A_1$  and  $N = \text{the } 0_K\text{-block diagonal of } \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$ , then  $\text{Det } N = 0_K$ .
- (55) Suppose  $\text{Len } G \neq \text{Width } G$ . Let  $M$  be a square matrix over  $K$  of dimension  $n$ . If  $M = \text{the } 0_K\text{-block diagonal of } G$ , then  $\text{Det } M = 0_K$ .

5. AN EXAMPLE OF A FINITE SEQUENCE OF MATRICES

Let us consider  $K$  and let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ . The functor  $I_K^{f \times f}$  yielding a finite sequence of square-matrices over  $K$  is defined by:

- (Def. 8)  $\text{dom}(I_K^{f \times f}) = \text{dom } f$  and for every  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom}(I_K^{f \times f})$  holds  $I_K^{f \times f}(i) = I_K^{(i) \times f(i)}$ .

The following propositions are true:

- (56)  $\text{Len}(I_K^{f \times f}) = f$  and  $\text{Width}(I_K^{f \times f}) = f$ .
- (57) For every element  $i$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  holds  $I_K^{(i) \times \langle i \rangle} = \langle I_K^{i \times i} \rangle$ .
- (58)  $I_K^{(f \frown g) \times (f \frown g)} = (I_K^{f \times f}) \frown I_K^{g \times g}$ .
- (59)  $I_K^{(f \upharpoonright n) \times (f \upharpoonright n)} = I_K^{f \times f} \upharpoonright n$ .
- (60) The  $0_K$ -block diagonal of  $\langle I_K^{i \times i}, I_K^{j \times j} \rangle = I_K^{(i+j) \times (i+j)}$ .
- (61) The  $0_K$ -block diagonal of  $I_K^{f \times f} = I_K^{(\sum f) \times (\sum f)}$ .

In the sequel  $p, p_1$  are finite sequences of elements of  $K$ .

6. OPERATIONS ON A FINITE SEQUENCE OF MATRICES

Let us consider  $K, G, p$ . The functor  $p \bullet G$  yielding a finite sequence of matrices over  $K$  is defined as follows:

- (Def. 9)  $\text{dom}(p \bullet G) = \text{dom } G$  and for every  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom}(p \bullet G)$  holds  $(p \bullet G)(i) = p_i \cdot G(i)$ .

Let us consider  $K$  and let us consider  $R, p$ . Then  $p \bullet R$  is a finite sequence of square-matrices over  $K$ .

The following propositions are true:

- (62)  $\text{Len}(p \bullet G) = \text{Len } G$  and  $\text{Width}(p \bullet G) = \text{Width } G$ .
- (63)  $p \bullet \langle A \rangle = \langle p_1 \cdot A \rangle$ .
- (64) If  $\text{len } G = \text{len } p$  and  $\text{len } G_1 \leq \text{len } p_1$ , then  $p \frown p_1 \bullet G \frown G_1 = (p \bullet G) \frown (p_1 \bullet G_1)$ .

(65)  $a$ -the  $a_1$ -block diagonal of  $G =$  the  $(a \cdot a_1)$ -block diagonal of  $\text{len } G \mapsto a \bullet G$ .

Let us consider  $K$  and let  $G_1, G_2$  be finite sequences of matrices over  $K$ . The functor  $G_1 \oplus G_2$  yields a finite sequence of matrices over  $K$  and is defined by:

(Def. 10)  $\text{dom}(G_1 \oplus G_2) = \text{dom } G_1$  and for every  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom}(G_1 \oplus G_2)$  holds  $(G_1 \oplus G_2)(i) = G_1(i) + G_2(i)$ .

Let us consider  $K$  and let us consider  $R, G$ . Then  $R \oplus G$  is a finite sequence of square-matrices over  $K$ .

The following propositions are true:

(66)  $\text{Len}(G_1 \oplus G_2) = \text{Len } G_1$  and  $\text{Width}(G_1 \oplus G_2) = \text{Width } G_1$ .

(67) If  $\text{len } G = \text{len } G'$ , then  $G \wedge G_1 \oplus G' \wedge G_2 = (G \oplus G') \wedge (G_1 \oplus G_2)$ .

(68)  $\langle A \rangle \oplus G = \langle A + G(1) \rangle$ .

(69)  $\langle A_1 \rangle \oplus \langle A_2 \rangle = \langle A_1 + A_2 \rangle$ .

(70)  $\langle A_1, B_1 \rangle \oplus \langle A_2, B_2 \rangle = \langle A_1 + A_2, B_1 + B_2 \rangle$ .

(71) Suppose  $\text{len } A_1 = \text{len } B_1$  and  $\text{len } A_2 = \text{len } B_2$  and  $\text{width } A_1 = \text{width } B_1$  and  $\text{width } A_2 = \text{width } B_2$ . Then (the  $a_1$ -block diagonal of  $\langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$ ) + (the  $a_2$ -block diagonal of  $\langle B_1, B_2 \rangle$ ) = the  $(a_1 + a_2)$ -block diagonal of  $\langle A_1, A_2 \rangle \oplus \langle B_1, B_2 \rangle$ .

(72) Suppose  $\text{Len } R_1 = \text{Len } R_2$  and  $\text{Width } R_1 = \text{Width } R_2$ . Then (the  $a_1$ -block diagonal of  $R_1$ ) + (the  $a_2$ -block diagonal of  $R_2$ ) = the  $(a_1 + a_2)$ -block diagonal of  $R_1 \oplus R_2$ .

Let us consider  $K$  and let  $G_1, G_2$  be finite sequences of matrices over  $K$ . The functor  $G_1 G_2$  yielding a finite sequence of matrices over  $K$  is defined by:

(Def. 11)  $\text{dom}(G_1 G_2) = \text{dom } G_1$  and for every  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom}(G_1 G_2)$  holds  $(G_1 G_2)(i) = G_1(i) \cdot G_2(i)$ .

Next we state several propositions:

(73) If  $\text{Width } G_1 = \text{Len } G_2$ , then  $\text{Len}(G_1 G_2) = \text{Len } G_1$  and  $\text{Width}(G_1 G_2) = \text{Width } G_2$ .

(74) If  $\text{len } G = \text{len } G'$ , then  $(G \wedge G_1) (G' \wedge G_2) = (G G') \wedge (G_1 G_2)$ .

(75)  $\langle A \rangle G = \langle A \cdot G(1) \rangle$ .

(76)  $\langle A_1 \rangle \langle A_2 \rangle = \langle A_1 \cdot A_2 \rangle$ .

(77)  $\langle A_1, B_1 \rangle \langle A_2, B_2 \rangle = \langle A_1 \cdot A_2, B_1 \cdot B_2 \rangle$ .

(78) Suppose  $\text{width } A_1 = \text{len } B_1$  and  $\text{width } A_2 = \text{len } B_2$ . Then (the  $0_K$ -block diagonal of  $\langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$ )  $\cdot$  (the  $0_K$ -block diagonal of  $\langle B_1, B_2 \rangle$ ) = the  $0_K$ -block diagonal of  $\langle A_1, A_2 \rangle \langle B_1, B_2 \rangle$ .

(79) If  $\text{Width } R_1 = \text{Len } R_2$ , then (the  $0_K$ -block diagonal of  $R_1$ )  $\cdot$  (the  $0_K$ -block diagonal of  $R_2$ ) = the  $0_K$ -block diagonal of  $R_1 R_2$ .



## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations applied to finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):643–649, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [8] Katarzyna Jankowska. Matrices. Abelian group of matrices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):475–480, 1991.
- [9] Katarzyna Jankowska. Transpose matrices and groups of permutations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):711–717, 1991.
- [10] Andrzej Kondracki. The Chinese Remainder Theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(4):573–577, 1997.
- [11] Jarosław Kotowicz. Functions and finite sequences of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(2):275–278, 1992.
- [12] Eugeniusz Kusak, Wojciech Leończuk, and Michał Muzalewski. Abelian groups, fields and vector spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):335–342, 1990.
- [13] Takaya Nishiyama and Yasuho Mizuhara. Binary arithmetics. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):83–86, 1993.
- [14] Karol Pąk. Basic properties of the rank of matrices over a field. *Formalized Mathematics*, 15(4):199–211, 2007.
- [15] Karol Pąk and Andrzej Trybulec. Laplace expansion. *Formalized Mathematics*, 15(3):143–150, 2007.
- [16] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Groups. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):821–827, 1990.
- [17] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Vectors in real linear space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):291–296, 1990.
- [18] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Lattice of subgroups of a group. Frattini subgroup. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):41–47, 1991.
- [19] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [20] Katarzyna Zawadzka. The sum and product of finite sequences of elements of a field. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(2):205–211, 1992.
- [21] Katarzyna Zawadzka. The product and the determinant of matrices with entries in a field. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):1–8, 1993.

Received May 13, 2008

---