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Alexandroff One Point Compactification

Czesław Byliński
University of Białystok
Poland

Summary. In the article, I introduce the notions of the compactification of topological spaces and the Alexandroff one point compactification. Some properties of the locally compact spaces and one point compactification are proved.

MML identifier: COMPACT1, version: 7.8.05 4.87.985

The articles [15], [5], [16], [17], [4], [18], [1], [8], [14], [13], [19], [7], [9], [10], [6], [12], [2], [3], and [11] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

Let $X$ be a topological space and let $P$ be a family of subsets of $X$. We say that $P$ is compact if and only if:

(Def. 1) For every subset $U$ of $X$ such that $U \in P$ holds $U$ is compact.

Let $X$ be a topological space and let $U$ be a subset of $X$. We say that $U$ is relatively-compact if and only if:

(Def. 2) $\overline{U}$ is compact.

Let $X$ be a topological space. Note that $\emptyset_X$ is relatively-compact.

Let $X$ be a topological space. Observe that there exists a subset of $X$ which is relatively-compact.

Let $X$ be a topological space and let $U$ be a relatively-compact subset of $X$. Observe that $\overline{U}$ is compact.

Let $X$ be a topological space and let $U$ be a subset of $X$. We introduce $U$ is pre-compact as a synonym of $U$ is relatively-compact.

Let $X$ be a non empty topological space. We introduce $X$ is liminally-compact as a synonym of $X$ is locally-compact.

Let $X$ be a non empty topological space. Let us observe that $X$ is liminally-compact if and only if:

(Def. 3) For every point $x$ of $X$ holds there exists a generalized basis of $x$ which is compact.
Let $X$ be a non empty topological space. We say that $X$ is locally-relatively-compact if and only if:

(Def. 4) For every point $x$ of $X$ holds there exists a neighbourhood of $x$ which is relatively-compact.

Let $X$ be a non empty topological space. We say that $X$ is locally-closed/compact if and only if:

(Def. 5) For every point $x$ of $X$ holds there exists a neighbourhood of $x$ which is closed and compact.

Let $X$ be a non empty topological space. We say that $X$ is locally-compact if and only if:

(Def. 6) For every point $x$ of $X$ holds there exists a neighbourhood of $x$ which is compact.

Let us observe that every non empty topological space which is liminally-compact is also locally-compact.

Let us note that every non empty $T_3$ topological space which is locally-compact is also liminally-compact.

One can verify that every non empty topological space which is locally-relatively-compact is also locally-closed/compact.

Let us observe that every non empty topological space which is locally-closed/compact is also locally-relatively-compact.

Let us observe that every non empty topological space which is locally-relatively-compact is also locally-compact.

One can verify that every non empty Hausdorff topological space which is locally-compact is also locally-relatively-compact.

One can check that every non empty topological space which is compact is also locally-compact.

Let us observe that every non empty topological space which is discrete is also locally-compact.

Let us mention that there exists a topological space which is discrete and non empty.

Let $X$ be a locally-compact non empty topological space and let $C$ be a closed non empty subset of $X$. Note that $X\setminus C$ is locally-compact.

Let $X$ be a locally-compact non empty $T_3$ topological space and let $P$ be an open non empty subset of $X$. Note that $X\setminus P$ is locally-compact.

One can prove the following two propositions:

(1) Let $X$ be a Hausdorff non empty topological space and $E$ be a non empty subset of $X$. If $X\setminus E$ is dense and locally-compact, then $X\setminus E$ is open.

(2) For all topological spaces $X$, $Y$ and for every subset $A$ of $X$ such that $\Omega_X \subseteq \Omega_Y$ holds $(\text{incl}(X,Y))^\circ A = A$. 
Let $X, Y$ be topological spaces and let $f$ be a function from $X$ into $Y$. We say that $f$ is embedding if and only if:

(Def. 7) There exists a function $h$ from $X$ into $Y \setminus \text{rng } f$ such that $h = f$ and $h$ is a homeomorphism.

The following proposition is true

(3) Let $X, Y$ be topological spaces. Suppose $\Omega_X \subseteq \Omega_Y$ and there exists a subset $X_1$ of $Y$ such that $X_1 = \Omega_X$ and the topology of $Y \setminus X_1$ is the topology of $X$. Then $\text{incl}(X, Y)$ is embedding.

Let $X$ be a topological space, let $Y$ be a topological space, and let $h$ be a function from $X$ into $Y$. We say that $h$ is compactification if and only if:

(Def. 8) $h$ is embedding and $Y$ is compact and $h^*(\Omega_X)$ is dense.

Let $X$ be a topological space and let $Y$ be a topological space. Note that every function from $X$ into $Y$ which is compactification is also embedding.

Let $X$ be a topological structure. The one-point compactification of $X$ yields a strict topological structure and is defined by the conditions (Def. 9).

(Def. 9)(i) The carrier of the one-point compactification of $X = \text{succ}(\Omega_X)$, and
(ii) the topology of the one-point compactification of $X = \{\text{the topology of } X \cup \{U \cup \{\Omega_X\}; U \text{ ranges over subsets of } X; U \text{ is open } \land U^c \text{ is compact}\}. \]

Let $X$ be a topological structure. Note that the one-point compactification of $X$ is non empty.

We now state the proposition

(4) For every topological structure $X$ holds

$\Omega_X \subseteq \Omega_{\text{the one-point compactification of } X}$. \]

Let $X$ be a topological space. Note that the one-point compactification of $X$ is topological space-like.

Next we state the proposition

(5) Every topological structure $X$ is a subspace of the one-point compactification of $X$.

Let $X$ be a topological space. One can verify that the one-point compactification of $X$ is compact.

One can prove the following propositions:

(6) Let $X$ be a non empty topological space. Then $X$ is Hausdorff and locally-compact if and only if the one-point compactification of $X$ is Hausdorff.

(7) Let $X$ be a non empty topological space. Then $X$ is non compact if and only if there exists a subset $X'$ of the one-point compactification of $X$ such that $X' = \Omega_X$ and $X'$ is dense.

(8) Let $X$ be a non empty topological space. Suppose $X$ is non compact. Then $\text{incl}(X, \text{the one-point compactification of } X)$ is compactification.
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Summary. A formalization of the first proof from [6].
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The terminology and notation used here are introduced in the following articles:
[11], [13], [12], [10], [9], [5], [2], [3], [1], [8], [4], and [7].

1. Preliminaries

Let $A, B'$ be non empty sets, let $B$ be a non empty subset of $B'$, let $f$ be a
function from $A$ into $B$, and let $x$ be an element of $A$. Then $f(x)$ is an element
of $B$.

Next we state two propositions:
(1) For every finite set $A$ such that $\text{card } A \geq 2$ and for every element $a$ of $A$
there exists an element $b$ of $A$ such that $b \neq a$.

(2) Let $A$ be a finite set. Suppose $\text{card } A \geq 3$. Let $a, b$ be elements of $A$.
Then there exists an element $c$ of $A$ such that $c \neq a$ and $c \neq b$.

2. Linear Preorders and Linear Orders

In the sequel $A$ denotes a non empty set and $a, b, c$ denote elements of $A$.
Let us consider $A$. The functor LinPreorders $A$ is defined by the condition
(Def. 1).
(Def. 1) Let $R$ be a set. Then $R \in \text{LinPreorders} A$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) $R$ is a binary relation on $A$,

(ii) for all $a, b$ holds $(a, b) \in R$ or $(b, a) \in R$, and

(iii) for all $a, b, c$ such that $(a, b) \in R$ and $(b, c) \in R$ holds $(a, c) \in R$.

Let us consider $A$. Note that LinPreorders $A$ is non-empty.

Let us consider $A$. The functor $\text{LinOrders} A$ yielding a subset of LinPreorders $A$ is defined by:

(Def. 2) For every element $R$ of LinPreorders $A$ holds $R \in \text{LinOrders} A$ iff for all $a, b$ such that $(a, b) \in R$ and $(b, a) \in R$ holds $a = b$.

Let $A$ be a set. One can verify that there exists an order in $A$ which is connected.

Let us consider $A$. Then LinOrders $A$ can be characterized by the condition:

(Def. 3) For every set $R$ holds $R \in \text{LinOrders} A$ iff $R$ is a connected order in $A$.

Let us consider $A$. One can verify that LinOrders $A$ is non-empty.

In the sequel, $a, o'$ are elements of LinOrders $A$ and $o''$ is an element of LinOrders $A$.

Let us consider $A$, $o, a$, $b$. The predicate $a \leq_o b$ is defined by:

(Def. 4) $(a, b) \in o$.

Let us consider $A$, $o, a$, $b$. We introduce $b \geq_a a$ as a synonym of $a \leq_o b$. We introduce $b <_o a$ as an antonym of $a \leq_o b$. We introduce $a >_o b$ as an antonym of $a \leq_o b$.

We now state a number of propositions:

(3) $a \leq_o a$.

(4) $a \leq_o b$ or $b \leq_o a$.

(5) If $a \leq_o b$ or $a <_o b$ and if $b \leq_o c$ or $b <_o c$, then $a \leq_o c$.

(6) If $a \leq_o' b$ and $b \leq_o' a$, then $a = b$.

(7) If $a \neq b$ and $b \neq c$ and $a \neq c$, then there exists $o$ such that $a <_o b$ and $b <_o c$.

(8) There exists $o$ such that for every $a$ such that $a \neq b$ holds $b <_o a$.

(9) There exists $o$ such that for every $a$ such that $a \neq b$ holds $a <_o b$.

(10) If $a \neq b$ and $a \neq c$, then there exists $o$ such that $a <_o b$ and $a <_o c$ and $b <_o c$ if $b <_{o'} c$ and $c <_o b$ if $c <_{o'} b$.

(11) If $a \neq b$ and $a \neq c$, then there exists $o$ such that $b <_o a$ and $c <_o a$ and $b <_o c$ if $b <_{o'} c$ and $c <_o b$ if $c <_{o'} b$.

(12) Let $o, o'$ be elements of LinOrders $A$. Then $a <_o b$ iff $a <_{o'} b$ and $b <_o a$ iff $b <_{o'} a$ if and only if $a <_o b$ iff $a <_{o'} b$.

(13) Let $o$ be an element of LinOrders $A$ and $o'$ be an element of LinPreorders $A$. Then for all $a, b$ such that $a <_o b$ holds $a <_{o'} b$ if and only
if for all $a, b$ holds $a <_a b$ iff $a <_{a'} b$.

3. Arrow's Theorem

For simplicity, we follow the rules: $A, N$ are finite non-empty sets, $a, b$ are elements of $A$, $i, n$ are elements of $N$, $p, p'$ are elements of $(\text{LinPreorders} A)^N$, and $f$ is a function from $(\text{LinPreorders} A)^N$ into $\text{LinPreorders} A$.

We now state the proposition

(14) Suppose that

(i) for all $p, a, b$ such that for every $i$ holds $a <_{p(i)} b$ holds $a <_{f(p)} b$,

(ii) for all $p, p', a, b$ such that for every $i$ holds $a <_{p(i)} b$ iff $a <_{p'(i)} b$ and $b <_{p(i)} a$ iff $b <_{p'(i)} a$ holds $a <_{f(p)} b$ iff $a <_{f(p')} b$, and

(iii) $\text{card} A \geq 3$.

Then there exists $n$ such that for all $p, a, b$ such that $a <_{p(n)} b$ holds $a <_{f(p)} b$.

In the sequel $p, p'$ denote elements of $(\text{LinOrders} A)^N$ and $f$ denotes a function from $(\text{LinOrders} A)^N$ into $\text{LinPreorders} A$.

One can prove the following proposition

(15) Suppose that

(i) for all $p, a, b$ such that for every $i$ holds $a <_{p(i)} b$ holds $a <_{f(p)} b$,

(ii) for all $p, p', a, b$ such that for every $i$ holds $a <_{p(i)} b$ iff $a <_{p'(i)} b$ holds $a <_{f(p)} b$ iff $a <_{f(p')} b$, and

(iii) $\text{card} A \geq 3$.

Then there exists $n$ such that for all $p, a, b$ holds $a <_{p(n)} b$ iff $a <_{f(p)} b$.
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The articles [11], [5], [12], [10], [13], [2], [3], [1], [8], [14], [6], [15], [4], and [9] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

1. Basic Properties of the Element

For simplicity, we adopt the following convention: $X$ is a BCI-algebra, $I$ is an ideal of $X$, $a, x, y, z, u$ are elements of $X$, $f$ is a function from $\mathbb{N}$ into the carrier of $X$, and $j, i, k, n, m$ are elements of $\mathbb{N}$.

Let us consider $X, x, y$ and let $n$ be an element of $\mathbb{N}$. The functor $(x \setminus y)^n$ yielding an element of $X$ is defined by:

(Def. 1) There exists $f$ such that $(x \setminus y)^n = f(n)$ and $f(0) = x$ and for every element $j$ of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $j < n$ holds $f(j + 1) = f(j) \setminus y$.

One can prove the following propositions:

$$(x \setminus y)^0 = x,$$

$$(x \setminus y)^1 = x \setminus y,$$

$$(x \setminus y)^2 = x \setminus y \setminus y.$$
\[(x \setminus y)^{n+1} = ((x \setminus y)^n) \setminus y.\]
\[(x \setminus 0_X)^{n+1} = x.\]
\[(0_X \setminus 0_X)^n = 0_X.\]
\[((x \setminus y)^n) \setminus z = ((x \setminus z) \setminus y)^n.\]
\[(x \setminus (x \setminus y))^n = (x \setminus y)^n.\]
\[((0_X \setminus x)^n)^c = (0_X \setminus x^c)^n.\]
\[((x \setminus y)^n \setminus y)^m = (x \setminus y)^{n+m}.\]
\[((x \setminus y)^n \setminus z)^m = ((x \setminus z)^m \setminus y)^n.\]
\[((0_X \setminus x)^n)^c = (0_X \setminus x)^n.\]
\[((0_X \setminus x)^{n+m} = ((0_X \setminus x)^n) \setminus ((0_X \setminus x)^m)^c.\]
\[((0_X \setminus x)^{m+n})^c = ((0_X \setminus x)^m)^c \setminus ((0_X \setminus x)^n).\]
\[((0_X \setminus ((0_X \setminus x)^m))^n)^c = (0_X \setminus x)^{m-n}.\]
\[(0_X \setminus x)^m = 0_X,\] then \((0_X \setminus x)^{m-n} = 0_X.\]
\[x \setminus y = x,\] then \((x \setminus y)^n = x.\]
\[0_X \setminus (x \setminus y)^n = ((0_X \setminus x)^n) \setminus ((0_X \setminus y)^n).\]
\[(x \setminus y)^n \setminus ((y \setminus z)^n) \leq x \setminus y.\]
\[(x \setminus (x \setminus y))^n \setminus (y \setminus x)^n \leq x.\]

Let us consider \(X, a\). We introduce \(a\) is minimal as a synonym of \(a\) is atom.
Let us consider \(X, a\). We say that \(a\) is positive if and only if:

(Def. 2) \(0_X \leq a.\)
We say that \(a\) is least if and only if:

(Def. 3) For every \(x\) holds \(a \leq x.\)
We say that \(a\) is maximal if and only if:

(Def. 4) For every \(x\) such that \(a \leq x\) holds \(x = a.\)
We say that \(a\) is greatest if and only if:

(Def. 5) For every \(x\) holds \(x \leq a.\)
Let us consider \(X.\) Observe that there exists an element of \(X\) which is positive.

Let us consider \(X.\) Note that \(0_X\) is positive and minimal.

Next we state several propositions:

(23) \(a\) is minimal iff for every \(x\) holds \(a \setminus x = x^c \setminus a^c.\)

(24) \(x^c\) is minimal iff for every \(y\) such that \(y \leq x\) holds \(x^c = y^c.\)

(25) \(x^c\) is minimal iff for all \(y, z\) holds \(((x \setminus z) \setminus (y \setminus z))^c)^c = y^c \setminus x^c.\)

(26) If \(0_X\) is maximal, then every \(a\) is minimal.

(27) If there exists \(x\) which is greatest, then every \(a\) is positive.
(28) $x \setminus (x^c)^c$ is a positive element of $X$.

(29) $a$ is minimal iff $(a^c)^c = a$.

(30) $a$ is minimal iff there exists $x$ such that $a = x^c$.

Let us consider $X$, $x$. We say that $x$ is nilpotent if and only if:

(Def. 6) There exists a non empty element $k$ of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $(0_X \setminus x)^k = 0_X$.

Let us consider $X$. We say that $X$ is nilpotent if and only if:

(Def. 7) Every element of $X$ is nilpotent.

Let us consider $X$, $x$. We say that $x$ is nilpotent. The functor $\text{ord}(x)$ yielding a non empty element of $\mathbb{N}$ is defined by:

(Def. 8) $(0_X \setminus x)^{\text{ord}(x)} = 0_X$ and for every element $m$ of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $(0_X \setminus x)^m = 0_X$ and $m \neq 0$ holds $\text{ord}(x) \leq m$.

Let us consider $X$. One can verify that $0_X$ is nilpotent.

We now state four propositions:

(31) $x$ is a positive element of $X$ iff $x$ is nilpotent and $\text{ord}(x) = 1$.

(32) $X$ is a BCK-algebra iff for every $x$ holds $\text{ord}(x) = 1$ and $x$ is nilpotent.

(33) $(0_X \setminus x^c)^n$ is minimal.

(34) If $x$ is nilpotent, then $\text{ord}(x) = \text{ord}(x^c)$.

2. Congruences and Quotient Algebras

Let $X$ be a BCI-algebra. An equivalence relation of $X$ is said to be a congruence of $X$ if:

(Def. 9) For all elements $x, y, u, v$ of $X$ such that $(x, y) \in$ it and $(u, v) \in$ it holds $(x \setminus u, y \setminus v) \in$ it.

Let $X$ be a BCI-algebra. An equivalence relation of $X$ is said to be an L-congruence of $X$ if:

(Def. 10) For all elements $x, y$ of $X$ such that $(x, y) \in$ it and for every element $u$ of $X$ holds $(u \setminus x, u \setminus y) \in$ it.

Let $X$ be a BCI-algebra. An equivalence relation of $X$ is said to be an R-congruence of $X$ if:

(Def. 11) For all elements $x, y$ of $X$ such that $(x, y) \in$ it and for every element $u$ of $X$ holds $(x \setminus u, y \setminus u) \in$ it.

Let $X$ be a BCI-algebra and let $A$ be an ideal of $X$. A binary relation on $X$ is said to be an I-congruence of $X$ by $A$ if:

(Def. 12) For all elements $x, y$ of $X$ holds $(x, y) \in$ it iff $x \setminus y \in A$ and $y \setminus x \in A$.

Let $X$ be a BCI-algebra and let $A$ be an ideal of $X$. Note that every I-congruence of $X$ by $A$ is total, symmetric, and transitive.

Let $X$ be a BCI-algebra. The functor $\text{IConSet} \ X$ is defined as follows:
(Def. 13) For every set $A_1$ holds $A_1 \in \text{IConSet } X$ iff there exists an ideal $I$ of $X$ such that $A_1$ is an I-congruence of $X$ by $I$.

Let $X$ be a BCI-algebra. The functor $\text{ConSet } X$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 14) $\text{ConSet } X = \{ R : R$ ranges over congruences of $X \}$. 

The functor $\text{LConSet } X$ is defined by:

(Def. 15) $\text{LConSet } X = \{ R : R$ ranges over L-congruences of $X \}$.

The functor $\text{RConSet } X$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 16) $\text{RConSet } X = \{ R : R$ ranges over R-congruences of $X \}$.

For simplicity, we adopt the following rules: $R$ is an equivalence relation of $X$, $R_1$ is an I-congruence of $X$ by $I$, $E$ is a congruence of $X$, $R_2$ is an R-congruence of $X$, and $L_1$ is an L-congruence of $X$.

We now state three propositions:

(35) For all $X$, $E$ holds $[0_X]_E$ is a closed ideal of $X$.

(36) $R$ is a congruence of $X$ iff $R$ is an R-congruence of $X$ and an L-congruence of $X$.

(37) $R_1$ is a congruence of $X$.

Let $X$ be a BCI-algebra and let $I$ be an ideal of $X$. We see that the I-congruence of $X$ by $I$ is a congruence of $X$.

One can prove the following propositions:

(38) $[0_X]_{(R_1)} \subseteq I$.

(39) $I$ is closed iff $I = [0_X]_{(R_1)}$.

(40) If $(x, y) \in E$, then $x \setminus y \in [0_X]_E$ and $y \setminus x \in [0_X]_E$.

(41) Let $A$, $I$ be ideals of $X$, $I_1$ be an I-congruence of $X$ by $A$, and $I_2$ be an I-congruence of $X$ by $I$. If $[0_X]_{(I_1)} = [0_X]_{(I_2)}$, then $I_1 = I_2$.

(42) If $(x, y) \in E$ and $u \in [0_X]_E$, then $(x, (y \setminus u)^k) \in E$.

(43) Suppose that for all $X$, $x$, $y$ there exist $i$, $j$, $m$, $n$ such that $((x \setminus (x \setminus y))i \setminus (y \setminus x))^j = ((y \setminus (y \setminus x))^m \setminus (x \setminus y))^n$. Let given $E$, $I$. If $I = [0_X]_E$, then $E$ is an I-congruence of $X$ by $I$.

(44) $\text{IConSet } X \subseteq \text{ConSet } X$.

(45) $\text{ConSet } X \subseteq \text{LConSet } X$.

(46) $\text{ConSet } X \subseteq \text{RConSet } X$.

(47) $\text{ConSet } X = \text{LConSet } X \cap \text{RConSet } X$.

(48) If every $L_1$ is an I-congruence of $X$ by $I$, then $E = R_1$.

(49) If every $R_2$ is an I-congruence of $X$ by $I$, then $E = R_1$.

(50) $[0_X]_{(L_1)}$ is a closed ideal of $X$.

In the sequel $E$ denotes a congruence of $X$ and $R_1$ denotes an I-congruence of $X$ by $I$.

Let us consider $X$, $E$. Note that Classes $E$ is non empty.
Let us consider $X, E$. The functor $\text{EqClaOp} E$ yielding a binary operation on $\text{Classes} E$ is defined by:

(Def. 17) For all elements $W_1, W_2$ of $\text{Classes} E$ and for all $x, y$ such that $W_1 = [x]_E$ and $W_2 = [y]_E$ holds $(\text{EqClaOp} E)(W_1, W_2) = [x \cdot y]_E$.

Let us consider $X, E$. The functor $\text{zeroEqC} E$ yields an element of $\text{Classes} E$ and is defined as follows:

(Def. 18) $\text{zeroEqC} E = [0]_E$.

Let us consider $X, E$. The functor $\frac{X}{E}$ yielding a BCI structure with 0 is defined by:

(Def. 19) $\frac{X}{E} = \langle \text{Classes} E, \text{EqClaOp} E, \text{zeroEqC} E \rangle$.

Let us consider $X, E, W_1, W_2$. The functor $W_1 \setminus W_2$ yielding an element of $\text{Classes} E$ is defined by:

(Def. 20) $W_1 \setminus W_2 = (\text{EqClaOp} E)(W_1, W_2)$.

Next we state the proposition

(51) $\frac{X}{R_1}$ is a BCI-algebra.

Let us consider $X, I, R_1$. Note that $\frac{X}{R_1}$ is strict, B, C, I, and BCI-4.

Next we state the proposition

(52) For all $X, I$ such that $I$ is BCK-part of $X$ and for every $I$-congruence $R_1$ of $X$ by $I$ holds $\frac{X}{R_1}$ is a $p$-semisimple BCI-algebra.
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The papers [21], [25], [2], [20], [1], [22], [3], [24], [14], [17], [16], [23], [26], [7], [5], [27], [9], [18], [13], [19], [28], [8], [10], [4], [15], [11], [6], and [12] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

For simplicity, we adopt the following convention: \(a, b, m, x, y, i_1, i_2, i_3\), \(i\) denote integers, \(k, p, q, n\) denote natural numbers, \(c, c_1, c_2\) denote elements of \(\mathbb{N}\), and \(X\) denotes a set.

Next we state the proposition

(1) For every real-membered set \(X\) and for every real number \(a\) holds \(X \cong a \oplus X\).

Let \(X\) be a finite real-membered set and let \(a\) be a real number. One can verify that \(a \oplus X\) is finite.

One can prove the following propositions:
(2) For every finite real-membered set $X$ and for every real number $a$ holds $\text{card } X = \text{card}(a \oplus X)$.

(3) For every real-membered set $X$ and for every real number $a$ such that $a \neq 0$ holds $X \approx a \circ X$.

(4) Let $X$ be a real-membered set and $a$ be a real number. Then
   (i) if $a = 0$ and $X$ is non-empty, then $a \circ X = \{0\}$, and
   (ii) if $a \circ X = \{0\}$, then $a = 0$ or $X = \{0\}$.

Let $X$ be a finite real-membered set and let $a$ be a real number. One can verify that $a \circ X$ is finite.

   The following propositions are true:

(5) For every finite real-membered set $X$ and for every real number $a$ such that $a \neq 0$ holds $\text{card } X = \text{card}(a \circ X)$.

(6) If $i \mid i_1$ and $i_1 \neq 0$, then $|i| \leq |i_1|$.

(7) For every $i_3$ such that $i_3 \neq 0$ holds $i_1 \mid i_2$ iff $i_1 \cdot i_3 \mid i_2 \cdot i_3$.

(8) For all natural numbers $a$, $b$, $m$ and for every element $n$ of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $a \mod m = b \mod m$ holds $a^n \mod m = b^n \mod m$.

(9) If $i_1 \cdot i \equiv i_2 \cdot i \mod i_3$ and $i$ and $i_3$ are relative prime, then $i_1 \equiv i_2 \mod i_3$.

(10) If $i_1 \equiv i_2 \mod i_3$, then $i_1 \cdot k \equiv i_2 \cdot k \mod i_3 \cdot k$.

(11) If $i_1 \equiv i_2 \mod i$, then $i_1 \cdot i_3 \equiv i_2 \cdot i_3 \mod i$.

(12) For every integer $i$ holds $0 \equiv 0 \mod i$.

(13) For every $b$ such that $b > 0$ and for every $a$ there exist integers $q$, $r$ such that $a = b \cdot q + r$ and $r \geq 0$ and $r < b$.

(14) If $i_1 \equiv i_2 \mod i_3$, then $i_1 \gcd i_3 = i_2 \gcd i_3$.

(15) If $a$ and $m$ are relative prime, then there exists an integer $x$ such that $(a \cdot x - b) \mod m = 0$.

(16) If $m > 0$ and $a$ and $m$ are relative prime, then there exists a natural number $n$ such that $(a \cdot n - b) \mod m = 0$.

(17) If $m \neq 0$ and $a \gcd m \mid b$, then it is not true that there exists an integer $x$ such that $(a \cdot x - b) \mod m = 0$.

(18) If $m \neq 0$ and $a \gcd m \mid b$, then there exists an integer $x$ such that $(a \cdot x - b) \mod m = 0$.

Let $x$ be an integer. Observe that $x^2$ is natural.

We now state several propositions:

(19) If $n > 0$ and $p > 0$, then $p \cdot q \mod p^n = p \cdot (q \mod p^{n-1})$.

(20) $p \cdot q \mod p \cdot n = p \cdot (q \mod n)$.

(21) If $n > 0$ and $p$ is prime and $p$ and $q$ are relative prime, then $p \nmid q \mod p^n$.

(22) For all natural numbers $p$, $q$, $n$ such that $n > 0$ holds $(p - q) \mod n = 0$ if $p \mod n = q \mod n$. 

(23) For all natural numbers $a, b, m$ such that $m > 0$ holds $a \mod m = b \mod m$ iff $m \mid a - b$.

(24) If $n > 0$ and $p$ is prime and $p$ and $q$ are relative prime, then it is not true that there exists an integer $x$ such that $(p \cdot x^2 - q) \mod p^n = 0$.

(25) If $n > 0$ and $p$ is prime and $p$ and $q$ are relative prime, then it is not true that there exists an integer $x$ such that $(p \cdot x - q) \mod p^n = 0$.

Let $m$ be an integer. The functor $\text{Cong}_m$ yielding a binary relation on $\mathbb{Z}$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 1) $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{Cong}_m$ iff $x \equiv y(m)$.

Let $m$ be an integer. One can check that $\text{Cong}_m$ is total.

Let $m$ be an integer. One can check that $\text{Cong}_m$ is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

Next we state four propositions:

(26) Suppose $m \neq 0$ and $(a \cdot x - b) \mod m = 0$. Let $y$ be an integer. Then

(i) if $a$ and $m$ are relative prime and $(a \cdot y - b) \mod m = 0$, then $y \in [x]_{\text{Cong}_m}$, and

(ii) if $y \in [x]_{\text{Cong}_m}$, then $(a \cdot y - b) \mod m = 0$.

(27) Let $a, b, m, x$ be integers. Suppose $m \neq 0$ and $a$ and $m$ are relative prime and $(a \cdot x - b) \mod m = 0$. Then there exists an integer $s$ such that $\langle x, b \cdot s \rangle \in \text{Cong}_m$.

(28) Let $a, m$ be elements of $\mathbb{N}$. Suppose $a \neq 0$ and $m > 1$ and $a$ and $m$ are relative prime. Let $b, x$ be integers. If $(a \cdot x - b) \mod m = 0$, then $\langle x, b \cdot a^{\text{Euler}_m^{-1}} \rangle \in \text{Cong}_m$.

(29) Suppose $m \neq 0$ and $a \gcd m \mid b$. Then there exists a finite sequence $f_1$ of elements of $\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\text{len} f_1 = a \gcd m$ and for every $c$ such that $c \in \text{dom} f_1$ holds $(a \cdot f_1(c) - b) \mod m = 0$ and for all $c_1, c_2$ such that $c_1 \in \text{dom} f_1$ and $c_2 \in \text{dom} f_1$ and $c_1 \neq c_2$ holds $f_1(c_1) \neq f_1(c_2)(\mod m)$.

We use the following convention: $f_1, f_2$ denote finite sequences of elements of $\mathbb{N}$ and $a, b, c, d, n$ denote elements of $\mathbb{N}$.

Next we state a number of propositions:

(30) For all $b, n$ such that $b \in \text{dom} f_1$ and $\text{len} f_1 = n + 1$ holds $(f_1 \cap \langle d \rangle)_b = ((f_1)_b) \cap \langle d \rangle$.

(31) Suppose $\text{len} f_1 \geq 2$ and for all $b, c$ such that $b \in \text{dom} f_1$ and $c \in \text{dom} f_1$ and $b \neq c$ holds $\gcd(f_1(b), f_1(c)) = 1$. Let given $b$. If $b \in \text{dom} f_1$, then $\gcd(\prod ((f_1)_b), f_1(b)) = 1$.

(32) For every $a$ such that $a \in \text{dom} f_1$ holds $f_1(a) \mid \prod f_1$.

(33) If $a \in \text{dom} f_1$ and $p \mid f_1(a)$, then $p \mid \prod f_1$.

(34) If $\text{len} f_1 = n + 1$ and $a \geq 1$ and $a \leq n$, then $(f_1)_a(n) = f_1(\text{len} f_1)$.
(35) For all $a, b$ such that $a \in \text{dom } f_1$ and $b \in \text{dom } f_1$ and $a \neq b$ and $\text{len } f_1 \geq 1$ holds $f_1(b) \mid \prod((f_1)_i | a)$.

(36) If for every $b$ such that $b \in \text{dom } f_1$ holds $a \mid f_1(b)$, then $a \mid \sum f_1$.

(37) Suppose $\text{len } f_1 \geq 2$ and for all $b, c$ such that $b \in \text{dom } f_1$ and $c \in \text{dom } f_1$ and $b \neq c$ holds $\gcd(f_1(b), f_1(c)) = 1$ and for every $b$ such that $b \in \text{dom } f_1$ holds $f_1(b) \neq 0$. Let given $f_2$. Suppose $\text{len } f_2 = \text{len } f_1$. Then there exists an integer $x$ such that for every $b$ such that $b \in \text{dom } f_1$ holds $(x - f_2(b)) \mod f_1(b) = 0$.

(38) If for all $b, c$ such that $b \in \text{dom } f_1$ and $c \in \text{dom } f_1$ and $b \neq c$ holds $\gcd(f_1(b), f_1(c)) = 1$ and for every $b$ such that $b \in \text{dom } f_1$ holds $f_1(b) / a$, then $\prod f_1 / a$.

(39) Suppose $\text{len } f_1 \geq 2$ and for all $b, c$ such that $b \in \text{dom } f_1$ and $c \in \text{dom } f_1$ and $b \neq c$ holds $\gcd(f_1(b), f_1(c)) = 1$ and for every $b$ such that $b \in \text{dom } f_1$ holds $f_1(b) > 0$. Let given $f_2$. Suppose $\text{len } f_2 = \text{len } f_1$ and for every $b$ such that $b \in \text{dom } f_1$ holds $(x - f_2(b)) \mod f_1(b) = 0$ and $(y - f_2(b)) \mod f_1(b) = 0$. Then $x \equiv y (\mod \prod f_1)$.

We follow the rules: $m_1, m_2, m_3, r, s, a, b, c, c_1, c_2, x$ denote integers and $n_1, n_2, n_3$ denote natural numbers.

The following propositions are true:

(40) Suppose $m_1 \neq 0$ and $m_2 \neq 0$ and $m_1$ and $m_2$ are relative prime. Then there exists an integer $r$ such that for every $x$ such that $(x - c_1) \mod m_1 = 0$ and $(x - c_2) \mod m_2 = 0$ holds $x \equiv c_1 + m_1 \cdot r \mod m_1 \cdot m_2$ and $(m_1 \cdot r - (c_2 - c_1)) \mod m_2 = 0$.

(41) If $m_1 \neq 0$ and $m_2 \neq 0$ and $m_1 \gcd m_2 \mid c_1 - c_2$, then it is not true that there exists $x$ such that $(x - c_1) \mod m_1 = 0$ and $(x - c_2) \mod m_2 = 0$.

(42) Suppose $m_1 \neq 0$ and $m_2 \neq 0$ and $m_1 \gcd m_2 \mid c_2 - c_1$. Then there exists $r$ such that for every $x$ such that $(x - c_1) \mod m_1 = 0$ and $(x - c_2) \mod m_2 = 0$ holds $x \equiv c_1 + m_1 \cdot r \mod \text{lcm}(m_1, m_2)$ and $((m_1 \div (m_1 \gcd m_2)) \cdot r - ((c_2 - c_1) \mod (m_1 \gcd m_2))) \mod (m_2 \div (m_1 \gcd m_2)) = 0$.

(43) Suppose $m_1 \neq 0$ and $m_2 \neq 0$ and $a \gcd m_1 \mid c_1$ and $b \gcd m_2 \mid c_2$ and $m_1$ and $m_2$ are relative prime. Then there exist integers $w, r, s$ such that

(i) for every $x$ such that $(a \cdot x - c_1) \mod m_1 = 0$ and $(b \cdot x - c_2) \mod m_2 = 0$ holds $x \equiv r + (m_1 \div (a \gcd m_1)) \cdot w \mod (m_1 \div (a \gcd m_1)) \cdot (m_2 \div (b \gcd m_2))$,

(ii) $((a \div (a \gcd m_1)) \cdot r - (c_1 \mod (a \gcd m_1))) \mod (m_1 \div (a \gcd m_1)) = 0$,

(iii) $((b \div (b \gcd m_2)) \cdot s - (c_2 \mod (b \gcd m_2))) \mod (m_2 \div (b \gcd m_2)) = 0$, and

(iv) $((m_1 \div (a \gcd m_1)) \cdot w - (s - r)) \mod (m_2 \div (b \gcd m_2)) = 0$.

(44) Suppose that

(i) $m_1 \neq 0$,

(ii) $m_2 \neq 0$,

(iii) $m_3 \neq 0$. 
(iv) $m_1$ and $m_2$ are relative prime,
(v) $m_1$ and $m_3$ are relative prime, and
(vi) $m_2$ and $m_3$ are relative prime.

Then there exist $r, s$ such that for every $x$ if $(x - a) \mod m_1 = 0$ and $(x - b) \mod m_2 = 0$ and $(x - c) \mod m_3 = 0$, then $x \equiv a + m_1 \cdot r + m_1 \cdot m_2 \cdot s \mod (m_1 \cdot m_2 \cdot m_3)$ and $(m_1 \cdot r - (b - a)) \mod m_2 = 0$ and $(m_1 \cdot m_2 \cdot s - (c - a - m_1 \cdot r)) \mod m_3 = 0$.

(45) Suppose $m_1 \neq 0$ and $m_2 \neq 0$ and $m_3 \neq 0$ and $m_1 \gcd m_2 \nmid a - b$ or $m_1 \gcd m_3 \nmid a - c$ or $m_2 \gcd m_3 \nmid b - c$. Then it is not true that there exists $x$ such that $(x - a) \mod m_1 = 0$ and $(x - b) \mod m_2 = 0$ and $(x - c) \mod m_3 = 0$.

(46) For all non zero natural numbers $n_1$, $n_2$, $n_3$ holds
\[
\text{lcm}(\gcd(n_1, n_3), \gcd(n_2, n_3)) = \gcd(\text{lcm}(n_1, n_2), n_3).
\]

(47) Let $n_1$, $n_2$, $n_3$ be non zero natural numbers. Suppose $\gcd(n_1, n_2) \mid a - b$ and $\gcd(n_1, n_3) \mid a - c$ and $\gcd(n_2, n_3) \mid b - c$. Then there exist $r, s$ such that for every $x$ if $(x-a) \mod n_1 = 0$ and $(x-b) \mod n_2 = 0$ and $(x-c) \mod n_3 = 0$, then $x \equiv a + n_1 \cdot r + \text{lcm}(n_1, n_2) \cdot s \mod \text{lcm(\text{lcm}(n_1, n_2), n_3))}$ and $((n_1 \div \gcd(n_1, n_2)) \cdot r - ((b - a) \div \gcd(n_1, n_2))) \mod (n_2 \div \gcd(n_1, n_2)) = 0$ and $((\text{lcm}(n_1, n_2) \div \gcd(\text{lcm}(n_1, n_2), n_3)) \cdot s - ((c - (a + n_1 \cdot r)) \div \gcd(\text{lcm}(n_1, n_2), n_3))) \mod (n_3 \div \gcd(\text{lcm}(n_1, n_2), n_3)) = 0$.

In the sequel $f_1$ denotes a finite sequence of elements of $N$ and $a, b, m$ denote elements of $N$.

Let $m$ be an element of $N$ and let $X$ be a set. We say that $X$ is a complete residue system modulo $m$ if and only if:

(Def. 2) There exists a finite sequence $f_1$ of elements of $Z$ such that $X = \text{rng } f_1$ and $\text{len } f_1 = m$ and for every $b$ such that $b \in \text{dom } f_1$ holds $f_1(b) \in [b - \lceil 1 \rceil]_{\text{Cong } m}$.

One can prove the following propositions:

(48) $\{ a : a < m \}$ is a complete residue system modulo $m$.

(49) Let $X$ be a finite set. Suppose $X$ is a complete residue system modulo $m$. Then card $X = m$ and for all integers $x, y$ such that $x \in X$ and $y \in X$ and $x \neq y$ holds $(x, y) \notin \text{Cong } m$.

(50) $\emptyset$ is a complete residue system modulo $m$ iff $m = 0$.

(51) Let $X$ be a finite set. Suppose card $X = m$. Then there exists a finite sequence $f_1$ such that len $f_1 = m$ and for every $a$ such that $a \in \text{dom } f_1$ holds $f_1(a) \in X$ and $f_1$ is one-to-one.

(52) Let $X$ be a finite subset of $Z$. Suppose card $X = m$ and for all integers $x, y$ such that $x \in X$ and $y \in X$ and $x \neq y$ holds $(x, y) \notin \text{Cong } m$. Then $X$ is a complete residue system modulo $m$.

In the sequel $a$ is an integer.
The following two propositions are true:

(53) Let $X$ be a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $X$ is a complete residue system modulo $m$. Then $a \oplus X$ is a complete residue system modulo $m$.

(54) Let $X$ be a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $a$ and $m$ are relative prime and $X$ is a complete residue system modulo $m$. Then $a \cdot X$ is a complete residue system modulo $m$.
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The notation and terminology used here are introduced in the following papers: [21], [20], [7], [12], [6], [25], [3], [8], [26], [24], [5], [22], [18], [19], [17], [9], [16], [11], [14], [1], [15], [23], [13], [10], [2], and [4].

1. Preliminaries

For simplicity, we adopt the following convention: $f, f_1, f_2, g$ denote partial functions from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$, $A$ denotes a closed-interval subset of $\mathbb{R}$, $r, x, x_0$ denote real numbers, $n$ denotes an element of $\mathbb{N}$, and $Z$ denotes an open subset of $\mathbb{R}$.

The following propositions are true:

1. $\sin(x + 2 \cdot n \cdot \pi) = \sin x$.
2. $\sin(x + (2 \cdot n + 1) \cdot \pi) = -\sin x$.
3. $\cos(x + 2 \cdot n \cdot \pi) = \cos x$. 
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(4) \( \cos(x + (2 \cdot n + 1) \cdot \pi) = -\cos x \).

(5) If \( \sin(\frac{x}{2}) \geq 0 \), then \( \sin(\frac{x}{2}) = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \cos x}{2}} \).

(6) If \( \sin(\frac{x}{2}) < 0 \), then \( \sin(\frac{x}{2}) = -\sqrt{\frac{1 - \cos x}{2}} \).

(7) \( \sin(\frac{x}{2}) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \).

(8) \( \sin(-\frac{x}{2}) = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \).

(9) \( [-\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}] \subseteq [-1, 1] \).

(10) \( \arcsin(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}) = \frac{\pi}{4} \).

(11) \( \arcsin(-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}) = -\frac{\pi}{4} \).

(12) If \( \cos(x/2) \geq 0 \), then \( \cos(x/2) = \sqrt{\frac{1 + \cos x}{2}} \).

(13) \( \cos(\frac{\pi}{4}) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \).

(14) \( \cos(\frac{3\pi}{4}) = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \).

(15) \( \arccos(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}) = \frac{\pi}{4} \).

(16) \( \arccos(-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}) = \frac{3\pi}{4} \).

(17) (The function \( \text{sinh} \))(1) = \( \frac{e^{2} - 1}{2e} \).

(18) (The function \( \text{cosh} \))(0) = 1.

(19) (The function \( \text{cosh} \))(1) = \( \frac{e^{2} + 1}{2e} \).

(20) For every linear function \( L_1 \) holds \(-L_1 \) is a linear function.

(21) For every rest \( R_1 \) holds \(-R_1 \) is a rest.

(22) For all \( f_1, x_0 \) such that \( f_1 \) is differentiable in \( x_0 \) holds \(-f_1 \) is differentiable in \( x_0 \) and \((\text{\(-f_1\))}')(x_0) = f_1'(x_0) \).

(23) Let given \( f_1, Z \). Suppose \( Z \subseteq \text{dom}(\text{-}f_1) \) and \( f_1 \) is differentiable on \( Z \). Then \( \text{-}f_1 \) is differentiable on \( Z \) and for every \( x \) such that \( x \in Z \) holds \((\text{-}f_1)'(x) = f_1'(x) \).

(24) \( \text{the function \( \text{sin} \)} \) is differentiable on \( \mathbb{R} \).

(25) \( \text{the function \( \text{cos} \)} \) is differentiable in \( x \) and \((\text{\(-the function cos\})}'(x) = \text{(the function sin})(x) \).

(26)(i) \( \text{the function \( \text{cos} \)} \) is differentiable on \( \mathbb{R} \), and

(ii) for every \( x \) such that \( x \in \mathbb{R} \) holds \((\text{\(-the function cos\})}'(x) = \text{(the function sin})(x) \).

(27) (The function \( \text{sin} \))'\( _{\mathbb{R}} \) = the function \( \text{cos} \).

(28) (The function \( \text{cos} \))'\( _{\mathbb{R}} \) = \(-\)the function \( \text{sin} \).

(29) \((\text{-the function cos})'_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{the function sin} \).

(30) (The function \( \text{sin} \))'\( _{\mathbb{R}} \) = the function \( \text{cosh} \).

(31) (The function \( \text{cosh} \))'\( _{\mathbb{R}} \) = the function \( \text{sinh} \).

(32) (The function \( \text{exp} \))'\( _{\mathbb{R}} \) = the function \( \text{exp} \).
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(33) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom (the function tan)}$ and for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds $f(x) = \frac{1}{(\text{the function cos})(x)^2}$ and $(\text{the function cos})(x) \neq 0$. Then
(i) the function tan is differentiable on $Z$, and
(ii) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds $(\text{the function tan})'_{|Z}(x) = \frac{1}{(\text{the function cos})(x)^2}$.

(34) Suppose that
(i) $Z \subseteq \text{dom (the function cot)}$, and
(ii) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds $f(x) = -\frac{1}{(\text{the function sin})(x)^2}$ and $(\text{the function sin})(x) \neq 0$.
Then
(iii) the function cot is differentiable on $Z$, and
(iv) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds $(\text{the function cot})'_{|Z}(x) = \frac{1}{(\text{the function sin})(x)^2}$.

(35) For every real number $r$ holds $\text{dom}(\mathbb{R} \rightarrow r) = \mathbb{R}$ and $\text{rng}(\mathbb{R} \rightarrow r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.
Let $r$ be a real number. The functor $\text{Cst } r$ yielding a function from $\mathbb{R}$ into $\mathbb{R}$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 1) $\text{Cst } r = \mathbb{R} \rightarrow r$.

We now state two propositions:

(36) For all real numbers $a, b$ and for every closed-interval subset $A$ of $\mathbb{R}$ holds $\chi_{A, A} = \text{Cst } 1|A$.

(37) For all real numbers $a, b$ and for every closed-interval subset $A$ of $\mathbb{R}$ such that $A = [a, b]$ holds $\sup A = b$ and $\inf A = a$.

2. Several Integrability Formulas of Special Functions

The following propositions are true:

(38) For all real numbers $a, b$ such that $a \leq b$ holds $\int_a^b \text{Cst } 1(x) dx = b - a$.

(39) $\int_A (\text{the function cos})(x) dx = (\text{the function sin})(\sup A) - (\text{the function sin})(\inf A)$.

(40) If $A = [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, then $\int_A (\text{the function cos})(x) dx = 1$.

(41) If $A = [0, \pi]$, then $\int_A (\text{the function cos})(x) dx = 0$.

(42) If $A = [0, \frac{3\pi}{2}]$, then $\int_A (\text{the function cos})(x) dx = -1$. 
(43) If $A = [0, \pi \cdot 2]$, then $\int_A (\text{the function cos})(x)dx = 0$.

(44) If $A = [2 \cdot n \cdot \pi, (2 \cdot n + 1) \cdot \pi]$, then $\int_A (\text{the function cos})(x)dx = 0$.

(45) If $A = [x + 2 \cdot n \cdot \pi, x + (2 \cdot n + 1) \cdot \pi]$, then $\int_A (\text{the function cos})(x)dx = -2 \cdot \sin x$.

(46) $\int_A (-\text{the function sin})(x)dx = (\text{the function cos})(\sup A) - (\text{the function cos})(\inf A)$.

(47) If $A = [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, then $\int_A (-\text{the function sin})(x)dx = -1$.

(48) If $A = [0, \pi]$, then $\int_A (-\text{the function sin})(x)dx = -2$.

(49) If $A = [0, \frac{\pi - 3}{2}]$, then $\int_A (-\text{the function sin})(x)dx = -1$.

(50) If $A = [0, \pi \cdot 2]$, then $\int_A (-\text{the function sin})(x)dx = 0$.

(51) If $A = [2 \cdot n \cdot \pi, (2 \cdot n + 1) \cdot \pi]$, then $\int_A (-\text{the function sin})(x)dx = -2$.

(52) If $A = [x + 2 \cdot n \cdot \pi, x + (2 \cdot n + 1) \cdot \pi]$, then $\int_A (-\text{the function sin})(x)dx = -2 \cdot \cos x$.

(53) $\int_A (\text{the function exp})(x)dx = (\text{the function exp})(\sup A) - (\text{the function exp})(\inf A)$.

(54) If $A = [0, 1]$, then $\int_A (\text{the function exp})(x)dx = e - 1$.

(55) $\int_A (\text{the function sinh})(x)dx = (\text{the function cosh})(\sup A) - (\text{the function cosh})(\inf A)$.

(56) If $A = [0, 1]$, then $\int_A (\text{the function sinh})(x)dx = \frac{(e - 1)^2}{2 \cdot e}$.

(57) $\int_A (\text{the function cosh})(x)dx = (\text{the function sinh})(\sup A) - (\text{the function sinh})(\inf A)$.
several integrability formulas . . .

(58) If $A = [0, 1]$, then \( \int_{A} \frac{e^x - 1}{2 \cdot e} \) dx.

(59) Suppose that

(i) $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$,
(ii) $\text{dom (the function tan)} = \mathbb{Z}$,
(iii) $\text{dom (the function tan)} = \text{dom } f_2$,
(iv) for every $x$ such that $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ holds $f_2(x) = \frac{1}{(\text{the function cos})(x)^2}$ and $f_2(x) \neq 0$, and
(v) $f_2$ is continuous on $A$.

Then \( \int_{A} f_2(x) dx = (\text{the function tan})(\sup A) - (\text{the function tan})(\inf A) \).

(60) Suppose that

(i) $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$,
(ii) $\text{dom (the function cot)} = \mathbb{Z}$,
(iii) $\text{dom (the function cot)} = \text{dom } f_2$,
(iv) for every $x$ such that $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ holds $f_2(x) = -\frac{1}{(\text{the function sin})(x)^2}$ and $f_2(x) \neq 0$, and
(v) $f_2$ is continuous on $A$.

Then \( \int_{A} f_2(x) dx = (\text{the function cot})(\sup A) - (\text{the function cot})(\inf A) \).

(61) Suppose $\text{dom (the function tanh)} = \text{dom } f_2$ and for every $x$ such that $x \in \mathbb{R}$ holds $f_2(x) = \frac{1}{(\text{the function cosh})(x)^2}$ and $f_2$ is continuous on $A$. Then \( \int_{A} f_2(x) dx = (\text{the function tanh})(\sup A) - (\text{the function tanh})(\inf A) \).

(62) Suppose $A \subseteq ]-1, 1[$ and $\text{dom ((the function arcsin)$' _{[-1, 1]}$)} = \text{dom } f_2$ and for every $x$ holds $x \in ]-1, 1[$ and $f_2(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$ and $f_2$ is continuous on $A$. Then \( \int_{A} f_2(x) dx = (\text{the function arcsin})(\sup A) - (\text{the function arcsin})(\inf A) \).

(63) Suppose $A \subseteq ]-1, 1[$ and $\text{dom ((the function arccos)$' _{[-1, 1]}$)} = \text{dom } f_2$ and for every $x$ holds $x \in ]-1, 1[$ and $f_2(x) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$ and $f_2$ is continuous on $A$. Then \( \int_{A} f_2(x) dx = (\text{the function arccos})(\sup A) - (\text{the function arccos})(\inf A) \).

(64) Suppose that

(i) $A = [-\sqrt{\frac{2}{2}}, \sqrt{\frac{2}{2}}]$,
(ii) $\text{dom ((the function arcsin)$' _{[-1, 1]}$)} = \text{dom } f_2$,.
(iii) for every $x$ holds $x \in [-1, 1]$ and $f_2(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$, and
(iv) $f_2$ is continuous on $A$.

Then $\int_A f_2(x) \, dx = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

(65) Suppose that
(i) $A = [-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}]$,
(ii) dom($\text{(the function arccos)}_{[-1,1]}$) = dom $f_2$,
(iii) for every $x$ holds $x \in [-1, 1]$ and $f_2(x) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$, and
(iv) $f_2$ is continuous on $A$.

Then $\int_A f_2(x) \, dx = -\frac{\pi}{2}$.

(66) Suppose that $f$ is differentiable on $Z$ and $g$ is differentiable on $Z$ and $A \subseteq Z$ and $f_1|Z$ is integrable on $A$ and $f_1'|Z$ is bounded on $A$ and $g_1|Z$ is integrable on $A$ and $g_1'|Z$ is bounded on $A$. Then $\int_A (f_1|Z + g_1|Z)(x) \, dx = (f(\text{sup } A) - f(\text{inf } A)) + \int_A g_1|Z)(x) \, dx = g(\text{sup } A) - g(\text{inf } A)$.

(67) Suppose that $f$ is differentiable on $Z$ and $g$ is differentiable on $Z$ and $A \subseteq Z$ and $f_1|Z$ is integrable on $A$ and $f_1'|Z$ is bounded on $A$ and $g_1|Z$ is integrable on $A$ and $g_1'|Z$ is bounded on $A$. Then $\int_A (f_1|Z - g_1|Z)(x) \, dx = f(\text{sup } A) - f(\text{inf } A) - (g(\text{sup } A) - g(\text{inf } A))$.

(68) Suppose $f$ is differentiable on $Z$ and $A \subseteq Z$ and $f_1|Z$ is integrable on $A$ and $f_1'|Z$ is bounded on $A$. Then $\int_A (r f_1|Z)(x) \, dx = r \cdot f(\text{sup } A) - r \cdot f(\text{inf } A)$.

(69) $\int_A ((\text{the function sin}) + (\text{the function cos}))(x) \, dx = ((-\text{the function cos})\text{(sup } A)) - (\text{the function cos})(\text{inf } A)) + (\text{the function sin})(\text{sup } A)) - (\text{the function sin})(\text{inf } A))$.

(70) If $A = [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, then $\int_A ((\text{the function sin}) + (\text{the function cos}))(x) \, dx = 2$.

(71) If $A = [0, \pi]$, then $\int_A ((\text{the function sin}) + (\text{the function cos}))(x) \, dx = 2$.

(72) If $A = [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, then $\int_A ((\text{the function sin}) + (\text{the function cos}))(x) \, dx = 0$.

(73) If $A = [0, \pi \cdot 2]$, then $\int_A ((\text{the function sin}) + (\text{the function cos}))(x) \, dx =$
0.
(74) If $A = [2 \cdot n \cdot \pi, (2 \cdot n + 1) \cdot \pi]$, then
$$\int_{A} \left(\text{(the function sin)} + \text{(the function cos)}\right)(x) \, dx = 2.$$

(75) If $A = [x + 2 \cdot n \cdot \pi, x + (2 \cdot n + 1) \cdot \pi]$, then
$$\int_{A} \left(\text{(the function sin)} + \text{(the function cos)}\right)(x) \, dx = 2 \cdot \cos x - 2 \cdot \sin x.$$

(76) $\int_{A} \left(\text{(the function sinh)} + \text{(the function cosh)}\right)(x) \, dx = ((\text{(the function cosh)}(\text{sup } A) - \text{(the function cosh)}(\text{inf } A)) + \text{(the function sinh)}(\text{sup } A)) - \text{(the function sinh)}(\text{inf } A)$.

(77) If $A = [0, 1]$, then
$$\int_{A} \left(\text{(the function sinh)} + \text{(the function cosh)}\right)(x) \, dx = e - 1.$$

(78) $\int_{A} \left(\text{(the function sin)} - \text{(the function cos)}\right)(x) \, dx = (-\text{the function cos})\left((\text{sup } A) - (-\text{the function cos})(\text{inf } A) - ((\text{the function sin})(\text{sup } A) - \text{(the function sin)}(\text{inf } A)).

(79) If $A = [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, then
$$\int_{A} \left(\text{(the function sin)} - \text{(the function cos)}\right)(x) \, dx = 0.$$

(80) If $A = [0, \pi]$, then
$$\int_{A} \left(\text{(the function sin)} - \text{(the function cos)}\right)(x) \, dx = 2.$$

(81) If $A = [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, then
$$\int_{A} \left(\text{(the function sin)} - \text{(the function cos)}\right)(x) \, dx = 2.$$

(82) If $A = [0, \pi \cdot 2]$, then
$$\int_{A} \left(\text{(the function sin)} - \text{(the function cos)}\right)(x) \, dx = 0.$$

(83) If $A = [2 \cdot n \cdot \pi, (2 \cdot n + 1) \cdot \pi]$, then
$$\int_{A} \left(\text{(the function sin)} - \text{(the function cos)}\right)(x) \, dx = 2.$$

(84) If $A = [x + 2 \cdot n \cdot \pi, x + (2 \cdot n + 1) \cdot \pi]$, then
$$\int_{A} \left(\text{(the function sin)} - \text{(the function cos)}\right)(x) \, dx = 2 \cdot \cos x + 2 \cdot \sin x.$$

(85) $\int_{A} \left(r \cdot \text{(the function sin)}\right)(x) \, dx = r \cdot (-\text{the function cos})(\text{sup } A) - r \cdot (-\text{the function cos})(\text{inf } A).$
\[
\int_{A} (r \cdot \text{cos}(x)) \, dx = r \cdot (\text{sin}(\text{sup } A) - \text{sin}(\text{inf } A)).
\]

\[
\int_{A} (r \cdot \text{sinh}(x)) \, dx = r \cdot (\text{cosh}(\text{sup } A) - \text{cosh}(\text{inf } A)).
\]

\[
\int_{A} (r \cdot \text{cosh}(x)) \, dx = r \cdot (\text{sinh}(\text{sup } A) - \text{sinh}(\text{inf } A)).
\]

\[
\int_{A} (r \cdot \text{exp}(x)) \, dx = r \cdot (\text{exp}(\text{sup } A) - \text{exp}(\text{inf } A)).
\]

\[
\int_{A} ((\text{sin}(x)) \cdot (\text{cos}(x))) \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \cdot ((\text{cos}(\text{inf } A)) \cdot (\text{sin}(\text{inf } A)) \cdot (\text{cos}(\text{sup } A)) \cdot (\text{sin}(\text{sup } A))).
\]

If \(A = [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]\), then
\[
\int_{A} ((\text{sin}(x)) \cdot (\text{cos}(x))) \, dx = \frac{1}{2}.
\]

If \(A = [0, \pi]\), then
\[
\int_{A} ((\text{sin}(x)) \cdot (\text{cos}(x))) \, dx = 0.
\]

If \(A = [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]\), then
\[
\int_{A} ((\text{sin}(x)) \cdot (\text{cos}(x))) \, dx = \frac{1}{2}.
\]

If \(A = [0, \pi \cdot 2]\), then
\[
\int_{A} ((\text{sin}(x)) \cdot (\text{cos}(x))) \, dx = 0.
\]

If \(A = [2 \cdot n \cdot \pi, (2 \cdot n + 1) \cdot \pi]\), then
\[
\int_{A} ((\text{sin}(x)) \cdot (\text{cos}(x))) \, dx = 0.
\]

If \(A = [x + 2 \cdot n \cdot \pi, x + (2 \cdot n + 1) \cdot \pi]\), then
\[
\int_{A} ((\text{sin}(x)) \cdot (\text{cos}(x))) \, dx = 0.
\]

If \(A = [2 \cdot n \cdot \pi, \text{cos}(A) \cdot \text{sin}(A) \cdot \text{sin}(\text{sup } A) \cdot \text{cos}(\text{sup } A) \cdot (\text{sin}(\text{inf } A)) + \int_{A} ((\text{sin}(x)) \cdot (\text{cos}(x))) \, dx.
\]
SEVERAL INTEGRABILITY FORMULAS . . .

(98) \[ \int_{A} ((\text{the function sinh})(\text{the function sinh}))(x)dx = \text{(the function cosh)} \]
\[ (\sup A) \cdot ((\text{the function sinh})(\sup A) - (\text{the function cosh})(\inf A)) \cdot ((\text{the function sinh})(\inf A) - \int_{A} ((\text{the function cosh})(\text{the function cosh}))(x)dx. \]

(99) \[ \int_{A} ((\text{the function sinh})(\text{the function cosh}))(x)dx = \frac{1}{2} \cdot ((\text{the function cosh})(\sup A) \cdot ((\text{the function sinh})(\sup A) - (\text{the function cosh})(\inf A)) \cdot ((\text{the function cosh})(\inf A)). \]

(100) \[ \int_{A} ((\text{the function exp})(\text{the function exp}))(x)dx = \frac{1}{2} \cdot ((\text{the function exp})(\sup A)^2 - (\text{the function exp})(\inf A)^2). \]

(101) \[ \int_{A} ((\text{the function exp})((\text{the function sin}) + (\text{the function cos}))(x)dx = ((\text{the function exp})(\text{the function sin}))(\sup A) - ((\text{the function exp})(\text{the function sin}))(\inf A). \]

(102) \[ \int_{A} ((\text{the function exp})((\text{the function cos} - (\text{the function sin}))(x)dx = ((\text{the function exp})(\text{the function cos}))(\sup A) - ((\text{the function exp})(\text{the function cos}))(\inf A). \]
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Summary. In this paper I present selected properties of triangular matrices and basic properties of the rank of matrices over a field.

I define a submatrix as a matrix formed by selecting certain rows and columns from a bigger matrix. That is in my considerations, as an array, it is cut down to those entries constrained by row and column. Then I introduce the concept of the rank of a matrix $A$ by the condition: $A$ has the rank $r$ if and only if, there is a $r \times r$ submatrix of $A$ with a non-zero determinant, and for every $k \times k$ submatrix of $A$ with a non-zero determinant we have $k \leq r$.

At the end, I prove that the rank defined by the size of the biggest submatrix with a non-zero determinant of a matrix $A$, is the same as the maximal number of linearly independent rows of $A$.
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The articles [27], [10], [37], [23], [1], [2], [12], [38], [39], [7], [8], [3], [4], [24], [36], [31], [15], [6], [13], [28], [14], [41], [30], [19], [34], [42], [9], [22], [16], [11], [25], [40], [18], [20], [26], [33], [21], [17], [35], [32], [29], [43], and [5] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

1. Triangular Matrices

For simplicity, we use the following convention: $x$, $X$, $Y$ are sets, $D$ is a non empty set, $i$, $j$, $k$, $m$, $n$, $m'$, $n'$ are elements of $\mathbb{N}$, $i_0$, $j_0$, $n_0$, $m_0$ are non zero elements of $\mathbb{N}$, $K$ is a field, $a$, $b$ are elements of $K$, $p$ is a finite sequence of elements of $K$, and $M$ is a matrix over $K$ of dimension $n$.

Next we state a number of propositions:
(1) For every matrix $A$ over $D$ of dimension $n \times m$ holds if $n = 0$, then $m = 0$ iff $\text{len} A = n$ and width $A = m$.

(2) The following statements are equivalent
   (i) $M$ is a lower triangular matrix over $K$ of dimension $n$,
   (ii) $M^T$ is an upper triangular matrix over $K$ of dimension $n$.

(3) The diagonal of $M$ = the diagonal of $M^T$.

(4) Let $p_1$ be an element of the permutations of $n$-element set. Suppose $p_1 \neq \text{idseq}(n)$. Then there exists $i$ such that $i \in \text{Seg} n$ and $p_1(i) > i$ and there exists $j$ such that $j \in \text{Seg} n$ and $p_1(j) < j$.

(5) Let $M$ be a matrix over $K$ of dimension $n$ and $p_1$ be an element of the permutations of $n$-element set. Suppose that
   (i) $p_1 \neq \text{idseq}(n)$, and
   (ii) $M$ is a lower triangular matrix over $K$ of dimension $n$ or an upper triangular matrix over $K$ of dimension $n$.

   Then $(\text{the product on paths of } M)(p_1) = 0_K$.

(6) Let $M$ be a matrix over $K$ of dimension $n$ and $R$ be an element of the permutations of $n$-element set. If $R = \text{idseq}(n)$, then the diagonal of $M = I_{\text{Path}} M$.

(7) Let $M$ be an upper triangular matrix over $K$ of dimension $n$. Then $\text{Det} M = (\text{the multiplication of } K) \circ (\text{the diagonal of } M)$.

(8) Let $M$ be a lower triangular matrix over $K$ of dimension $n$. Then $\text{Det} M = (\text{the multiplication of } K) \circ (\text{the diagonal of } M)$.

(9) For every finite set $X$ and for every $n$ holds

\[ \{Y; Y \text{ ranges over subsets of } X; \text{ card } Y = n\} = \binom{\text{card } X}{n}. \]

(10) $2\text{Set Seg } n = \binom{n}{2}$.

(11) Let $R$ be an element of the permutations of $n$-element set. If $R = \text{Rev}(\text{idseq}(n))$, then $R$ is even iff $\binom{n}{2} \mod 2 = 0$.

(12) Let $M$ be a matrix over $K$ of dimension $n$ and $R$ be a permutation of $\text{Seg } n$. Suppose $R = \text{Rev}(\text{idseq}(n))$ and for all $i, j$ such that $i \in \text{Seg } n$ and $j \in \text{Seg } n$ and $i + j \leq n$ holds $M_{i,j} = 0_K$. Then $M \cdot R$ is an upper triangular matrix over $K$ of dimension $n$.

(13) Let $M$ be a matrix over $K$ of dimension $n$ and $R$ be a permutation of $\text{Seg } n$. Suppose $R = \text{Rev}(\text{idseq}(n))$ and for all $i, j$ such that $i \in \text{Seg } n$ and $j \in \text{Seg } n$ and $i + j > n + 1$ holds $M_{i,j} = 0_K$. Then $M \cdot R$ is a lower triangular matrix over $K$ of dimension $n$.

(14) Let $M$ be a matrix over $K$ of dimension $n$ and $R$ be an element of the permutations of $n$-element set. Suppose that
   (i) $R = \text{Rev}(\text{idseq}(n))$, and
   (ii) for all $i, j$ such that $i \in \text{Seg } n$ and $j \in \text{Seg } n$ and $i + j \leq n$ holds $M_{i,j} = 0_K$ or for all $i, j$ such that $i \in \text{Seg } n$ and $j \in \text{Seg } n$ and $i + j > n + 1$ holds $M_{i,j} = 0_K$. Then $M \cdot R$ is an upper triangular matrix over $K$ of dimension $n$. 


holds $M_{i,j} = 0_K$.

Then $\text{Det} M = (-1)^{\text{sgn}(R)}(\text{the multiplication of } K \odot (R\text{-Path } M))$.

(15) Let $M$ be a matrix over $K$ of dimension $n$ and $M_1$, $M_2$ be upper triangular matrices over $K$ of dimension $n$. Suppose $M = M_1 \cdot M_2$. Then

(i) $M$ is an upper triangular matrix over $K$ of dimension $n$, and

(ii) the diagonal of $M$ = (the diagonal of $M_1$) $\cdot$ (the diagonal of $M_2$).

(16) Let $M$ be a matrix over $K$ of dimension $n$ and $M_1$, $M_2$ be lower triangular matrices over $K$ of dimension $n$. Suppose $M = M_1 \cdot M_2$. Then

(i) $M$ is a lower triangular matrix over $K$ of dimension $n$, and

(ii) the diagonal of $M$ = (the diagonal of $M_1$) $\cdot$ (the diagonal of $M_2$).

2. THE RANK OF MATRICES

Let $D$ be a non empty set, let $M$ be a matrix over $D$, let $n$, $m$ be natural numbers, let $n_1$ be an element of $\mathbb{N}^n$, and let $m_1$ be an element of $\mathbb{N}^m$. The functor $\text{Segm}(M, n_1, m_1)$ yielding a matrix over $D$ of dimension $n \times m$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 1) For all natural numbers $i$, $j$ such that $\langle i, j \rangle \in$ the indices of

$\text{Segm}(M, n_1, m_1)$ holds ($\text{Segm}(M, n_1, m_1))_{ij} = M_{n_1(i), m_1(j)}$.

For simplicity, we follow the rules: $A$ denotes a matrix over $D$, $A'$ denotes a matrix over $D$ of dimension $n' \times m'$, $M'$ denotes a matrix over $K$ of dimension $n' \times m'$, $n_1$, $n_2$, $n_3$ denote elements of $\mathbb{N}^n$, $m_1$, $m_2$ denote elements of $\mathbb{N}^m$, and $M$ denotes a matrix over $K$.

Next we state a number of propositions:

(17) If $\{\text{rng } n_1, \text{rng } m_1 \} \subseteq$ the indices of $A$, then $\langle i, j \rangle \in$ the indices of

$\text{Segm}(A, n_1, m_1)$ iff $\langle n_1(i), m_1(j) \rangle \in$ the indices of $A$.

(18) If $\{\text{rng } n_1, \text{rng } m_1 \} \subseteq$ the indices of $A$ and $n = 0$ iff $m = 0$, then

$(\text{Segm}(A, n_1, m_1))^T = \text{Segm}(A^T, m_1, n_1)$.

(19) If $\{\text{rng } n_1, \text{rng } m_1 \} \subseteq$ the indices of $A$ and if $m = 0$, then $n = 0$, then

$\text{Segm}(A, n_1, m_1) = (\text{Segm}(A^T, m_1, n_1))^T$.

(20) For every matrix $A$ over $D$ of dimension 1 holds $A = \langle \langle A_{1,1} \rangle \rangle$.

(21) If $n = 1$ and $m = 1$, then $\text{Segm}(A, n_1, m_1) = \langle \langle A_{n_1(1), m_1(1)} \rangle \rangle$.

(22) For every matrix $A$ over $D$ of dimension 2 holds $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} & A_{1,2} \\ A_{2,1} & A_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}$.

(23) If $n = 2$ and $m = 2$, then $\text{Segm}(A, n_1, m_1) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{n_1(1), m_1(1)} & A_{n_1(1), m_1(2)} \\ A_{n_1(2), m_1(1)} & A_{n_1(2), m_1(2)} \end{pmatrix}$.

(24) If $i \in \text{Seg } n$ and $\text{rng } m_1 \subseteq \text{Seg width } A$, then $\text{Line}(\text{Segm}(A, n_1, m_1), i) = \text{Line}(A, n_1(i)) \cdot m_1$. 


(25) If \( i \in \text{Seg} n \) and \( j \in \text{Seg} n \) and \( n_1(i) = n_1(j) \), then 
\[ \text{Line}(\text{Segm}(A, n_1, m_1), i) = \text{Line}(\text{Segm}(A, n_1, m_1), j) \].

(26) If \( i \in \text{Seg} n \) and \( j \in \text{Seg} n \) and \( n_1(i) = n_1(j) \) and \( i \neq j \), then 
\[ \det \text{Segm}(M, n_1, n_2) = 0_K. \]

(27) If \( n_1 \) is not one-to-one, then \( \det \text{Segm}(M, n_1, n_2) = 0_K. \)

(28) If \( j \in \text{Seg} m \) and \( \text{rng} n_1 \subseteq \text{Seg} \text{len} A \), then 
\[ (\text{Segm}(A, n_1, m_1))_{\square,j} = A_{\square,m_1(j)} \cdot n_1. \]

(29) If \( i \in \text{Seg} m \) and \( j \in \text{Seg} m \) and \( m_1(i) = m_1(j) \), then 
\[ (\text{Segm}(A, n_1, m_1))_{\square,i} = (\text{Segm}(A, n_1, m_1))_{\square,j}. \]

(30) If \( i \in \text{Seg} m \) and \( j \in \text{Seg} m \) and \( m_1(i) = m_1(j) \) and \( i \neq j \), then 
\[ \det \text{Segm}(M, m_2, m_1) = 0_K. \]

(31) If \( m_1 \) is not one-to-one, then \( \det \text{Segm}(M, m_2, m_1) = 0_K. \)

(32) Let \( n_1, n_2 \) be elements of \( \mathbb{N}^n \). Suppose \( n_1 \) is one-to-one and \( n_2 \) is one-to-one and \( \text{rng} n_1 = \text{rng} n_2 \). Then there exists a permutation \( p_1 \) of \( \text{Seg} n \) such that \( n_2 = n_1 \cdot p_1. \)

(33) For every function \( f \) from \( \text{Seg} n \) into \( \text{Seg} n \), such that \( n_2 = n_1 \cdot f \) holds 
\[ \text{Segm}(A, n_2, m_1) = \text{Segm}(A, n_1, m_1) \cdot f. \]

(34) For every function \( f \) from \( \text{Seg} m \) into \( \text{Seg} m \) such that \( m_2 = m_1 \cdot f \) holds 
\[ (\text{Segm}(A, n_1, m_2))^T = (\text{Segm}(A, n_1, m_1))^T \cdot f. \]

(35) Let \( p_1 \) be an element of the permutations of \( n \)-element set. If \( n_2 = n_3 \cdot p_1 \), then 
\[ \det \text{Segm}(M, n_2, n_1) = (-1)^{\text{sgn}(p_1)} \det \text{Segm}(M, n_3, n_1) \]
and 
\[ \det \text{Segm}(M, n_1, n_2) = (-1)^{\text{sgn}(p_1)} \det \text{Segm}(M, n_1, n_3). \]

(36) For all elements \( n_1, n_2, n'_1, n'_2 \) of \( \mathbb{N}^n \) such that \( \text{rng} n_1 = \text{rng} n'_1 \) and 
\( \text{rng} n_2 = \text{rng} n'_2 \) holds \( \det \text{Segm}(M, n_1, n_2) = \det \text{Segm}(M, n'_1, n'_2) \) or 
\( \det \text{Segm}(M, n_1, n_2) = -\det \text{Segm}(M, n'_1, n'_2) \).

(37) Let \( F, F_1 \) be finite sequences of elements of \( D \) and given \( n_1, m_1 \). Suppose 
\( \text{len} F = \text{width} A' \) and \( F_1 = F \cdot m_1 \) and 
\( \{ \text{rng} n_1, \text{rng} m_1 \} \subseteq \text{the indices of} \ A' \). 
Let given \( i, j \). If \( n_1^{-1}(\{ j \}) = \{ i \} \), then 
\( \text{RLine}(\text{Segm}(A', n_1, m_1), i, F_1) = \text{Segm}(\text{RLine}(A', j, F), n_1, m_1) \).

(38) Let \( F \) be a finite sequence of elements of \( D \) and given \( i, n_1 \). If \( i \notin \text{rng} n_1 \) and 
\( \{ \text{rng} n_1, \text{rng} m_1 \} \subseteq \text{the indices of} \ A' \), then 
\( \text{Segm}(A', n_1, m_1) = \text{Segm}(\text{RLine}(A', i, F), n_1, m_1) \).

(39) If \( i \in \text{Seg} n' \) and \( i \in \text{rng} n_1 \) and 
\( \{ \text{rng} n_1, \text{rng} m_1 \} \subseteq \text{the indices of} \ A' \), then there exists \( n_2 \) such that 
\( \text{rng} n_2 = (\text{rng} n_1 \setminus \{ i \}) \cup \{ j \} \) and 
\( \text{Segm}(\text{RLine}(A', i, \text{Line}(A', j)), n_1, m_1) = \text{Segm}(A', n_2, m_1) \).

(40) For every finite sequence \( F \) of elements of \( D \) such that \( i \notin \text{Seg} \text{len} A' \) holds 
\( \text{RLine}(A', i, F) = A' \).

Let \( n, m \) be natural numbers, let \( K \) be a field, let \( M \) be a matrix over \( K \) of dimension \( n \times m \), and let \( a \) be an element of \( K \). Then \( a \cdot M \) is a matrix over
K of dimension \( n \times m \).

We now state two propositions:

(41) If \( \{ \text{rng} n_1, \text{rng} m_1 \} \subseteq \) the indices of \( M \), then \( a \cdot \text{Segm}(M, n_1, m_1) = \text{Segm}(a \cdot M, n_1, m_1) \).

(42) If \( n_1 = \text{idseq}(\text{len} A) \) and \( m_1 = \text{idseq}(\text{width} A) \), then \( \text{Segm}(A, n_1, m_1) = A \).

Let us observe that there exists a subset of \( \mathbb{N} \) which is empty, without zero, and finite and there exists a subset of \( \mathbb{N} \) which is non empty, without zero, and finite.

Let us consider \( n \). Observe that \( \text{Seg} n \) is without zero.

Let \( X \) be a without zero set and let \( Y \) be a set. One can verify that \( X \setminus Y \) is without zero and \( X \cap Y \) is without zero.

One can prove the following proposition

(43) For every finite without zero subset \( N \) of \( \mathbb{N} \) there exists \( k \) such that \( N \subseteq \text{Seg} k \).

Let \( N \) be a finite without zero subset of \( \mathbb{N} \). Then \( \text{Sgm} N \) is an element of \( \mathbb{N}^{\text{card} N} \).

Let \( D \) be a non empty set, let \( A \) be a matrix over \( D \), and let \( P, Q \) be without zero finite subsets of \( \mathbb{N} \). The functor \( \text{Segm}(A, P, Q) \) yields a matrix over \( D \) of dimension \( \text{card} P \times \text{card} Q \) and is defined by:

(Def. 2) \( \text{Segm}(A, P, Q) = \text{Segm}(A, \text{Sgm} P, \text{Sgm} Q) \).

Next we state two propositions:

(44) \( \text{Segm}(A, \{i_0\}, \{j_0\}) = \langle (A_{i_0,j_0}) \rangle \).

(45) If \( i_0 < j_0 \) and \( n_0 < m_0 \), then \( \text{Segm}(A, \{i_0, j_0\}, \{n_0, m_0\}) =\begin{pmatrix} A_{i_0,n_0} & A_{i_0,m_0} \\ A_{j_0,n_0} & A_{j_0,m_0} \end{pmatrix} \).

In the sequel \( P, P_1, P_2, Q, Q_1, Q_2 \) are without zero finite subsets of \( \mathbb{N} \).

The following propositions are true:

(46) \( \text{Segm}(A, \text{Seg len} A, \text{Seg width} A) = A \).

(47) If \( i \in \text{Seg card} P \) and \( Q \subseteq \text{Seg width} A \), then \( \text{Line}(\text{Segm}(A, P, Q), i) = \text{Line}(A, (\text{Sgm} P)(i)) \cdot \text{Sgm} Q \).

(48) If \( i \in \text{Seg card} P \), then \( \text{Line}(\text{Segm}(A, P, \text{Seg width} A), i) = \text{Line}(A, (\text{Sgm} P)(i)) \).

(49) If \( j \in \text{Seg card} Q \) and \( P \subseteq \text{Seg len} A \), then \( (\text{Segm}(A, P, Q))_{\square,j} = A_{\square,(\text{Sgm} Q)(j)} \cdot \text{Sgm} P \).

(50) If \( j \in \text{Seg card} Q \), then \( (\text{Segm}(A, \text{Seg len} A, Q))_{\square,j} = A_{\square,(\text{Sgm} Q)(j)} \).

(51) \( \text{Segm}(A, \text{Seg len} A \setminus \{i\}, \text{Seg width} A) = A_{\{i\}} \).

(52) \( \text{Segm}(M, \text{Seg len} M, \text{Seg width} M \setminus \{i\}) = \) the deleting of \( i \)-column in \( M \).

(53) \( (\text{Sgm} P)^{-1}(X) \) is a without zero finite subset of \( \mathbb{N} \).
(54) If \( X \subseteq P \), then \( \text{Sgm}(X) = \text{Sgm}(P) \cdot \text{Sgm}(\text{Sgm}(P)^{-1}(X)) \).

(55) \([ (\text{Sgm}(P))^{-1}(X), (\text{Sgm}(Q))^{-1}(Y) ] \subseteq \text{the indices of Segm}(A, P, Q) \).

(56) If \( P \subseteq P_1 \) and \( Q \subseteq Q_1 \) and \( P_2 = (\text{Sgm}(P_1))^{-1}(P) \) and \( Q_2 = (\text{Sgm}(Q_1))^{-1}(Q) \), then \([ \text{rng Sgm}(P_2), \text{rng Sgm}(Q_2) ] \subseteq \text{the indices of Segm}(A, P_1, Q_1), P_2, Q_2) = \text{Segm}(A, P, Q) \).

(57) Suppose \( P = \emptyset \) iff \( Q = \emptyset \) and \([ P, Q ] \subseteq \text{the indices of Segm}(A, P_1, Q_1) \).

Then there exist \( P_2, Q_2 \) such that \( P_2 \subseteq P_1 \) and \( Q_2 \subseteq Q_1 \) and \( P_2 = (\text{Sgm}(P_1))P \) and \( Q_2 = (\text{Sgm}(Q_1))Q \) and card \( P_2 = \text{card } P \) and card \( Q_2 = \text{card } Q \) and Segm(Segm(A, P_1, Q_1), P, Q) = Segm(A, P_2, Q_2).

(58) For every matrix \( M \) over \( K \) of dimension \( n \) holds \( \text{Segm}(M, \text{Seg } n \setminus \{i\}, \text{Seg } n \setminus \{j\}) = \) the deleting of \( i \)-row and \( j \)-column in \( M \).

(59) Let \( F, F_2 \) be finite sequences of elements of \( D \). Suppose len \( F \) = width \( A' \) and \( F_2 = F \cdot \text{Sgm} Q \) and \([ P, Q ] \subseteq \text{the indices of } A' \). Then RLine(Segm(A', P, Q), i, F_2) = Segm(RLine(A', (Sgm P)(i), F), P, Q).

(60) Let \( F \) be a finite sequence of elements of \( D \) and given \( i, P \). If \( i \notin P \) and \([ P, Q ] \subseteq \text{the indices of } A' \), then Segm(A', P, Q) = Segm(RLine(A', i, F), P, Q).

(61) If \([ P, Q ] \subseteq \text{the indices of } A \) and card \( P = 0 \) iff card \( Q = 0 \), then \((\text{Segm}(A, P, Q))^T = \text{Segm}(A^T, Q, P)\).

(62) If \([ P, Q ] \subseteq \text{the indices of } A \) and card \( Q = 0 \), then card \( P = 0 \), then \( \text{Segm}(A, P, Q) = (\text{Segm}(A^T, Q, P))^T \).

(63) If \([ P, Q ] \subseteq \text{the indices of } M \), then \( \text{a-Segm}(M, P, Q) = \text{Segm}(a \cdot M, P, Q) \).

Let \( D \) be a non empty set, let \( A \) be a matrix over \( D \), and let \( P, Q \) be without zero finite subsets of \( N \). Let us assume that card \( P = \text{card } Q \). The functor EqSegm(A, P, Q) yields a matrix over \( D \) of dimension card \( P \) and is defined by:

(Def. 3) \( \text{EqSegm}(A, P, Q) = \text{Segm}(A, P, Q) \).

Next we state several propositions:

(64) For all \( P, Q, i, j \) such that \( i \in \text{Seg } card \ P \) and \( j \in \text{Seg } card \ P \) and card \( P = \text{card } Q \) holds Delete(EqSegm(M, P, Q), i, j) = EqSegm(M, P \setminus \{(Sgm P)(i), Q \setminus \{(Sgm Q)(j)\}) \) and card \( P \setminus \{(Sgm P)(i)\}) = \text{card } (Q \setminus \{(Sgm Q)(j)\}) \).

(65) For all \( M, P, P_1, Q_1 \) such that card \( P_1 = \text{card } Q_1 \) and \( P \subseteq P_1 \) and Det EqSegm(M, P, Q_1) \( \neq 0_K \) there exists \( Q \) such that \( Q \subseteq Q_1 \) and card \( P = \text{card } Q \) and Det EqSegm(M, P, Q) \( \neq 0_K \).

(66) For all \( M, P_1, Q, Q_1 \) such that card \( P_1 = \text{card } Q_1 \) and \( Q \subseteq Q_1 \) and Det EqSegm(M, P_1, Q_1) \( \neq 0_K \) there exists \( P \) such that \( P \subseteq P_1 \) and card \( P = \text{card } Q \) and Det EqSegm(M, P, Q) \( \neq 0_K \).

(67) If card \( P = \text{card } Q \), then \([ P, Q ] \subseteq \text{the indices of } A \) iff \( P \subseteq \text{Seg } \text{len } A \).
and $Q \subseteq \text{Seg width } A$.

(68) Let given $P$, $Q$, $i$, $j_0$. Suppose $i \in \text{Seg } n'$ and $j_0 \in \text{Seg } n'$ and $i \in P$ and $j_0 \notin P$ and $\text{card } P = \text{card } Q$ and $\{ P, Q \} \subseteq$ the indices of $M'$. Then $\text{card } P = \text{card } (\{ P \setminus \{ i \} \} \cup \{ j_0 \})$ but $\{ P \setminus \{ i \} \} \cup \{ j_0 \}, Q \} \subseteq$ the indices of $M'$ but $\text{Det EqSegm}(\text{RLine}(M', i, \text{Line}(M', j_0)), P, Q) = \text{Det EqSegm}(M', (P \setminus \{ i \}) \cup \{ j_0 \}, Q)$ or $\text{Det EqSegm}(\text{RLine}(M', i, \text{Line}(M', j_0)), P, Q) = -\text{Det EqSegm}(M', (P \setminus \{ i \}) \cup \{ j_0 \}, Q)$.

(69) If $\text{card } P = \text{card } Q$, then $\{ P, Q \} \subseteq$ the indices of $A$ iff $\{ Q, P \} \subseteq$ the indices of $A^T$.

(70) If $\{ P, Q \} \subseteq$ the indices of $M$ and $\text{card } P = \text{card } Q$, then $\text{Det EqSegm}(M, P, Q) = \text{Det EqSegm}(M^T, Q, P)$.

(71) For every matrix $M$ over $K$ of dimension $n$ holds $\text{Det}(a \cdot M) = \text{power}_K(a, n) \cdot \text{Det } M$.

(72) If $\{ P, Q \} \subseteq$ the indices of $M$ and $\text{card } P = \text{card } Q$, then $\text{Det EqSegm}(a \cdot M, P, Q) = \text{power}_K(a, \text{card } P) \cdot \text{Det EqSegm}(M, P, Q)$.

Let $K$ be a field and let $M$ be a matrix over $K$. The functor $\text{rk}(M)$ yielding an element of $\mathbb{N}$ is defined by the conditions (Def. 4).

(Def. 4)(i) There exist $P$, $Q$ such that $\{ P, Q \} \subseteq$ the indices of $M$ and $\text{card } P = \text{card } Q$ and $\text{card } P = \text{rk}(M)$ and $\text{Det EqSegm}(M, P, Q) \neq 0_K$, and

(ii) for all $P_1$, $Q_1$ such that $\{ P_1, Q_1 \} \subseteq$ the indices of $M$ and $\text{card } P_1 = \text{card } Q_1$ and $\text{Det EqSegm}(M, P_1, Q_1) \neq 0_K$ holds $\text{card } P_1 \leq \text{rk}(M)$.

The following propositions are true:

(73) For all $P$, $Q$ such that $\{ P, Q \} \subseteq$ the indices of $M$ and $\text{card } P = \text{card } Q$ holds $\text{card } P \leq \text{len } M$ and $\text{card } Q \leq \text{width } M$.

(74) $\text{rk}(M) \leq \text{len } M$ and $\text{rk}(M) \leq \text{width } M$.

(75) If $\{ \text{rng } n_2, \text{rng } n_3 \} \subseteq$ the indices of $M$ and $\text{Det Segm}(M, n_2, n_3) \neq 0_K$, then there exist $P_1$, $P_2$ such that $P_1 = \text{rng } n_2$ and $P_2 = \text{rng } n_3$ and card $P_1 = \text{card } P_2$ and card $P_1 = n$ and $\text{Det EqSegm}(M, P_1, P_2) \neq 0_K$.

(76) Let $R_1$ be an element of $\mathbb{N}$. Then $\text{rk}(M) = R_1$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) there exist elements $r_1$, $r_2$ of $\mathbb{N}^{R_1}$ such that $\{ \text{rng } r_1, \text{rng } r_2 \} \subseteq$ the indices of $M$ and $\text{Det Segm}(M, r_1, r_2) \neq 0_K$, and

(ii) for all $n$, $n_2$, $n_3$ such that $\{ \text{rng } n_2, \text{rng } n_3 \} \subseteq$ the indices of $M$ and $\text{Det Segm}(M, n_2, n_3) \neq 0_K$ holds $n \leq R_1$.

(77) If $n = 0$ or $m = 0$, then $\text{rk}(\text{Segm}(M, n_1, m_1)) = 0$.

(78) If $\{ \text{rng } n_1, \text{rng } m_1 \} \subseteq$ the indices of $M$, then $\text{rk}(M) \geq \text{rk}(\text{Segm}(M, n_1, m_1))$.

(79) If $\{ P, Q \} \subseteq$ the indices of $M$, then $\text{rk}(M) \geq \text{rk}(\text{Segm}(M, P, Q))$.

(80) If $P \subseteq P_1$ and $Q \subseteq Q_1$, then $\text{rk}(\text{Segm}(M, P, Q)) \leq \text{rk}(\text{Segm}(M, P_1, Q_1))$. 


For all functions $f$, $g$ such that $\text{rng } f \subseteq \text{rng } g$ there exists a function $h$ such that $\text{dom } h = \text{dom } f$ and $\text{rng } h \subseteq \text{dom } g$ and $f = g \cdot h$.

If $\begin{cases} \text{rng } n_1, \text{rng } m_1 \end{cases}$ = the indices of $M$, then $\text{rk}(M) = \text{rk}(\text{Seg}(M, n_1, m_1))$.

For every matrix $M$ over $K$ of dimension $n$ holds $\text{rk}(M) = n$ iff $\text{Det } M \neq 0_K$.

$\text{rk}(M) = \text{rk}(M^T)$.

For every matrix $M$ over $K$ of dimension $n \times m$ and for every permutation $F$ of $\text{Seg } n$ holds $\text{rk}(M) = \text{rk}(M \cdot F)$.

If $a \neq 0_K$, then $\text{rk}(M) = \text{rk}(a \cdot M)$.

Let $p$, $p_2$ be finite sequences of elements of $K$ and $f$ be a function. If $p_2 = p \cdot f$ and $\text{rng } f \subseteq \text{dom } p$, then $a \cdot p \cdot f = a \cdot p_2$.

Let $p$, $p_2$, $q$, $q_1$ be finite sequences of elements of $K$ and $f$ be a function. If $p_2 = p \cdot f$ and $\text{rng } f \subseteq \text{dom } p$ and $q_1 = q \cdot f$ and $\text{rng } f \subseteq \text{dom } q$, then $(p + q) \cdot f = p_2 + q_1$.

If $a \neq 0_K$, then $\text{rk}(M') = \text{rk}(\text{RLine}(M', i, a \cdot \text{Line}(M', i)))$.

If $\text{Line}(M, i) = \text{width } M \mapsto 0_K$, then $\text{rk}(\text{the deleting of } i\text{-row in } M) = \text{rk}(M)$.

For every $p$ such that $\text{len } p = \text{width } M'$ holds $\text{rk}(\text{the deleting of } i\text{-row in } M') = \text{rk}(\text{RLine}(M', i, 0_K \cdot p))$.

If $j \in \text{Seg } \text{len } M'$ and if $i = j$, then $a \neq -1_K$, then $\text{rk}(M') = \text{rk}(\text{RLine}(M', i, \text{Line}(M', i) + a \cdot \text{Line}(M', j)))$.

If $j \in \text{Seg } \text{len } M'$ and $j \neq i$, then $\text{rk}(\text{the deleting of } i\text{-row in } M') = \text{rk}(\text{RLine}(M', i, a \cdot \text{Line}(M', j)))$.

$\text{rk}(M) > 0$ iff there exist $i$, $j$ such that $\langle i, j \rangle \in$ the indices of $M$ and $M_{i,j} \neq 0_K$.

$\text{rk}(M) = 0$ iff $M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \ldots & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{(\text{len } M) \times \text{width } M}$.

$\text{rk}(M) = 1$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) there exist $i$, $j$ such that $\langle i, j \rangle \in$ the indices of $M$ and $M_{i,j} \neq 0_K$, and

(ii) for all $i_0$, $j_0$, $n_0$, $m_0$ such that $i_0 \neq j_0$ and $n_0 \neq m_0$ and $\begin{cases} \{i_0, j_0\}, \\ \{n_0, m_0\} \end{cases} \subseteq$ the indices of $M$ holds $\text{Det } \text{Seg}(M, \{i_0, j_0\}, \{n_0, m_0\}) = 0_K$.

$\text{rk}(M) = 1$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) there exist $i$, $j$ such that $\langle i, j \rangle \in$ the indices of $M$ and $M_{i,j} \neq 0_K$, and

(ii) for all $i$, $j$, $n$, $m$ such that $\begin{cases} \{i, j\}, \\ \{n, m\} \end{cases} \subseteq$ the indices of $M$ holds $M_{i,n} \cdot M_{j,m} = M_{i,m} \cdot M_{j,n}$.
\[ \text{rk}(M) = 1 \text{ if and only if there exists } i \text{ such that } i \in \text{Seglen } M \text{ and there exists } j \text{ such that } j \in \text{Segwidth } M \text{ and } M_{i,j} \neq 0_K \text{ and for every } k \text{ such that } k \in \text{Seglen } M \text{ there exists } a \text{ such that } \text{Line}(M,k) = a \cdot \text{Line}(M,i). \]

Let us consider \( K \). Observe that there exists a matrix over \( K \) which is diagonal.

One can prove the following propositions:

(99) \[ \text{Let } M \text{ be a diagonal matrix over } K \text{ and } N_1 \text{ be a set. Suppose } N_1 = \{i : (i, i) \in \text{the indices of } M \land M_{i,i} \neq 0_K\}. \text{ Let given } P, Q. \text{ If } \{P, Q\} \subseteq \text{the indices of } M \text{ and } \text{card } P = \text{card } Q \text{ and } \text{Det EqSegm}(M, P, Q) \neq 0_K, \text{ then } P \subseteq N_1 \text{ and } Q \subseteq N_1. \]

(100) \[ \text{For every diagonal matrix } M \text{ over } K \text{ and for every } P \text{ such that } \{P, P\} \subseteq \text{the indices of } M \text{ holds } \text{Segm}(M, P, P) \text{ is diagonal.} \]

(101) \[ \text{Let } M \text{ be a diagonal matrix over } K \text{ and } N_1 \text{ be a set. If } N_1 = \{i : (i, i) \in \text{the indices of } M \land M_{i,i} \neq 0_K\}, \text{ then } \text{rk}(M) = \overline{N_1}. \]

For simplicity, we adopt the following rules: \( v, v_1, v_2, u \) denote vectors of the \( n \)-dimension vector space over \( K \), \( t, t_1, t_2 \) denote elements of (the carrier of \( K \))^n, \( L \) denotes a linear combination of the \( n \)-dimension vector space over \( K \), and \( M, M_1 \) denote matrices over \( K \) of dimension \( m \times n \).

We now state the proposition

(102)(i) \[ \text{The carrier of the } n \text{-dimension vector space over } K = (\text{the carrier of } K)^n, \]

(ii) \[ \text{0 the } n \text{-dimension vector space over } K = n \mapsto 0_K, \]

(iii) \[ \text{if } t_1 = v_1 \text{ and } t_2 = v_2, \text{ then } t_1 + t_2 = v_1 + v_2, \text{ and} \]

(iv) \[ \text{if } t = v, \text{ then } a \cdot t = a \cdot v. \]

Let us consider \( K, n \). Then the \( n \)-dimension vector space over \( K \) is a strict vector space over \( K \).

Let us consider \( K, n \). One can verify that every vector of the \( n \)-dimension vector space over \( K \) is function-like and relation-like.

Let us consider \( K, m, n \) and let \( M \) be a matrix over \( K \) of dimension \( m \times n \). We introduce \( \text{lines}(M) \) as a synonym of \( \text{rng } M \). We introduce \( M \) is without repeated line as a synonym of \( M \) is one-to-one.

Let \( K \) be a field, let us consider \( m, n \), and let \( M \) be a matrix over \( K \) of dimension \( m \times n \). Then \( \text{lines}(M) \) is a subset of the \( n \)-dimension vector space over \( K \).

Next we state two propositions:

(103) \[ x \in \text{lines}(M) \text{ iff there exists } i \text{ such that } i \in \text{Seg } m \text{ and } x = \text{Line}(M, i). \]

(104) \[ \text{Let } V \text{ be a finite subset of the } n \text{-dimension vector space over } K. \text{ Then there exists a matrix } M \text{ over } K \text{ of dimension } \text{card } V \times n \text{ such that } M \text{ is without repeated line and } \text{lines}(M) = V. \]
Let us consider $K$, $n$ and let $F$ be a finite sequence of elements of the $n$-dimension vector space over $K$. The functor $\text{FinS2MX} F$ yielding a matrix over $K$ of dimension $\text{len} F \times n$ is defined by:

(Def. 5) $\text{FinS2MX} F = F$.

Let us consider $K$, $m$, $n$ and let $M$ be a matrix over $K$ of dimension $m \times n$. The functor $\text{MX2FinS} M$ yielding a finite sequence of elements of the $n$-dimension vector space over $K$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 6) $\text{MX2FinS} M = M$.

One can prove the following propositions:

(105) If $\text{rk}(M) = m$, then $M$ is without repeated line.

(106) If $i \in \text{Seg len } M$ and $a = L(M(i))$, then $\text{Line}(\text{FinS2MX}(L \text{ MX2FinS } M), i) = a \cdot \text{Line}(M, i)$.

(107) If $M$ is without repeated line and the support of $L \subseteq \text{lines}(M)$ and $i \in \text{Seg } n$, then $\left(\sum L(i) = \sum ((\text{FinS2MX}(L \text{ MX2FinS } M))_{\square i}\right)$.

(108) Let given $M$, $M_1$. Suppose $M$ is without repeated line and for every $i$ such that $i \in \text{Seg } m$ there exists $a$ such that $\text{Line}(M_1, i) = a \cdot \text{Line}(M, i)$. Then there exists a linear combination $L$ of $\text{lines}(M)$ such that $L \text{ MX2FinS } M = M_1$.

(109) Let given $M$. Suppose $M$ is without repeated line. Then for every $i$ such that $i \in \text{Seg } m$ holds $\text{Line}(M, i) \neq n \mapsto 0_K$ and for every $M_1$ such that for every $i$ such that $i \in \text{Seg } m$ there exists $a$ such that $\text{Line}(M_1, i) = a \cdot \text{Line}(M, i)$ and for every $j$ such that $j \in \text{Seg } n$ holds $\sum ((M_1)_{\square j}) = 0_K$ holds $M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{m \times n}$ if and only if $\text{lines}(M)$ is linearly independent.

(110) If $\text{rk}(M) = m$, then $\text{lines}(M)$ is linearly independent.

(111) Let $M$ be a diagonal $n$-dimensional matrix over $K$. Suppose $\text{rk}(M) = n$. Then $\text{lines}(M)$ is a basis of the $n$-dimension vector space over $K$.

Let us consider $K$, $n$. Then the $n$-dimension vector space over $K$ is a strict finite dimensional vector space over $K$.

The following propositions are true:

(112) $\dim(\text{the } n\text{-dimension vector space over } K) = n$.

(113) Let given $M$, $i$, $a$. Suppose that for every $j$ such that $j \in \text{Seg } m$ holds $M_{j,i} = a$. Then $M$ is without repeated line if and only if $\text{Segm}(M, \text{Seg len } M, \text{Seg width } M \setminus \{i\})$ is without repeated line.

(114) Let given $M$, $i$. Suppose $M$ is without repeated line and $\text{lines}(M)$ is linearly independent and for every $j$ such that $j \in \text{Seg } m$ holds $M_{j,i} = 0_K$. Then $\text{lines}(\text{Segm}(M, \text{Seg len } M, \text{Seg width } M \setminus \{i\}))$ is linearly independent.
(115) Let $V$ be a vector space over $K$ and $U$ be a finite subset of $V$. Suppose $U$ is linearly independent. Let $u, v$ be vectors of $V$. If $u \in U$ and $v \in U$ and $u \neq v$, then $(U \setminus \{u\}) \cup \{u + a \cdot v\}$ is linearly independent.

(116) Let $V$ be a vector space over $K$ and $u, v$ be vectors of $V$. Then $x \in \text{Lin}(\{u, v\})$ if and only if there exist $a, b$ such that $x = a \cdot u + b \cdot v$.

(117) Let given $M$. Suppose lines($M$) is linearly independent and $M$ is without repeated line. Let given $i, j$. Suppose $j \in \text{Seg len} M$ and $i \neq j$. Then RLIne($M, j, \text{Line}(M, i) + a \cdot \text{Line}(M, j)$) is without repeated line and lines(RLine($M, j, \text{Line}(M, i) + a \cdot \text{Line}(M, j)$)) is linearly independent.

(118) If $P \subseteq \text{Seg} m$, then lines($\text{Segm}(M, P, \text{Seg} n)$) $\subseteq$ lines($M$).

(119) If $P \subseteq \text{Seg} m$ and lines($M$) is linearly independent, then lines($\text{Segm}(M, P, \text{Seg} n)$) is linearly independent.

(120) If $P \subseteq \text{Seg} m$ and $M$ is without repeated line, then $\text{Segm}(M, P, \text{Seg} n)$ is without repeated line.

(121) Let $M$ be a matrix over $K$ of dimension $m \times n$. Then lines($M$) is linearly independent and $M$ is without repeated line if and only if $\text{rk}(M) = m$.

(122) Let $U$ be a subset of the $n$-dimension vector space over $K$. Suppose $U \subseteq \text{lines}(M)$. Then there exists $P$ such that $P \subseteq \text{Seg} m$ and lines($\text{Segm}(M, P, \text{Seg} n)$) $= U$ and $\text{Segm}(M, P, \text{Seg} n)$ is without repeated line.

(123) Let $R_1$ be an element of $\mathbb{N}$. Then $\text{rk}(M) = R_1$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) there exists a finite subset $U$ of the $n$-dimension vector space over $K$ such that $U$ is linearly independent and $U \subseteq \text{lines}(M)$ and $\text{card} U = R_1$, and

(ii) for every finite subset $W$ of the $n$-dimension vector space over $K$ such that $W$ is linearly independent and $W \subseteq \text{lines}(M)$ holds $\text{card} W \leq R_1$.
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1. Preliminaries

Let $R_1$, $R_2$ be sets and let $R$ be a relation between $R_1$ and $R_2$. Then field $R$ is a subset of $R_1 \cup R_2$.

\textsuperscript{1}The author visited the Department of Computer Science, University of Copenhagen, while writing the article.
Let $R_1, R_2, S_1, S_2$ be sets, let $R$ be a relation between $R_1$ and $R_2$, and let $S$ be a relation between $S_1$ and $S_2$. Then $R \cup S$ is a relation between $R_1 \cup S_1$ and $R_2 \cup S_2$.

Let $R_1, S_1$ be sets, let $R$ be a total binary relation on $R_1$, and let $S$ be a total binary relation on $S_1$. Note that $R \cup S$ is total.

Let $R_1, S_1$ be sets, let $R$ be a reflexive binary relation on $R_1$, and let $S$ be a reflexive binary relation on $S_1$. Observe that $R \cup S$ is reflexive.

Let $R_1, S_1$ be sets, let $R$ be a symmetric binary relation on $R_1$, and let $S$ be a symmetric binary relation on $S_1$. Observe that $R \cup S$ is symmetric.

One can prove the following proposition

(1) Let $R_1, S_1$ be sets, $R$ be a transitive binary relation on $R_1$, and $S$ be a transitive binary relation on $S_1$. If $R_1$ misses $S_1$, then $R \cup S$ is transitive.

Let $A$ be an empty set and let $B$ be a set. One can check that $\emptyset_{A,B}$ is total.

Let $I$ be a non empty set and let $C$ be a 1-sorted yielding many sorted set indexed by $I$. Then the support of $C$ can be characterized by the condition:

(Def. 1) For every element $i$ of $I$ holds (the support of $C)(i) = \text{the carrier of } C(i)$.

Let $R_1, R_2, S_1, S_2$ be sets, let $R$ be a relation between $R_1$ and $R_2$, and let $S$ be a relation between $S_1$ and $S_2$. The functor $\lbrack R, S \rbrack$ yields a relation between $\lbrack R_1, S_1 \rbrack$ and $\lbrack R_2, S_2 \rbrack$ and is defined by the condition (Def. 2).

(Def. 2) Let $x, y$ be sets. Then $\langle x, y \rangle \in \lbrack R, S \rbrack$ if and only if there exist sets $r_1, s_1, r_2, s_2$ such that $x = \langle r_1, s_1 \rangle$ and $y = \langle r_2, s_2 \rangle$ and $r_1 \in R_1$ and $s_1 \in S_1$ and $r_2 \in R_2$ and $s_2 \in S_2$ and $\langle r_1, r_2 \rangle \in R$ or $\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle \in S$.

Let $R_1, R_2, S_1, S_2$ be non empty sets, let $R$ be a relation between $R_1$ and $R_2$, and let $S$ be a relation between $S_1$ and $S_2$. Then $\lbrack R, S \rbrack$ can be characterized by the condition:

(Def. 3) Let $r_1$ be an element of $R_1$, $r_2$ be an element of $R_2$, $s_1$ be an element of $S_1$, and $s_2$ be an element of $S_2$. Then $\langle \{ r_1, s_1 \}, \{ r_2, s_2 \} \rangle \in \lbrack R, S \rbrack$ if and only if $\langle r_1, r_2 \rangle \in R$ or $\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle \in S$.

Let $R_1, S_1$ be sets, let $R$ be a total binary relation on $R_1$, and let $S$ be a total binary relation on $S_1$. Note that $\lbrack R, S \rbrack$ is total.

Let $R_1, S_1$ be sets, let $R$ be a reflexive binary relation on $R_1$, and let $S$ be a reflexive binary relation on $S_1$. One can check that $\lbrack R, S \rbrack$ is reflexive.

Let $R_1, S_1$ be sets, let $R$ be a binary relation on $R_1$, and let $S$ be a total reflexive binary relation on $S_1$. Observe that $\lbrack R, S \rbrack$ is reflexive.

Let $R_1, S_1$ be sets, let $R$ be a total reflexive binary relation on $R_1$, and let $S$ be a binary relation on $S_1$. Observe that $\lbrack R, S \rbrack$ is reflexive.

Let $R_1, S_1$ be sets, let $R$ be a symmetric binary relation on $R_1$, and let $S$ be a symmetric binary relation on $S_1$. Note that $\lbrack R, S \rbrack$ is symmetric.
2. Relational Structures

Let us observe that every relational structure which is empty is also total.
Let $R$ be a binary relation. We say that $R$ is transitive-yielding if and only if:

(Def. 4) For every relational structure $S$ such that $S \in \text{rng } R$ holds $S$ is transitive.

Let us note that every binary relation which is poset-yielding is also transitive-yielding.

Let $I$ be a set. Observe that there exists a many sorted set indexed by $I$ which is poset-yielding.

Let $I$ be a set and let $C$ be a relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by $I$. The functor $\text{pcs-InternalRels } C$ yields a many sorted set indexed by $I$ and is defined by the condition (Def. 5).

(Def. 5) Let $i$ be a set. Suppose $i \in I$. Then there exists a relational structure $P$ such that $P = C(i)$ and $(\text{pcs-InternalRels } C)(i) = \text{the internal relation of } P$.

Let $I$ be a non empty set and let $C$ be a relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by $I$. Then $\text{pcs-InternalRels } C$ can be characterized by the condition:

(Def. 6) For every element $i$ of $I$ holds $(\text{pcs-InternalRels } C)(i) = \text{the internal relation of } C(i)$.

Let $I$ be a set and let $C$ be a relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by $I$. One can check that $\text{pcs-InternalRels } C$ is binary relation yielding.

Let $I$ be a non empty set, let $C$ be a transitive-yielding relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by $I$, and let $i$ be an element of $I$. Note that $C(i)$ is transitive.

3. Tolerance Structures

We introduce alternative relational structures which are extensions of 1-sorted structure and are systems

$\langle \text{a carrier, an alternative relation } \rangle$,

where the carrier is a set and the alternative relation is a binary relation on the carrier.

Let $P$ be an alternative relational structure and let $p, q$ be elements of $P$. The predicate $p \sim q$ is defined by:

(Def. 7) $(p, q) \in \text{the alternative relation of } P$.

Let $P$ be an alternative relational structure. We say that $P$ is $\beta$-total if and only if:
(Def. 8) The alternative relation of \( P \) is total.
We say that \( P \) is \( \beta \)-reflexive if and only if:

(Def. 9) The alternative relation of \( P \) is reflexive in the carrier of \( P \).
We say that \( P \) is \( \beta \)-irreflexive if and only if:

(Def. 10) The alternative relation of \( P \) is irreflexive in the carrier of \( P \).
We say that \( P \) is \( \beta \)-symmetric if and only if:

(Def. 11) The alternative relation of \( P \) is symmetric in the carrier of \( P \).

The alternative relational structure \( \text{emptyTolStr} \) is defined as follows:

(Def. 12) \( \text{emptyTolStr} = (\emptyset, \emptyset) \).

One can check that \( \text{emptyTolStr} \) is empty and strict.

The following proposition is true

(2) Let \( P \) be an alternative relational structure. If \( P \) is empty, then the alternative relational structure of \( P = \text{emptyTolStr} \).

One can check that every alternative relational structure which is \( \beta \)-reflexive is also \( \beta \)-total.

Let us note that every alternative relational structure which is empty is also \( \beta \)-reflexive, \( \beta \)-irreflexive, and \( \beta \)-symmetric.

Let us note that there exists an alternative relational structure which is empty.

Let \( P \) be a \( \beta \)-total alternative relational structure. Observe that the alternative relation of \( P \) is total.

Let \( P \) be a \( \beta \)-reflexive alternative relational structure. One can check that the alternative relation of \( P \) is reflexive.

Let \( P \) be a \( \beta \)-irreflexive alternative relational structure. One can verify that the alternative relation of \( P \) is irreflexive.

Let \( P \) be a \( \beta \)-symmetric alternative relational structure. One can verify that the alternative relation of \( P \) is symmetric.

Let \( L \) be a \( \beta \)-total alternative relational structure. Note that the alternative relational structure of \( L \) is \( \beta \)-total.

Let \( P \) be a \( \beta \)-symmetric alternative relational structure and let \( p, q \) be elements of \( P \). Let us note that the predicate \( p \sim q \) is symmetric.

Let \( D \) be a set. Note that \( \langle D, \nabla D \rangle \) is \( \beta \)-reflexive and \( \beta \)-symmetric.

Let \( D \) be a set. Note that \( \langle D, \emptyset D, D \rangle \) is \( \beta \)-irreflexive and \( \beta \)-symmetric.

Let us note that there exists an alternative relational structure which is strict, non empty, \( \beta \)-reflexive, and \( \beta \)-symmetric.

One can check that there exists an alternative relational structure which is strict, non empty, \( \beta \)-irreflexive, and \( \beta \)-symmetric.

Let \( R \) be a binary relation. We say that \( R \) is alternative relational structure yielding if and only if:
(Def. 13) For every set $P$ such that $P \in \text{rng } R$ holds $P$ is an alternative relational structure.

Let $f$ be a function. Let us observe that $f$ is alternative relational structure yielding if and only if:

(Def. 14) For every set $x$ such that $x \in \text{dom } f$ holds $f(x)$ is an alternative relational structure.

Let $I$ be a set and let $f$ be a many sorted set indexed by $I$. Let us observe that $f$ is alternative relational structure yielding if and only if:

(Def. 15) For every set $x$ such that $x \in I$ holds $f(x)$ is an alternative relational structure.

Let $R$ be a binary relation. We say that $R$ is $\beta$-reflexive yielding if and only if:

(Def. 16) For every alternative relational structure $S$ such that $S \in \text{rng } R$ holds $S$ is $\beta$-reflexive.

We say that $R$ is $\beta$-irreflexive yielding if and only if:

(Def. 17) For every alternative relational structure $S$ such that $S \in \text{rng } R$ holds $S$ is $\beta$-irreflexive.

We say that $R$ is $\beta$-symmetric yielding if and only if:

(Def. 18) For every alternative relational structure $S$ such that $S \in \text{rng } R$ holds $S$ is $\beta$-symmetric.

One can check that every binary relation which is empty is also $\beta$-reflexive yielding, $\beta$-irreflexive yielding, and $\beta$-symmetric yielding.

Let $I$ be a set and let $P$ be an alternative relational structure. Note that $I \rightarrow P$ is alternative relational structure yielding.

Let $I$ be a set and let $P$ be a $\beta$-reflexive alternative relational structure. Observe that $I \rightarrow P$ is $\beta$-reflexive yielding.

Let $I$ be a set and let $P$ be a $\beta$-irreflexive alternative relational structure. One can check that $I \rightarrow P$ is $\beta$-irreflexive yielding.

Let $I$ be a set and let $P$ be a $\beta$-symmetric alternative relational structure. One can verify that $I \rightarrow P$ is $\beta$-symmetric yielding.

Let us observe that every function which is alternative relational structure yielding is also 1-sorted yielding.

Let $I$ be a set. Observe that there exists a many sorted set indexed by $I$ which is $\beta$-reflexive yielding, $\beta$-symmetric yielding, and alternative relational structure yielding.

Let $I$ be a set. Note that there exists a many sorted set indexed by $I$ which is $\beta$-irreflexive yielding, $\beta$-symmetric yielding, and alternative relational structure yielding.

Let $I$ be a set. Observe that there exists a many sorted set indexed by $I$ which is alternative relational structure yielding.
Let \( I \) be a non empty set, let \( C \) be an alternative relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by \( I \), and let \( i \) be an element of \( I \). Then \( C(i) \) is an alternative relational structure.

Let \( I \) be a set and let \( C \) be an alternative relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by \( I \). The functor \( \text{pcs-ToleranceRels} C \) yields a many sorted set indexed by \( I \) and is defined by the condition (Def. 19).

(Def. 19) Let \( i \) be a set. Suppose \( i \in I \). Then there exists an alternative relational structure \( P \) such that \( P = C(i) \) and \( (\text{pcs-ToleranceRels} C)(i) = \) the alternative relation of \( P \).

Let \( I \) be a non empty set and let \( C \) be an alternative relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by \( I \). Then \( \text{pcs-ToleranceRels} C \) can be characterized by the condition:

(Def. 20) For every element \( i \) of \( I \) holds \( (\text{pcs-ToleranceRels} C)(i) = \) the alternative relation of \( C(i) \).

Let \( I \) be a set and let \( C \) be an alternative relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by \( I \). Note that \( \text{pcs-ToleranceRels} C \) is binary relation yielding.

Let \( I \) be a non empty set, let \( C \) be a \( \beta \)-reflexive yielding alternative relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by \( I \), and let \( i \) be an element of \( I \). One can verify that \( C(i) \) is \( \beta \)-reflexive.

Let \( I \) be a non empty set, let \( C \) be a \( \beta \)-irreflexive yielding alternative relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by \( I \), and let \( i \) be an element of \( I \). Note that \( C(i) \) is \( \beta \)-irreflexive.

Let \( I \) be a non empty set, let \( C \) be a \( \beta \)-symmetric yielding alternative relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by \( I \), and let \( i \) be an element of \( I \). Observe that \( C(i) \) is \( \beta \)-symmetric.

The following propositions are true:

(3) Let \( P, Q \) be alternative relational structures. Suppose that
   (i) the alternative relational structure of \( P = \) the alternative relational structure of \( Q \), and
   (ii) \( P \) is \( \beta \)-reflexive.
   Then \( Q \) is \( \beta \)-reflexive.

(4) Let \( P, Q \) be alternative relational structures. Suppose that
   (i) the alternative relational structure of \( P = \) the alternative relational structure of \( Q \), and
   (ii) \( P \) is \( \beta \)-irreflexive.
   Then \( Q \) is \( \beta \)-irreflexive.

(5) Let \( P, Q \) be alternative relational structures. Suppose that
   (i) the alternative relational structure of \( P = \) the alternative relational structure of \( Q \), and
   (ii) \( P \) is \( \beta \)-symmetric.
Then \( Q \) is \( \beta \)-symmetric.

Let \( P, Q \) be alternative relational structures. The functor \( [P, Q] \) yields an alternative relational structure and is defined by the condition (Def. 21).

(Def. 21) \( [P, Q] = \langle \text{the carrier of } P, \text{ the carrier of } Q, [\text{the alternative relation of } P, \text{ the alternative relation of } Q] \rangle \).

Let \( P, Q \) be alternative relational structures, let \( p \) be an element of \( P \), and let \( q \) be an element of \( Q \). We introduce \( [p, q] \) as a synonym of \( \langle p, q \rangle \).

Let \( P, Q \) be non empty alternative relational structures, let \( p \) be an element of \( P \), and let \( q \) be an element of \( Q \). Then \( [p, q] \) is an element of \( [P, Q] \).

Let \( P, Q \) be alternative relational structures and let \( p \) be an element of \( [P, Q] \). We introduce \( p'1 \) as a synonym of \( p1 \). We introduce \( p'2 \) as a synonym of \( p2 \).

Let \( P, Q \) be non empty alternative relational structures and let \( p \) be an element of \( [P, Q] \). Then \( p'1 \) is an element of \( P \). Then \( p'2 \) is an element of \( Q \).

We now state two propositions:

(6) Let \( S1, S2 \) be non empty alternative relational structures, \( a, c \) be elements of \( S1 \), and \( b, d \) be elements of \( S2 \). Then \( [a, b] \sim [c, d] \) if and only if \( a \sim c \) or \( b \sim d \).

(7) Let \( S1, S2 \) be non empty alternative relational structures and \( x, y \) be elements of \( [S1, S2] \). Then \( x \sim y \) if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(i) \( x'1 \sim y'1 \), or
(ii) \( x'2 \sim y'2 \).

Let \( P \) be an alternative relational structure and let \( Q \) be a \( \beta \)-reflexive alternative relational structure. Note that \( [P, Q] \) is \( \beta \)-reflexive.

Let \( P \) be a \( \beta \)-reflexive alternative relational structure and let \( Q \) be an alternative relational structure. Observe that \( [P, Q] \) is \( \beta \)-reflexive.

Let \( P, Q \) be \( \beta \)-symmetric alternative relational structures. One can check that \( [P, Q] \) is \( \beta \)-symmetric.

4. PCS’s

We introduce pcs structures which are extensions of relational structure and alternative relational structure and are systems

\( \langle \text{a carrier, an internal relation, an alternative relation} \rangle \),

where the carrier is a set, the internal relation is a binary relation on the carrier, and the alternative relation is a binary relation on the carrier.

Let \( P \) be a pcs structure. We say that \( P \) is compatible if and only if:

(Def. 22) For all elements \( p, p', q, q' \) of \( P \) such that \( p \sim q \) and \( p' \leq p \) and \( q' \leq q \) holds \( p' \sim q' \).
Let $P$ be a pcs structure. We say that $P$ is pcs-like if and only if:

**Def. 23**  
$P$ is reflexive, transitive, $\beta$-reflexive, $\beta$-symmetric, and compatible.

We say that $P$ is anti-pcs-like if and only if:

**Def. 24**  
$P$ is reflexive, transitive, $\beta$-irreflexive, $\beta$-symmetric, and compatible.

One can verify the following observations:

* every pcs structure which is pcs-like is also reflexive, transitive, $\beta$-reflexive, $\beta$-symmetric, and compatible,
* every pcs structure which is reflexive, transitive, $\beta$-reflexive, $\beta$-symmetric, and compatible is also pcs-like,
* every pcs structure which is anti-pcs-like is also reflexive, transitive, $\beta$-irreflexive, $\beta$-symmetric, and compatible, and
* every pcs structure which is reflexive, transitive, $\beta$-irreflexive, $\beta$-symmetric, and compatible is also anti-pcs-like.

Let $D$ be a set. The functor $\text{TotalPCS} D$ yields a pcs structure and is defined as follows:

**Def. 25**  
$\text{TotalPCS} D = \langle D, \nabla_D, \nabla_D \rangle$.

Let $D$ be a set. Observe that $\text{TotalPCS} D$ is strict.

Let $D$ be a non empty set. One can verify that $\text{TotalPCS} D$ is non empty.

Let $D$ be a set. One can check that $\text{TotalPCS} D$ is reflexive, transitive, $\beta$-reflexive, and $\beta$-symmetric.

Let $D$ be a set. Note that $\text{TotalPCS} D$ is pcs-like.

Let $D$ be a set. One can verify that $\langle D, \nabla_D, \emptyset_D, D \rangle$ is anti-pcs-like.

One can verify that there exists a pcs structure which is strict, non empty, and pcs-like and there exists a pcs structure which is strict, non empty, and anti-pcs-like.

A pcs is a pcs-like pcs structure. An anti-pcs is an anti-pcs-like pcs structure.

The pcs structure $\text{EmptyPCS}$ is defined by:

**Def. 26**  
$\text{EmptyPCS} = \text{TotalPCS} 0$.

Let us mention that $\text{EmptyPCS}$ is strict, empty, and pcs-like.

Let $p$ be a set. The functor $\text{SingletonPCS} p$ yielding a pcs structure is defined by:

**Def. 27**  
$\text{SingletonPCS} p = \text{TotalPCS} \{p\}$.

Let $p$ be a set. Observe that $\text{SingletonPCS} p$ is strict, non empty, and pcs-like.

Let $R$ be a binary relation. We say that $R$ is pcs structure yielding if and only if:

**Def. 28**  
For every set $P$ such that $P \in \text{rng } R$ holds $P$ is a pcs structure.

We say that $R$ is pcs-yielding if and only if:

**Def. 29**  
For every set $P$ such that $P \in \text{rng } R$ holds $P$ is a pcs.
Let $f$ be a function. Let us observe that $f$ is pcs structure yielding if and only if:

(Def. 30) For every set $x$ such that $x \in \text{dom } f$ holds $f(x)$ is a pcs structure.

Let us observe that $f$ is pcs-yielding if and only if:

(Def. 31) For every set $x$ such that $x \in \text{dom } f$ holds $f(x)$ is a pcs.

Let $I$ be a set and let $f$ be a many sorted set indexed by $I$. Let us observe that $f$ is pcs structure yielding if and only if:

(Def. 32) For every set $x$ such that $x \in I$ holds $f(x)$ is a pcs structure.

Let us observe that $f$ is pcs-yielding if and only if:

(Def. 33) For every set $x$ such that $x \in I$ holds $f(x)$ is a pcs.

One can verify the following observations:

* every binary relation which is pcs structure yielding is also alternative relational structure yielding and relational structure yielding,
* every binary relation which is pcs-yielding is also pcs structure yielding, and
* every binary relation which is pcs-yielding is also reflexive-yielding, transitive-yielding, $\beta$-reflexive yielding, and $\beta$-symmetric yielding.

Let $I$ be a set and let $P$ be a pcs. Note that $I \rightarrow P$ is pcs-yielding.

Let $I$ be a set. Observe that there exists a many sorted set indexed by $I$ which is pcs-yielding.

Let $I$ be a non empty set, let $C$ be a pcs structure yielding many sorted set indexed by $I$, and let $i$ be an element of $I$. Then $C(i)$ is a pcs structure.

Let $I$ be a non empty set, let $C$ be a pcs-yielding many sorted set indexed by $I$, and let $i$ be an element of $I$. Then $C(i)$ is a pcs.

Let $P, Q$ be pcs structures. The predicate $P \subseteq Q$ is defined by the conditions (Def. 34).

(Def. 34)(i) The carrier of $P \subseteq$ the carrier of $Q$,
(ii) the internal relation of $P \subseteq$ the internal relation of $Q$, and
(iii) the alternative relation of $P \subseteq$ the alternative relation of $Q$.

Let us note that the predicate $P \subseteq Q$ is reflexive.

Next we state two propositions:

(8) Let $P, Q$ be relational structures, $p, q$ be elements of $P$, and $p_1, q_1$ be elements of $Q$. Suppose the internal relation of $P \subseteq$ the internal relation of $Q$ and $p = p_1$ and $q = q_1$ and $p \leq q$. Then $p_1 \leq q_1$.

(9) Let $P, Q$ be pcs structures, $p, q$ be elements of $P$, and $p_1, q_1$ be elements of $Q$. Suppose the alternative relation of $P \subseteq$ the alternative relation of $Q$ and $p = p_1$ and $q = q_1$ and $p \sim q$. Then $p_1 \sim q_1$.

Let $C$ be a binary relation. We say that $C$ is chain-like if and only if:
For all pcs structures \( P, Q \) such that \( P \in \text{rng} C \) and \( Q \in \text{rng} C \) holds \( P \subseteq Q \) or \( Q \subseteq P \).

Let \( I \) be a set and let \( P \) be a pcs structure. Observe that \( I \rightarrow P \) is chain-like.

Let us note that there exists a function which is chain-like and pcs-yielding. Let \( I \) be a set. Note that there exists a many sorted set indexed by \( I \) which is chain-like and pcs-yielding.

Let \( I \) be a set. A pcs-chain of \( I \) is a chain-like pcs-yielding many sorted set indexed by \( I \).

Let \( I \) be a set and let \( C \) be a pcs structure yielding many sorted set indexed by \( I \). The functor \( \bigcup C \) yielding a strict pcs structure is defined by the conditions (Def. 36).

The carrier of \( \bigcup C = \bigcup \{ \text{the support of} \ C \} \),

(ii) the internal relation of \( \bigcup C = \bigcup \text{pcs-InternalRels} \ C \), and

(iii) the alternative relation of \( \bigcup C = \bigcup \text{pcs-ToleranceRels} \ C \).

We now state four propositions:

(10) Let \( I \) be a set, \( C \) be a pcs structure yielding many sorted set indexed by \( I \), and \( p, q \) be elements of \( \bigcup C \). Then \( p \leq q \) if and only if there exists a set \( i \in I \) and there exists a pcs structure \( P \) and there exist elements \( p', q' \) of \( P \) such that \( i \in I \) and \( P = C(i) \) and \( p' = p \) and \( q' = q \) and \( p' \leq q' \).

(11) Let \( I \) be a non-empty set, \( C \) be a pcs structure yielding many sorted set indexed by \( I \), and \( p, q \) be elements of \( \bigcup C \). Then \( p \leq q \) if and only if there exists an element \( i \) of \( I \) and there exist elements \( p', q' \) of \( C(i) \) such that \( p' = p \) and \( q' = q \) and \( p' \leq q' \).

(12) Let \( I \) be a set, \( C \) be a pcs structure yielding many sorted set indexed by \( I \), and \( p, q \) be elements of \( \bigcup C \). Then \( p \sim q \) if and only if there exists a set \( i \in I \) and there exists a pcs structure \( P \) and there exist elements \( p', q' \) of \( P \) such that \( i \in I \) and \( P = C(i) \) and \( p' = p \) and \( q' = q \) and \( p' \sim q' \).

(13) Let \( I \) be a non-empty set, \( C \) be a pcs structure yielding many sorted set indexed by \( I \), and \( p, q \) be elements of \( \bigcup C \). Then \( p \sim q \) if and only if there exists an element \( i \) of \( I \) and there exist elements \( p', q' \) of \( C(i) \) such that \( p' = p \) and \( q' = q \) and \( p' \sim q' \).

Let \( I \) be a set and let \( C \) be a reflexive-yielding pcs structure yielding many sorted set indexed by \( I \). Observe that \( \bigcup C \) is reflexive.

Let \( I \) be a set and let \( C \) be a \( \beta \)-reflexive yielding pcs structure yielding many sorted set indexed by \( I \). Observe that \( \bigcup C \) is \( \beta \)-reflexive.

Let \( I \) be a set and let \( C \) be a \( \beta \)-symmetric yielding pcs structure yielding many sorted set indexed by \( I \). Note that \( \bigcup C \) is \( \beta \)-symmetric.

Let \( I \) be a set and let \( C \) be a pcs-chain of \( I \). One can check that \( \bigcup C \) is transitive and compatible.
Let $p, q$ be sets. The functor $\text{MSSet}(p, q)$ yielding a many sorted set indexed by $\{0, 1\}$ is defined by:

(Def. 37) \( \text{MSSet}(p, q) = [0 \mapsto p, 1 \mapsto q] \).

Let $P, Q$ be 1-sorted structures. One can check that $\text{MSSet}(P, Q)$ is 1-sorted yielding.

Let $P, Q$ be relational structures. Observe that $\text{MSSet}(P, Q)$ is relational structure yielding.

Let $P, Q$ be alternative relational structures. Observe that $\text{MSSet}(P, Q)$ is alternative relational structure yielding.

Let $P, Q$ be pcs structures. Note that $\text{MSSet}(P, Q)$ is pcs structure yielding.

Let $P, Q$ be reflexive pcs structures. Observe that $\text{MSSet}(P, Q)$ is reflexive-yielding.

Let $P, Q$ be transitive pcs structures. One can check that $\text{MSSet}(P, Q)$ is transitive-yielding.

Let $P, Q$ be $\beta$-reflexive pcs structures. Note that $\text{MSSet}(P, Q)$ is $\beta$-reflexive yielding.

Let $P, Q$ be $\beta$-symmetric pcs structures. Observe that $\text{MSSet}(P, Q)$ is $\beta$-symmetric yielding.

Let $P, Q$ be pcs’s. Observe that $\text{MSSet}(P, Q)$ is pcs-yielding.

Let $P, Q$ be pcs structures. The functor $P \oplus Q$ yielding a pcs structure is defined by:

(Def. 38) \( P \oplus Q = \bigcup \text{MSSet}(P, Q) \).

One can prove the following four propositions:

(14) Let $P, Q$ be pcs structures. Then
(i) the carrier of $P \oplus Q = \text{(the carrier of } P) \cup \text{(the carrier of } Q)$,
(ii) the internal relation of $P \oplus Q = \text{(the internal relation of } P) \cup \text{(the internal relation of } Q)$, and
(iii) the alternative relation of $P \oplus Q = \text{(the alternative relation of } P) \cup \text{(the alternative relation of } Q)$.

(15) Let $P, Q$ be pcs structures. Then $P \oplus Q = \langle (\text{the carrier of } P) \cup (\text{the carrier of } Q), (\text{the internal relation of } P) \cup (\text{the internal relation of } Q), (\text{the alternative relation of } P) \cup (\text{the alternative relation of } Q) \rangle$.

(16) Let $P, Q$ be pcs structures and $p, q$ be elements of $P \oplus Q$. Then $p \leq q$ if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:
(i) there exist elements $p', q'$ of $P$ such that $p' = p$ and $q' = q$ and $p' \leq q'$,

or
(ii) there exist elements $p', q'$ of $Q$ such that $p' = p$ and $q' = q$ and $p' \leq q'$.

(17) Let $P, Q$ be pcs structures and $p, q$ be elements of $P \oplus Q$. Then $p \sim q$ if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:
(i) there exist elements $p', q'$ of $P$ such that $p' = p$ and $q' = q$ and $p' \sim q'$,

or
(ii) there exist elements \( p', q' \) of \( Q \) such that \( p' = p \) and \( q' = q \) and \( p' \sim q' \).

Let \( P, Q \) be reflexive pcs structures. Observe that \( P \oplus Q \) is reflexive.

Let \( P, Q \) be \( \beta \)-reflexive pcs structures. One can verify that \( P \oplus Q \) is \( \beta \)-reflexive.

Let \( P, Q \) be \( \beta \)-symmetric pcs structures. Observe that \( P \oplus Q \) is \( \beta \)-symmetric.

The following three propositions are true:

(18) For all pcs’s \( P, Q \) such that \( P \) misses \( Q \) holds the internal relation of \( P \oplus Q \) is transitive.

(19) For all pcs’s \( P, Q \) such that \( P \) misses \( Q \) holds \( P \oplus Q \) is compatible.

(20) For all pcs’s \( P, Q \) such that \( P \) misses \( Q \) holds \( P \oplus Q \) is a pcs.

Let \( P \) be a pcs structure and let \( a \) be a set. The functor \( P_a \) yields a strict pcs structure and is defined by the conditions (Def. 39).

(Def. 39)(i) The carrier of \( P_a = \{a\} \cup \) the carrier of \( P \),

(ii) the internal relation of \( P_a = \{ \{a\}, \) the carrier of \( P_a \} \cup \) the internal relation of \( P \), and

(iii) the alternative relation of \( P_a = \{ \{a\}, \) the carrier of \( P_a \} \cup \{ \) the carrier of \( P_a, \{a\} \} \cup \) the alternative relation of \( P \).

Let \( P \) be a pcs structure and let \( a \) be a set. Observe that \( P_a \) is non empty.

The following propositions are true:

(21) Let \( P \) be a pcs structure and \( a \) be a set. Then

(i) the carrier of \( P \subseteq \) the carrier of \( P_a \),

(ii) the internal relation of \( P \subseteq \) the internal relation of \( P_a \), and

(iii) the alternative relation of \( P \subseteq \) the alternative relation of \( P_a \).

(22) For every pcs structure \( P \) and for every set \( a \) and for all elements \( p, q \) of \( P_a \) such that \( p = a \) holds \( p \leq q \).

(23) Let \( P \) be a pcs structure, \( a \) be a set, \( p, q \) be elements of \( P \), and \( p_1, q_1 \) be elements of \( P_a \). If \( p = p_1 \) and \( q = q_1 \) and \( p \leq q \), then \( p_1 \leq q_1 \).

(24) Let \( P \) be a pcs structure, \( a \) be a set, \( p \) be an element of \( P \), and \( p_1, q_1 \) be elements of \( P_a \). Suppose \( p = p_1 \) and \( p \neq a \) and \( p_1 \leq q_1 \) and \( a \notin \) the carrier of \( P \). Then \( q_1 \in \) the carrier of \( P \) and \( q_1 \neq a \).

(25) Let \( P \) be a pcs structure, \( a \) be a set, and \( p \) be an element of \( P_a \). If \( p \neq a \), then \( p \in \) the carrier of \( P \).

(26) Let \( P \) be a pcs structure, \( a \) be a set, \( p, q \) be elements of \( P \), and \( p_1, q_1 \) be elements of \( P_a \). If \( p = p_1 \) and \( q = q_1 \) and \( p \neq a \) and \( p_1 \leq q_1 \), then \( p \leq q \).

(27) For every pcs structure \( P \) and for every set \( a \) and for all elements \( p, q \) of \( P_a \) such that \( p = a \) holds \( p \sim q \) and \( q \sim p \).

(28) Let \( P \) be a pcs structure, \( a \) be a set, \( p, q \) be elements of \( P \), and \( p_1, q_1 \) be elements of \( P_a \). If \( p = p_1 \) and \( q = q_1 \) and \( p \sim q \), then \( p_1 \sim q_1 \).
Let $P$ be a pcs structure, $a$ be a set, $p, q$ be elements of $P$, and $p_1, q_1$ be elements of $P_a$. If $p = p_1$ and $q = q_1$ and $p \neq a$ and $q \neq a$ and $p_1 \sim q_1$, then $p \sim q$.

Let $P$ be a reflexive pcs structure and let $a$ be a set. Observe that $P_a$ is reflexive.

The following proposition is true

(29) For every transitive pcs structure $P$ and for every set $a$ such that $a \notin$ the carrier of $P$ holds $P_a$ is transitive.

Let $P$ be a $\beta$-reflexive pcs structure and let $a$ be a set. One can verify that $P_a$ is $\beta$-reflexive.

Let $P$ be a $\beta$-symmetric pcs structure and let $a$ be a set. One can check that $P_a$ is $\beta$-symmetric.

Next we state two propositions:

(30) For every transitive pcs structure $P$ and for every set $a$ such that $a \notin$ the carrier of $P$ holds $P_a$ is compatible.

(31) For every compatible pcs structure $P$ and for every set $a$ such that $a = 2$ the carrier of $P$ holds $P_a$ is a pcs.

Let $P$ be a pcs structure. The functor $\llbracket P \rrbracket$ yields a strict pcs structure and is defined by the conditions (Def. 40).

(Def. 40)(i) The carrier of $\llbracket P \rrbracket$ = the carrier of $P$,

(ii) the internal relation of $\llbracket P \rrbracket$ = (the internal relation of $P$)$^c$,

(iii) the alternative relation of $\llbracket P \rrbracket$ = (the alternative relation of $P$)$^c$.

Let $P$ be a non empty pcs structure. One can check that $\llbracket P \rrbracket$ is non empty.

Next we state three propositions:

(32) Let $P$ be a pcs structure, $p, q$ be elements of $P$, and $p', q'$ be elements of $\llbracket P \rrbracket$. If $p = p'$ and $q = q'$, then $p \leq q$ iff $q' \leq p'$.

(33) Let $P$ be a pcs structure, $p, q$ be elements of $P$, and $p', q'$ be elements of $\llbracket P \rrbracket$. If $p = p'$ and $q = q'$, then if $p \sim q$, then $p' \sim q'$.

(34) Let $P$ be a pcs structure, $p, q$ be elements of $P$, and $p', q'$ be elements of $\llbracket P \rrbracket$. If $p = p'$ and $q = q'$, then if $p \sim q$, then if $p' \sim q'$, then $p \sim q$.

Let $P$ be a reflexive pcs structure. One can check that $\llbracket P \rrbracket$ is reflexive.

Let $P$ be a transitive pcs structure. Observe that $\llbracket P \rrbracket$ is transitive.

Let $P$ be a $\beta$-reflexive pcs structure. One can verify that $\llbracket P \rrbracket$ is $\beta$-irreflexive.

Let $P$ be a $\beta$-irreflexive pcs structure. One can check that $\llbracket P \rrbracket$ is $\beta$-reflexive.

Let $P$ be a $\beta$-symmetric pcs structure. One can verify that $\llbracket P \rrbracket$ is $\beta$-symmetric.

Let $P$ be a compatible pcs structure. Note that $\llbracket P \rrbracket$ is compatible.

Let $P, Q$ be pcs structures. The functor $P \otimes Q$ yielding a pcs structure is defined by the condition (Def. 41).
(Def. 41) \( P \otimes Q = \{ \langle i \rangle \text{ the carrier of } P, \text{ the carrier of } Q \}, (\text{the internal relation of } P) \times (\text{the internal relation of } Q), [\text{the alternative relation of } P, \text{ the alternative relation of } Q^\prime] \). 

Let \( P, Q \) be pcs structures. One can check that \( P \otimes Q \) is strict.

Let \( P, Q \) be non empty pcs structures. Note that \( P \otimes Q \) is non empty.

One can prove the following propositions:

(36) Let \( P, Q \) be pcs structures, \( p, q \) be elements of \( P \otimes Q \), \( p_1, p_2 \) be elements of \( P \), and \( q_1, q_2 \) be elements of \( Q \). If \( p = \{p_1, q_1\} \) and \( q = \{p_2, q_2\} \), then \( p \leq q \) iff \( p_1 \leq p_2 \) and \( q_1 \leq q_2 \).

(37) Let \( P, Q \) be pcs structures, \( p, q \) be elements of \( P \otimes Q \), \( p_1, p_2 \) be elements of \( P \), and \( q_1, q_2 \) be elements of \( Q \). If \( p = \{p_1, q_1\} \) and \( q = \{p_2, q_2\} \), then if \( p \sim q \), then \( p_1 \sim p_2 \) or \( q_1 \sim q_2 \).

(38) Let \( P, Q \) be non empty pcs structures, \( p, q \) be elements of \( P \otimes Q \), \( p_1, p_2 \) be elements of \( P \), and \( q_1, q_2 \) be elements of \( Q \). If \( p = \{p_1, q_1\} \) and \( q = \{p_2, q_2\} \), then if \( p_1 \sim p_2 \) or \( q_1 \sim q_2 \), then \( p \sim q \).

Let \( P, Q \) be reflexive pcs structures. Observe that \( P \otimes Q \) is reflexive.

Let \( P, Q \) be transitive pcs structures. One can check that \( P \otimes Q \) is transitive.

Let \( P \) be a pcs structure and let \( Q \) be a \( \beta \)-reflexive pcs structure. One can check that \( P \otimes Q \) is \( \beta \)-reflexive.

Let \( P \) be a \( \beta \)-reflexive pcs structure and let \( Q \) be a pcs structure. One can check that \( P \otimes Q \) is \( \beta \)-reflexive.

Let \( P, Q \) be \( \beta \)-symmetric pcs structures. One can verify that \( P \otimes Q \) is \( \beta \)-symmetric.

Let \( P, Q \) be compatible pcs structures. Observe that \( P \otimes Q \) is compatible.

Let \( P, Q \) be pcs structures. The functor \( P \longrightarrow Q \) yielding a pcs structure is defined as follows:

(Def. 42) \( P \longrightarrow Q = \{ P \otimes Q \}. \)

Let \( P, Q \) be pcs structures. One can check that \( P \longrightarrow Q \) is strict.

Let \( P, Q \) be non empty pcs structures. Note that \( P \longrightarrow Q \) is non empty.

Next we state three propositions:

(39) Let \( P, Q \) be pcs structures, \( p, q \) be elements of \( P \longrightarrow Q \), \( p_1, p_2 \) be elements of \( P \), and \( q_1, q_2 \) be elements of \( Q \). If \( p = \{p_1, q_1\} \) and \( q = \{p_2, q_2\} \), then \( p \leq q \) iff \( p_1 \leq p_2 \) and \( q_1 \leq q_2 \).

(40) Let \( P, Q \) be pcs structures, \( p, q \) be elements of \( P \longrightarrow Q \), \( p_1, p_2 \) be elements of \( P \), and \( q_1, q_2 \) be elements of \( Q \). If \( p = \{p_1, q_1\} \) and \( q = \{p_2, q_2\} \), then if \( p \sim q \), then \( p_1 \sim p_2 \) or \( q_1 \sim q_2 \).

(41) Let \( P, Q \) be non empty pcs structures, \( p, q \) be elements of \( P \longrightarrow Q \), \( p_1, p_2 \) be elements of \( P \), and \( q_1, q_2 \) be elements of \( Q \). If \( p = \{p_1, q_1\} \) and \( q = \{p_2, q_2\} \), then if \( p_1 \sim p_2 \) or \( q_1 \sim q_2 \), then \( p \sim q \).

Let \( P, Q \) be reflexive pcs structures. One can check that \( P \longrightarrow Q \) is reflexive.
Let $P, Q$ be transitive pcs structures. Observe that $P \rightarrow Q$ is transitive.

Let $P$ be a pcs structure and let $Q$ be a $\beta$-reflexive pcs structure. Note that $P \rightarrow Q$ is $\beta$-reflexive.

Let $P$ be a $\beta$-irreflexive pcs structure and let $Q$ be a pcs structure. One can verify that $P \rightarrow Q$ is $\beta$-reflexive.

Let $P, Q$ be $\beta$-symmetric pcs structures. Note that $P \rightarrow Q$ is $\beta$-symmetric.

Let $P, Q$ be compatible pcs structures. Note that $P \rightarrow Q$ is compatible.

Let $P, Q$ be pcs’s. Note that $P \rightarrow Q$ is pcs-like.

Let $P$ be a pcs structure and let $S$ be a subset of $P$. We say that $S$ is self-coherent if and only if:

(Def. 43) For all elements $x, y$ of $P$ such that $x \in S$ and $y \in S$ holds $x \sim y$.

Let $P$ be a pcs structure. Observe that every subset of $P$ which is empty is also self-coherent.

Let $P$ be a pcs structure. One can check that there exists a subset of $P$ which is empty.

Let $P$ be a pcs structure and let $F$ be a family of subsets of $P$. We say that $F$ is self-coherent-membered if and only if:

(Def. 44) For every subset $S$ of $P$ such that $S \in F$ holds $S$ is self-coherent.

Let $P$ be a pcs structure. Observe that there exists a family of subsets of $P$ which is non empty and self-coherent-membered.

Let $P$ be a pcs structure and let $D$ be a set. The functor $\mathcal{P}_{IR}(P, D)$ yields a binary relation on $D$ and is defined by the condition (Def. 45).

(Def. 45) Let $A, B$ be sets. Then $\langle A, B \rangle \in \mathcal{P}_{IR}(P, D)$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) $A \in D$,
(ii) $B \in D$, and
(iii) for every set $a$ such that $a \in A$ there exists a set $b$ such that $b \in B$ and $\langle a, b \rangle \in$ the internal relation of $P$.

The functor $\mathcal{P}_{TR}(P, D)$ yielding a binary relation on $D$ is defined by the condition (Def. 46).

(Def. 46) Let $A, B$ be sets. Then $\langle A, B \rangle \in \mathcal{P}_{TR}(P, D)$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) $A \in D$,
(ii) $B \in D$, and
(iii) for all sets $a, b$ such that $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ holds $\langle a, b \rangle \in$ the alternative relation of $P$.

Next we state two propositions:

(42) Let $P$ be a pcs structure, $D$ be a family of subsets of $P$, and $A, B$ be sets. Then $\langle A, B \rangle \in \mathcal{P}_{IR}(P, D)$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) \( A \in D \),
(ii) \( B \in D \), and
(iii) for every element \( a \) of \( P \) such that \( a \in A \) there exists an element \( b \) of \( P \) such that \( b \in B \) and \( a \leq b \).

(43) Let \( P \) be a pcs structure, \( D \) be a family of subsets of \( P \), and \( A, B \) be sets. Then \( (A, B) \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{TR}}(P, D) \) if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) \( A \in D \),
(ii) \( B \in D \), and
(iii) for all elements \( a, b \) of \( P \) such that \( a \in A \) and \( b \in B \) holds \( a \sim b \).

Let \( P \) be a pcs structure and let \( D \) be a set. The functor \( \mathcal{P}(P, D) \) yielding a pcs structure is defined by:

(Def. 47) \( \mathcal{P}(P, D) = (D, \mathcal{P}_{\text{IR}}(P, D), \mathcal{P}_{\text{TR}}(P, D)) \).

Let \( P \) be a pcs structure and let \( D \) be a family of subsets of \( P \). We introduce \( \mathcal{P}(D) \) as a synonym of \( \mathcal{P}(P, D) \).

Let \( P \) be a pcs structure and let \( D \) be a non empty set. Observe that \( \mathcal{P}(P, D) \) is non empty.

Next we state four propositions:

(44) Let \( P \) be a pcs structure, \( D \) be a set, and \( p, q \) be elements of \( \mathcal{P}(P, D) \). Suppose \( p \leq q \). Let \( p' \) be an element of \( P \). If \( p' \in p \), then there exists an element \( q' \) of \( P \) such that \( q' \in q \) and \( p' \leq q' \).

(45) Let \( P \) be a pcs structure, \( D \) be a non empty family of subsets of \( P \), and \( p, q \) be elements of \( \mathcal{P}(D) \). Suppose that for every element \( p' \) of \( P \) such that \( p' \in p \) there exists an element \( q' \) of \( P \) such that \( q' \in q \) and \( p' \leq q' \). Then \( p \leq q \).

(46) Let \( P \) be a pcs structure, \( D \) be a set, and \( p, q \) be elements of \( \mathcal{P}(P, D) \). Suppose \( p \sim q \). Let \( p', q' \) be elements of \( P \). If \( p' \in p \) and \( q' \in q \), then \( p' \sim q' \).

(47) Let \( P \) be a pcs structure, \( D \) be a non empty family of subsets of \( P \), and \( p, q \) be elements of \( \mathcal{P}(D) \). Suppose that for all elements \( p', q' \) of \( P \) such that \( p' \in p \) and \( q' \in q \) holds \( p' \sim q' \). Then \( p \sim q \).

Let \( P \) be a pcs structure and let \( D \) be a set. One can check that \( \mathcal{P}(P, D) \) is strict.

Let \( P \) be a reflexive pcs structure and let \( D \) be a family of subsets of \( P \). Note that \( \mathcal{P}(D) \) is reflexive.

Let \( P \) be a transitive pcs structure and let \( D \) be a set. One can check that \( \mathcal{P}(P, D) \) is transitive.

Let \( P \) be a \( \beta \)-reflexive pcs structure and let \( D \) be a self-coherent-membered family of subsets of \( P \). One can check that \( \mathcal{P}(D) \) is \( \beta \)-reflexive.
Let $P$ be a $\beta$-symmetric pcs structure and let $D$ be a family of subsets of $P$. Observe that $\mathcal{P}(D)$ is $\beta$-symmetric.

Let $P$ be a compatible pcs structure and let $D$ be a family of subsets of $P$. Note that $\mathcal{P}(D)$ is compatible.

Let $P$ be a pcs structure. The functor pcs-coherent-power $P$ yields a set and is defined as follows:

(Def. 48) $\text{pcs-coherent-power } P = \{X; X \text{ ranges over subsets of } P; \; X \text{ is self-coherent}\}.$

We now state the proposition

(48) For every pcs structure $P$ and for every set $X$ such that $X \in \text{pcs-coherent-power } P$ holds $X$ is a self-coherent subset of $P$.

Let $P$ be a pcs structure. Note that pcs-coherent-power $P$ is non empty.

Let $P$ be a pcs structure. Then pcs-coherent-power $P$ is a family of subsets of $P$.

Let $P$ be a pcs structure. Observe that pcs-coherent-power $P$ is self-coherent-membered.

Let $P$ be a pcs structure. The functor $\mathcal{P}(P)$ yielding a pcs structure is defined by:

(Def. 49) $\mathcal{P}(P) = \mathcal{P}(\text{pcs-coherent-power } P)$.

Let $P$ be a pcs structure. Note that $\mathcal{P}(P)$ is strict.

Let $P$ be a pcs structure. Note that $\mathcal{P}(P)$ is non empty.

Let $P$ be a reflexive pcs structure. One can verify that $\mathcal{P}(P)$ is reflexive.

Let $P$ be a transitive pcs structure. One can check that $\mathcal{P}(P)$ is transitive.

Let $P$ be a $\beta$-reflexive pcs structure. Note that $\mathcal{P}(P)$ is $\beta$-reflexive.

Let $P$ be a $\beta$-symmetric pcs structure. Note that $\mathcal{P}(P)$ is $\beta$-symmetric.

Let $P$ be a compatible pcs structure. Note that $\mathcal{P}(P)$ is compatible.

Let $P$ be a pcs. Observe that $\mathcal{P}(P)$ is pcs-like.
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We adopt the following convention: \( n, m, k \) are elements of \( \mathbb{N} \), \( X \) is a non empty subset of \( \mathbb{R} \), and \( Y \) is a non empty subset of \( \mathbb{R} \).

Next we state four propositions:

1. If \( X = Y \) and \( Y \) is upper bounded, then \( X \) is upper bounded and \( \sup X = \sup Y \).
2. If \( X = Y \) and \( X \) is upper bounded, then \( Y \) is upper bounded and \( \sup X = \sup Y \).
3. If \( X = Y \) and \( Y \) is lower bounded, then \( X \) is lower bounded and \( \inf X = \inf Y \).
4. If \( X = Y \) and \( X \) is lower bounded, then \( Y \) is lower bounded and \( \inf X = \inf Y \).
Let $s_1$ be a sequence of extended reals. The functor $\sup s_1$ yields an element of $\mathbb{R}$ and is defined by:

(Def. 1) $\sup s_1 = \sup \text{rng } s_1$.

The functor $\inf s_1$ yields an element of $\mathbb{R}$ and is defined as follows:

(Def. 2) $\inf s_1 = \inf \text{rng } s_1$.

Let $s_1$ be a sequence of extended reals. We say that $s_1$ is lower bounded if and only if:

(Def. 3) $\text{rng } s_1$ is lower bounded.

We say that $s_1$ is upper bounded if and only if:

(Def. 4) $\text{rng } s_1$ is upper bounded.

Let $s_1$ be a sequence of extended reals. We say that $s_1$ is bounded if and only if:

(Def. 5) $s_1$ is upper bounded and lower bounded.

In the sequel $s_1$ is a sequence of extended reals.

One can prove the following proposition

(5) For all $s_1$, $n$ holds \{ $s_1(k); k$ ranges over elements of $\mathbb{N}$: $n \leq k$ \} is a non empty subset of $\mathbb{R}$.

Let $s_1$ be a sequence of extended reals. The inferior real sequence $s_1$ yields a sequence of extended reals and is defined by the condition (Def. 6).

(Def. 6) Let $n$ be an element of $\mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a non empty subset $Y$ of $\mathbb{R}$ such that $Y = \{ s_1(k); k$ ranges over elements of $\mathbb{N}$: $n \leq k$ \} and (the inferior real sequence $s_1$)($n$) = $\inf Y$.

Let $s_1$ be a sequence of extended reals. The superior real sequence $s_1$ yields a sequence of extended reals and is defined by the condition (Def. 7).

(Def. 7) Let $n$ be an element of $\mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a non empty subset $Y$ of $\mathbb{R}$ such that $Y = \{ s_1(k); k$ ranges over elements of $\mathbb{N}$: $n \leq k$ \} and (the superior real sequence $s_1$)($n$) = $\sup Y$.

We now state the proposition

(6) If $s_1$ is finite, then $s_1$ is a sequence of real numbers.

Let $f$ be a partial function from $\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{R}$. We say that $f$ is increasing if and only if:

(Def. 8) For all $m, n$ such that $m \in \text{dom } f$ and $n \in \text{dom } f$ and $m < n$ holds $f(m) < f(n)$.

We say that $f$ is decreasing if and only if:

(Def. 9) For all $m, n$ such that $m \in \text{dom } f$ and $n \in \text{dom } f$ and $m < n$ holds $f(m) > f(n)$.

We say that $f$ is non-decreasing if and only if:
(Def. 10) For all \( m, n \) such that \( m \in \text{dom} \, f \) and \( n \in \text{dom} \, f \) and \( m \leq n \) holds \( f(m) \leq f(n) \).

We say that \( f \) is non-increasing if and only if:

(Def. 11) For all \( m, n \) such that \( m \in \text{dom} \, f \) and \( n \in \text{dom} \, f \) and \( m \leq n \) holds \( f(m) \geq f(n) \).

One can prove the following two propositions:

(7) (i) \( s_1 \) is increasing iff for all elements \( n, m \) of \( \mathbb{N} \) such that \( m < n \) holds \( s_1(m) < s_1(n) \),

(ii) \( s_1 \) is decreasing iff for all elements \( n, m \) of \( \mathbb{N} \) such that \( m < n \) holds \( s_1(n) < s_1(m) \),

(iii) \( s_1 \) is non-decreasing iff for all elements \( n, m \) of \( \mathbb{N} \) such that \( m \leq n \) holds \( s_1(m) \leq s_1(n) \), and

(iv) \( s_1 \) is non-increasing iff for all elements \( n, m \) of \( \mathbb{N} \) such that \( m \leq n \) holds \( s_1(n) \leq s_1(m) \).

(8) (The inferior realsequence \( s_1 \))\((n) \leq s_1(n) \) and \( s_1(n) \leq (\text{the superior realsequence } s_1)(n) \).

Let us consider \( s_1 \). Observe that the superior realsequence \( s_1 \) is non-increasing and the inferior realsequence \( s_1 \) is non-decreasing.

Let \( s_1 \) be a sequence of extended reals. The functor \( \lim \sup s_1 \) yields an element of \( \overline{\mathbb{R}} \) and is defined by:

(Def. 12) \( \lim \sup s_1 = \inf (\text{the superior realsequence } s_1) \).

The functor \( \lim \inf s_1 \) yields an element of \( \overline{\mathbb{R}} \) and is defined by:

(Def. 13) \( \lim \inf s_1 = \sup (\text{the inferior realsequence } s_1) \).

In the sequel \( r_1 \) is a sequence of real numbers.

The following propositions are true:

(9) If \( s_1 = r_1 \) and \( r_1 \) is bounded, then the superior realsequence \( s_1 \) is the superior realsequence \( r_1 \) and \( \lim \sup s_1 = \lim \sup r_1 \).

(10) If \( s_1 = r_1 \) and \( r_1 \) is bounded, then the inferior realsequence \( s_1 \) is the inferior realsequence \( r_1 \) and \( \lim \inf s_1 = \lim \inf r_1 \).

(11) If \( s_1 \) is bounded, then \( s_1 \) is a sequence of real numbers.

(12) If \( s_1 = r_1 \), then \( s_1 \) is upper bounded if \( r_1 \) is upper bounded.

(13) If \( s_1 = r_1 \), then \( s_1 \) is lower bounded if \( r_1 \) is lower bounded.

(14) If \( s_1 = r_1 \) and \( r_1 \) is convergent, then \( s_1 \) is convergent to finite number and convergent and \( \lim s_1 = \lim r_1 \).

(15) If \( s_1 = r_1 \) and \( s_1 \) is convergent to finite number, then \( r_1 \) is convergent and \( \lim s_1 = \lim r_1 \).

(16) If \( s_1 \uparrow k \) is convergent to finite number, then \( s_1 \) is convergent to finite number and convergent and \( \lim s_1 = \lim (s_1 \uparrow k) \).

(17) If \( s_1 \uparrow k \) is convergent, then \( s_1 \) is convergent and \( \lim s_1 = \lim (s_1 \uparrow k) \).
(18) If $\limsup s_1 = \liminf s_1$ and $\liminf s_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, then there exists $k$ such that $s_1 \uparrow k$ is bounded.

(19) If $s_1$ is convergent to finite number, then there exists $k$ such that $s_1 \uparrow k$ is bounded.

(20) Suppose $s_1$ is convergent to finite number. Then $s_1 \uparrow k$ is convergent to finite number and $s_1 \uparrow k$ is convergent and $\lim s_1 = \lim(s_1 \uparrow k)$.

(21) If $s_1$ is convergent, then $s_1 \uparrow k$ is convergent and $\lim s_1 = \lim(s_1 \uparrow k)$.

(22) If $s_1$ is upper bounded, then $s_1 \uparrow k$ is upper bounded and if $s_1$ is lower bounded, then $s_1 \uparrow k$ is lower bounded.

(23) $\inf s_1 \leq s_1(n)$ and $s_1(n) \leq \sup s_1$.

(24) $\inf s_1 \leq \sup s_1$.

(25) If $s_1$ is non-increasing, then $s_1 \uparrow k$ is non-increasing and $\inf s_1 = \inf(s_1 \uparrow k)$.

(26) If $s_1$ is non-decreasing, then $s_1 \uparrow k$ is non-decreasing and $\sup s_1 = \sup(s_1 \uparrow k)$.

(27) (The superior realsequence $s_1)(n) = \sup(s_1 \uparrow n)$ and (the inferior realsequence $s_1)(n) = \inf(s_1 \uparrow n)$.

(28) Let $s_1$ be a sequence of extended reals and $j$ be an element of $\mathbb{N}$. Then the superior realsequence $s_1 \uparrow j = (\text{the superior realsequence } s_1) \uparrow j$ and $\lim \sup(s_1 \uparrow j) = \lim \sup s_1$.

(29) Let $s_1$ be a sequence of extended reals and $j$ be an element of $\mathbb{N}$. Then the inferior realsequence $s_1 \uparrow j = (\text{the inferior realsequence } s_1) \uparrow j$ and $\lim \inf(s_1 \uparrow j) = \lim \inf s_1$.

(30) Let $s_1$ be a sequence of extended reals and $k$ be an element of $\mathbb{N}$. Suppose $s_1$ is non-increasing and $-\infty < s_1(k) \leq +\infty$. Then $s_1 \uparrow k$ is upper bounded and $\sup(s_1 \uparrow k) = s_1(k)$.

(31) Let $s_1$ be a sequence of extended reals and $k$ be an element of $\mathbb{N}$. Suppose $s_1$ is non-decreasing and $-\infty < s_1(k) < +\infty$. Then $s_1 \uparrow k$ is lower bounded and $\inf(s_1 \uparrow k) = s_1(k)$.

(32) Let $s_1$ be a sequence of extended reals. Suppose that for every element $n$ of $\mathbb{N}$ holds $s_1(n) \leq s_1(n)$. Then $s_1$ is convergent to $+\infty$.

(33) Let $s_1$ be a sequence of extended reals. Suppose that for every element $n$ of $\mathbb{N}$ holds $s_1(n) \leq -\infty$. Then $s_1$ is convergent to $-\infty$.

(34) Let $s_1$ be a sequence of extended reals. Suppose $s_1$ is non-increasing and $-\infty = \inf s_1$. Then $s_1$ is convergent to $-\infty$ and $\lim s_1 = -\infty$.

(35) Let $s_1$ be a sequence of extended reals. Suppose $s_1$ is non-decreasing and $+\infty = \sup s_1$. Then $s_1$ is convergent to $+\infty$ and $\lim s_1 = +\infty$.

(36) For every sequence $s_1$ of extended reals such that $s_1$ is non-increasing holds $s_1$ is convergent and $\lim s_1 = \inf s_1$. 

(37) For every sequence $s_1$ of extended reals such that $s_1$ is non-decreasing holds $s_1$ is convergent and $\lim s_1 = \sup s_1$.

(38) Let $s_2$, $s_3$ be sequences of extended reals. Suppose $s_2$ is convergent and $s_3$ is convergent and for every element $n$ of $\mathbb{N}$ holds $s_2(n) \leq s_3(n)$. Then $\lim s_2 \leq \lim s_3$.

(39) For every sequence $s_1$ of extended reals holds $\liminf s_1 \leq \limsup s_1$.

(40) For every sequence $s_1$ of extended reals holds $s_1$ is convergent iff $\liminf s_1 = \limsup s_1$.

(41) For every sequence $s_1$ of extended reals such that $s_1$ is convergent holds $\lim s_1 = \liminf s_1$ and $\lim s_1 = \limsup s_1$.
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The articles [3] and [1] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. The Basics of General Theory of Commutative BCK-algebras

Let $I_1$ be a non empty BCI structure with 0. We say that $I_1$ is commutative if and only if:

(Def. 1) For all elements $x, y$ of $I_1$ holds $x \setminus (x \setminus y) = y \setminus (y \setminus x)$.

Let us observe that BCI-EXAMPLE is commutative.
Let us note that there exists a BCK-algebra which is commutative.
In the sequel $X$ denotes a BCK-algebra and $I_1$ denotes a non empty subset of $X$.

We now state a number of propositions:

(1) $X$ is a commutative BCK-algebra iff for all elements $x, y$ of $X$ holds $x \setminus (x \setminus y) \leq y \setminus (y \setminus x)$. 
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(2) For every BCK-algebra $X$ and for all elements $x, y$ of $X$ holds $x \setminus (x \setminus y) \leq y$ and $x \setminus (x \setminus y) \leq x$.

(3) $X$ is a commutative BCK-algebra iff for all elements $x, y$ of $X$ holds $x \setminus y = x \setminus (y \setminus (y \setminus x))$.

(4) $X$ is a commutative BCK-algebra iff for all elements $x, y$ of $X$ holds $x \setminus (x \setminus y) = y \setminus (x \setminus (x \setminus y))$.

(5) $X$ is a commutative BCK-algebra iff for all elements $x, y$ of $X$ such that $x \leq y$ holds $x = y \setminus (y \setminus x)$.

(6) Let $X$ be a non empty BCI structure with 0. Then $X$ is a commutative BCK-algebra if and only if for all elements $x, y, z$ of $X$ holds $x \setminus (0_X \setminus y) = x$ and $(x \setminus z) \setminus (x \setminus y) = y \setminus z \setminus (y \setminus x)$.

(7) If $X$ is a commutative BCK-algebra, then for all elements $x, y$ of $X$ such that $x \setminus y = x$ holds $y \setminus x = y$.

(8) If $X$ is a commutative BCK-algebra, then for all elements $x, y, a$ of $X$ such that $y \leq a$ holds $a \setminus x \setminus (a \setminus y) = y \setminus x$.

(9) If $X$ is a commutative BCK-algebra, then for all elements $x, y$ of $X$ holds $x \setminus y = x$ iff $y \setminus (y \setminus x) = 0_X$.

(10) If $X$ is a commutative BCK-algebra, then for all elements $x, y$ of $X$ holds $x \setminus (y \setminus (y \setminus x)) = x \setminus y$ and $x \setminus y \setminus (x \setminus y \setminus x) = x \setminus y$.

(11) Suppose $X$ is a commutative BCK-algebra. Let $x, y, a$ be elements of $X$. If $x \leq a$, then $(a \setminus y) \setminus (a \setminus y \setminus (a \setminus x)) = a \setminus y \setminus (x \setminus y)$.

Let $X$ be a BCI-algebra and let $a$ be an element of $X$. We say that $a$ is greatest if and only if:

(Def. 2) For every element $x$ of $X$ holds $x \setminus a = 0_X$.

We say that $a$ is positive if and only if:

(Def. 3) $0_X \setminus a = 0_X$.

2. The Basics of General Theory of Commutative BCI-algebras

Let $I_1$ be a BCI-algebra. We say that $I_1$ is BCI-commutative if and only if:

(Def. 4) For all elements $x, y$ of $I_1$ such that $x \setminus y = 0_{(I_1)}$ holds $x = y \setminus (y \setminus x)$.

We say that $I_1$ is BCI-weakly-commutative if and only if:

(Def. 5) For all elements $x, y$ of $I_1$ holds $(x \setminus (x \setminus y)) \setminus ((0_{(I_1)} \setminus (x \setminus y)) = y \setminus (y \setminus x)$.

One can check that BCI-EXAMPLE is BCI-commutative and BCI-weakly-commutative.

Let us note that there exists a BCI-algebra which is BCI-commutative and BCI-weakly-commutative.

The following propositions are true:
(12) For every BCI-algebra $X$ such that there exists an element of $X$ which is greatest holds $X$ is a BCK-algebra.

(13) Let $X$ be a BCI-algebra. Suppose $X$ is $p$-semisimple. Then $X$ is BCI-commutative and BCI-weakly-commutative.

(14) Every commutative BCK-algebra is a BCI-commutative BCI-algebra and a BCI-weakly-commutative BCI-algebra.

(15) If $X$ is a BCI-weakly-commutative BCI-algebra, then $X$ is BCI-commutative.

(16) Let $X$ be a BCI-algebra. Then $X$ is BCI-commutative if and only if for all elements $x, y$ of $X$ holds $x \setminus (x \setminus y) = y \setminus (y \setminus (x \setminus y))$.

(17) Let $X$ be a BCI-algebra. Then $X$ is BCI-commutative if and only if for all elements $x, y$ of $X$ holds $(x \setminus (x \setminus y)) \setminus (y \setminus (y \setminus x)) = 0_X \setminus (x \setminus y)$.

(18) Let $X$ be a BCI-algebra. Then $X$ is BCI-commutative if and only if for every element $a$ of AtomSet $X$ and for all elements $x, y$ of BranchV $a$ holds $x \setminus (x \setminus y) = y \setminus (y \setminus x)$.

(19) Let $X$ be a non empty BCI structure with 0. Then $X$ is a BCI-commutative BCI-algebra if and only if for all elements $x, y, z$ of $X$ holds $x \setminus y \setminus (x \setminus z) \setminus (z \setminus y) = 0_X$ and $x \setminus 0_X = x$ and $x \setminus (x \setminus y) = y \setminus (y \setminus (x \setminus y))$.

(20) Let $X$ be a BCI-algebra. Then $X$ is BCI-commutative if and only if for all elements $x, y, z$ of $X$ such that $x \leq z$ and $z \setminus y \leq z \setminus x$ holds $x \leq y$.

(21) Let $X$ be a BCI-algebra. Then $X$ is BCI-commutative if and only if for all elements $x, y, z$ of $X$ such that $x \leq y$ and $x \leq z$ holds $x \leq y \setminus (y \setminus z)$.

3. Bounded BCK-algebras

Let $I_1$ be a BCK-algebra. We say that $I_1$ is bounded if and only if:

(Def. 6) There exists an element of $I_1$ which is greatest.

Let us note that BCI-EXAMPLE is bounded.

One can verify that there exists a BCK-algebra which is bounded and commutative.

Let $I_1$ be a bounded BCK-algebra. We say that $I_1$ is involutory if and only if:

(Def. 7) For every element $a$ of $I_1$ such that $a$ is greatest and for every element $x$ of $I_1$ holds $a \setminus (a \setminus x) = x$.

Next we state three propositions:

(22) Let $X$ be a bounded BCK-algebra. Then $X$ is involutory if and only if for every element $a$ of $X$ such that $a$ is greatest and for all elements $x, y$ of $X$ holds $x \setminus y = a \setminus y \setminus (a \setminus x)$. 


(23) Let \( X \) be a bounded BCK-algebra. Then \( X \) is involutory if and only if for every element \( a \) of \( X \) such that \( a \) is greatest and for all elements \( x, y \) of \( X \) holds \( x \setminus (a \setminus y) = y \setminus (a \setminus x) \).

(24) Let \( X \) be a bounded BCK-algebra. Then \( X \) is involutory if and only if for every element \( a \) of \( X \) such that \( a \) is greatest and for all elements \( x, y \) of \( X \) such that \( x \leq a \setminus y \) holds \( y \leq a \setminus x \).

Let \( I_1 \) be a BCK-algebra and let \( a \) be an element of \( I_1 \). We say that \( a \) is Iseki if and only if:

(Def. 8) For every element \( x \) of \( I_1 \) holds \( x \setminus a = 0(I_1) \) and \( a \setminus x = a \).

Let \( I_1 \) be a BCK-algebra. We say that \( I_1 \) is Iseki-extension if and only if:

(Def. 9) There exists an element of \( I_1 \) which is Iseki.

Let us observe that BCI-EXAMPLE is Iseki-extension.

Let \( X \) be a BCK-algebra. We say that \( I_1 \) is commutative-ideal of \( X \) if:

(Def. 10) \( 0 \) \( X \) \( \in \) \( I_1 \) and for all elements \( x, y, z \) of \( X \) such that \( x \setminus y \setminus z \in I_1 \) and \( z \in I_1 \) holds \( x \setminus (y \setminus (y \setminus x)) \in I_1 \).

The following three propositions are true:

(25) If \( I_1 \) is a commutative-ideal of \( X \), then for all elements \( x, y \) of \( X \) such that \( x \setminus y \in I_1 \) holds \( x \setminus (y \setminus (y \setminus x)) \in I_1 \).

(26) For every BCK-algebra \( X \) such that \( I_1 \) is a commutative-ideal of \( X \) holds \( I_1 \) is an ideal of \( X \).

(27) If \( I_1 \) is a commutative-ideal of \( X \), then for all elements \( x, y \) of \( X \) such that \( x \setminus (x \setminus y) \in I_1 \) holds \( y \setminus (y \setminus x) \setminus (x \setminus y) \in I_1 \).

4. Implicative and Positive-Implicative BCK-algebras

Let \( I_1 \) be a BCK-algebra. We say that \( I_1 \) is BCK-positive-implicative if and only if:

(Def. 11) For all elements \( x, y, z \) of \( I_1 \) holds \( (x \setminus y) \setminus z = x \setminus z \setminus (y \setminus z) \).

We say that \( I_1 \) is BCK-implicative if and only if:

(Def. 12) For all elements \( x, y \) of \( I_1 \) holds \( x \setminus (y \setminus x) = x \).

Let us observe that BCI-EXAMPLE is BCK-positive-implicative and BCK-implicative.

Let us mention that there exists a BCK-algebra which is Iseki-extension, BCK-positive-implicative, BCK-implicative, bounded, and commutative.

The following propositions are true:

(28) \( X \) is a BCK-positive-implicative BCK-algebra iff for all elements \( x, y \) of \( X \) holds \( x \setminus y = x \setminus y \setminus y \).
(29) \( X \) is a BCK-positive-implicative BCK-algebra if and only if for all elements \( x, y \) of \( X \) holds \((x \setminus (x \setminus y)) \setminus (y \setminus x) = x \setminus (y \setminus (y \setminus x))\).

(30) \( X \) is a BCK-positive-implicative BCK-algebra iff for all elements \( x, y \) of \( X \) holds \( x \setminus y = x \setminus y \setminus (x \setminus (y \setminus y)) \).

(31) \( X \) is a BCK-positive-implicative BCK-algebra if and only if for all elements \( x, y, z \) of \( X \) holds \( x \setminus z \setminus (y \setminus z) \leq (x \setminus y) \setminus z \).

(32) \( X \) is a BCK-positive-implicative BCK-algebra iff for all elements \( x, y \) of \( X \) holds \( x \setminus y \leq x \setminus y \).

(33) \( X \) is a BCK-positive-implicative BCK-algebra if and only if for all elements \( x, y \) of \( X \) holds \((x \setminus (x \setminus (y \setminus y))) \leq (x \setminus (x \setminus y)) \setminus (y \setminus x)\).

(34) \( X \) is a BCK-implicative BCK-algebra if and only if \( X \) is a commutative BCK-algebra and a BCK-positive-implicative BCK-algebra.

(35) \( X \) is a BCK-implicative BCK-algebra iff for all elements \( x, y \) of \( X \) holds \((x \setminus (x \setminus y)) \setminus (x \setminus y) = y \setminus (y \setminus x)\).

(36) Let \( X \) be a non empty BCI structure with \( 0 \). Then \( X \) is a BCK-implicative BCK-algebra if and only if for all elements \( x, y, z \) of \( X \) holds \( x \setminus (0 \setminus y) = x \) and \((x \setminus z) \setminus (x \setminus y) = y \setminus (y \setminus x) \setminus (x \setminus y)\).

(37) Let \( X \) be a bounded BCK-algebra and \( a \) be an element of \( X \). Suppose \( a \) is greatest. Then \( X \) is BCK-implicative if and only if \( X \) is involutory and BCK-positive-implicative.

(38) \( X \) is a BCK-implicative BCK-algebra iff for all elements \( x, y \) of \( X \) holds \((x \setminus (y \setminus x)) = 0_X \).

(39) \( X \) is a BCK-implicative BCK-algebra iff for all elements \( x, y \) of \( X \) holds \((x \setminus (x \setminus y)) \setminus (x \setminus y) = y \setminus (y \setminus (x \setminus y))\).

(40) \( X \) is a BCK-implicative BCK-algebra iff for all elements \( x, y, z \) of \( X \) holds \((x \setminus z) \setminus (x \setminus y) = y \setminus (y \setminus x) \setminus (y \setminus z)\).

(41) \( X \) is a BCK-implicative BCK-algebra iff for all elements \( x, y, z \) of \( X \) holds \((x \setminus (y \setminus z)) = (y \setminus z) \setminus (y \setminus z) \setminus (x \setminus z)\).

(42) \( X \) is a BCK-implicative BCK-algebra iff for all elements \( x, y \) of \( X \) holds \((x \setminus (y \setminus y)) \setminus (x \setminus y)\).

(43) Let \( X \) be a bounded commutative BCK-algebra and \( a \) be an element of \( X \). Suppose \( a \) is greatest. Then \( X \) is BCK-implicative if and only if for every element \( x \) of \( X \) holds \( a \setminus x \setminus x \setminus (a \setminus x) = 0_X \).

(44) Let \( X \) be a bounded commutative BCK-algebra and \( a \) be an element of \( X \). Suppose \( a \) is greatest. Then \( X \) is BCK-implicative if and only if for every element \( x \) of \( X \) holds \( x \setminus (a \setminus x) = x \).

(45) Let \( X \) be a bounded commutative BCK-algebra and \( a \) be an element of \( X \). Suppose \( a \) is greatest. Then \( X \) is BCK-implicative if and only if for every element \( x \) of \( X \) holds \( a \setminus x \setminus x = a \setminus x \).
Let $X$ be a bounded commutative BCK-algebra and $a$ be an element of $X$. Suppose $a$ is greatest. Then $X$ is BCK-implicative if and only if for all elements $x, y$ of $X$ holds $a \setminus y \setminus (a \setminus y \setminus x) = x \setminus y$.

Let $X$ be a bounded commutative BCK-algebra and $a$ be an element of $X$. Suppose $a$ is greatest. Then $X$ is BCK-implicative if and only if for all elements $x, y$ of $X$ holds $y \setminus (y \setminus x) = x \setminus (a \setminus y)$.

Let $X$ be a bounded commutative BCK-algebra and $a$ be an element of $X$. Suppose $a$ is greatest. Then $X$ is BCK-implicative if and only if for all elements $x, y, z$ of $X$ holds $(x \setminus (y \setminus z)) \setminus (x \setminus y) \leq x \setminus (a \setminus z)$.
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The papers [11], [13], [1], [15], [2], [8], [9], [16], [5], [12], [10], [4], [6], [7], and [14] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

In this paper $x$ denotes a real number and $Z$ denotes an open subset of $\mathbb{R}$. One can prove the following propositions:

1. Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function tan}) \cdot (\text{the function cot})).$ Then
   
   (i) (the function tan) \cdot (the function cot) is differentiable on $Z$, and
   
   (ii) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds $(\text{((the function tan) \cdot (the function cot))'})_{Z}(x) = \frac{1}{(\text{the function cos})(\text{the function cot}(x))^{2} - (\text{the function sin})(x)^{2}}.

2. Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function tan}) \cdot (\text{the function cot})).$ Then
   
   (i) (the function tan) \cdot (the function cot) is differentiable on $Z$, and
   
   (ii) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds $(\text{((the function tan) \cdot (the function cot))'})_{Z}(x) = \frac{1}{(\text{the function cos})(\text{the function tan}(x))^{2} \cdot (\text{the function cos})(x)^{2}}.

3. Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function cot}) \cdot (\text{the function cot})).$ Then
   
   (i) (the function cot) \cdot (the function cot) is differentiable on $Z$, and
   
   (ii) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds $(\text{((the function cot) \cdot (the function cot))'})_{Z}(x) = \frac{1}{(\text{the function sin})(\text{the function cot}(x))^{2} \cdot (\text{the function sin})(x)^{2}}.

4. Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function cot}) \cdot (\text{the function tan})).$ Then
   
   (i) (the function cot) \cdot (the function tan) is differentiable on $Z$, and
(ii) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds $((\text{the function cot}) \cdot (\text{the function tan}))'_{Z}(x) = \left(-\frac{1}{(\text{the function sin})(\text{the function tan})(x)^2}\right) \cdot (\text{the function cos})(x)^2$.

(5) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function tan}) - (\text{the function cot}))$. Then

(i) $(\text{the function tan}) - (\text{the function cot})$ is differentiable on $Z$, and

(ii) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds $((\text{the function tan}) - (\text{the function cot}))'_{Z}(x) = \frac{1}{(\text{the function cos})(x)^2} \cdot (\text{the function sin})(x)^2$.

(6) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function tan}) + (\text{the function cot}))$. Then

(i) $(\text{the function tan}) + (\text{the function cot})$ is differentiable on $Z$, and

(ii) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds $((\text{the function tan}) + (\text{the function cot}))'_{Z}(x) = \frac{1}{(\text{the function cos})(x)^2} - \frac{1}{(\text{the function sin})(x)^2}$.

(7)(i) $(\text{The function sin}) \cdot (\text{the function sin})$ is differentiable on $Z$, and

(ii) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds $((\text{the function sin}) \cdot (\text{the function sin}))'_{Z}(x) = (\text{the function cos})(\text{the function sin})(x) \cdot (\text{the function sin})(x)$.

(8)(i) $(\text{The function sin}) \cdot (\text{the function cos})$ is differentiable on $Z$, and

(ii) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds $((\text{the function sin}) \cdot (\text{the function cos}))'_{Z}(x) = -(\text{the function cos})(\text{the function cos})(x) \cdot (\text{the function sin})(x)$.

(9)(i) $(\text{The function cos}) \cdot (\text{the function sin})$ is differentiable on $Z$, and

(ii) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds $((\text{the function cos}) \cdot (\text{the function sin}))'_{Z}(x) = (\text{the function sin})(\text{the function cos})(x) \cdot (\text{the function sin})(x)$.

(10)(i) $(\text{The function cos}) \cdot (\text{the function cos})$ is differentiable on $Z$, and

(ii) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds $((\text{the function cos}) \cdot (\text{the function cos}))'_{Z}(x) = (\text{the function sin})(\text{the function cos})(x) \cdot (\text{the function cos})(x)$.

(11) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function cos}) \cdot (\text{the function cot}))$. Then

(i) $(\text{the function cos}) \cdot (\text{the function cot})$ is differentiable on $Z$, and

(ii) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds $((\text{the function cos}) \cdot (\text{the function cot}))'_{Z}(x) = -(\text{the function cos})(x) \cdot \frac{1}{(\text{the function sin})(x)^2}$.

(12) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function sin}) \cdot (\text{the function tan}))$. Then

(i) $(\text{the function sin}) \cdot (\text{the function tan})$ is differentiable on $Z$, and

(ii) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds $((\text{the function sin}) \cdot (\text{the function tan}))'_{Z}(x) = (\text{the function sin})(x) \cdot \frac{1}{(\text{the function cos})(x)^2}$.

(13) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function sin}) \cdot (\text{the function cot}))$. Then

(i) $(\text{the function sin}) \cdot (\text{the function cot})$ is differentiable on $Z$, and

(ii) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds $((\text{the function sin}) \cdot (\text{the function cot}))'_{Z}(x) = (\text{the function cos})(x) \cdot (\text{the function cot})(x) - \frac{1}{(\text{the function sin})(x)^2}$.
(14) Suppose \( Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function cos})(\text{the function tan})). \) Then
(i) \((\text{the function cos})(\text{the function tan})\) is differentiable on \( Z, \) and
(ii) for every \( x \) such that \( x \in Z \) holds \((\text{the function cos})(\text{the function tan}))'(x) = -\frac{\text{the function sin}(x)^2}{\text{the function cos}(x)} + \frac{1}{\text{the function cos}(x)}.

(15) Suppose \( Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function sin})(\text{the function cos})). \) Then
(i) \((\text{the function sin})(\text{the function cos})\) is differentiable on \( Z, \) and
(ii) for every \( x \) such that \( x \in Z \) holds \((\text{the function sin})(\text{the function cos}))'(x) = (\text{the function cos}(x))^2 - (\text{the function sin}(x))^2.

(16) Suppose \( Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function ln})(\text{the function sin})). \) Then
(i) \((\text{the function ln})(\text{the function sin})\) is differentiable on \( Z, \) and
(ii) for every \( x \) such that \( x \in Z \) holds \((\text{the function ln})(\text{the function sin}))'(x) = \frac{\text{the function sin}(x)}{x} + (\text{the function ln})(x) \cdot (\text{the function cos})(x).

(17) Suppose \( Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function ln})(\text{the function cos})). \) Then
(i) \((\text{the function ln})(\text{the function cos})\) is differentiable on \( Z, \) and
(ii) for every \( x \) such that \( x \in Z \) holds \((\text{the function ln})(\text{the function cos}))'(x) = \frac{\text{the function cos}(x)}{x} - (\text{the function ln})(x) \cdot (\text{the function sin})(x).

(18) Suppose \( Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function ln})(\text{the function exp})). \) Then
(i) \((\text{the function ln})(\text{the function exp})\) is differentiable on \( Z, \) and
(ii) for every \( x \) such that \( x \in Z \) holds \((\text{the function ln})(\text{the function exp}))'(x) = \frac{\text{the function exp}(x)}{x} + (\text{the function ln})(x) \cdot (\text{the function exp})(x).

(19) Suppose \( Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function ln})(\text{the function ln})) \) and for every \( x \) such that \( x \in Z \) holds \( x > 0. \) Then
(i) \((\text{the function ln})(\text{the function ln})\) is differentiable on \( Z, \) and
(ii) for every \( x \) such that \( x \in Z \) holds \((\text{the function ln})(\text{the function ln}))'(x) = \frac{1}{(\text{the function ln})(x)^2 x}.

(20) Suppose \( Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function exp})(\text{the function exp})). \) Then
(i) \((\text{the function exp})(\text{the function exp})\) is differentiable on \( Z, \) and
(ii) for every \( x \) such that \( x \in Z \) holds \((\text{the function exp})(\text{the function exp}))'(x) = (\text{the function exp})(\text{the function exp})(x) \cdot (\text{the function exp})(x).

(21) Suppose \( Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function sin})(\text{the function tan})). \) Then
(i) \((\text{the function sin})(\text{the function tan})\) is differentiable on \( Z, \) and
(ii) for every \( x \) such that \( x \in Z \) holds \((\text{the function sin})(\text{the function tan}))'(x) = \frac{\cos(\text{the function tan})(x)}{\text{the function cos}(x)^2}.

(22) Suppose \( Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function sin})(\text{the function cot})). \) Then
(i) \((\text{the function sin})(\text{the function cot})\) is differentiable on \( Z, \) and
(ii) for every \( x \) such that \( x \in Z \) holds \((\text{the function sin})(\text{the function cot}))'(x) = -\frac{\cos(\text{the function cot})(x)}{(\text{the function sin})(x)^2}.
(23) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function } \cos) \cdot (\text{the function } \tan))$. Then
(i) $(\text{the function } \cos) \cdot (\text{the function } \tan)$ is differentiable on $Z$, and
(ii) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds $((\text{the function } \cos) \cdot (\text{the function } \tan))'_{Z}(x) = -\frac{\sin(\text{the function } \tan)(x)}{(\text{the function } \cos)(x)^2}$.

(24) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function } \cos) \cdot (\text{the function } \cot))$. Then
(i) $(\text{the function } \cos) \cdot (\text{the function } \cot)$ is differentiable on $Z$, and
(ii) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds $((\text{the function } \cos) \cdot (\text{the function } \cot))'_{Z}(x) = \frac{\sin(\text{the function } \cot)(x)}{(\text{the function } \sin)(x)^2}$.

(25) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function } \sin) ((\text{the function } \tan)+(\text{the function } \cot)))$. Then
(i) $(\text{the function } \sin) ((\text{the function } \tan)+(\text{the function } \cot))$ is differentiable on $Z$, and
(ii) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds $((\text{the function } \sin) ((\text{the function } \tan)+(\text{the function } \cot)))'_{Z}(x) = \frac{1}{(\text{the function } \cos)(x)^2} - \frac{1}{(\text{the function } \sin)(x)^2}$.

(26) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function } \cos) ((\text{the function } \tan)+(\text{the function } \cot)))$. Then
(i) $(\text{the function } \cos) ((\text{the function } \tan)+(\text{the function } \cot))$ is differentiable on $Z$, and
(ii) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds $((\text{the function } \cos) ((\text{the function } \tan)+(\text{the function } \cot)))'_{Z}(x) = -\frac{\sin(\text{the function } \tan)(x)}{(\text{the function } \cos)(x)^2} - \frac{1}{(\text{the function } \sin)(x)^2}$.

(27) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function } \sin) ((\text{the function } \tan)-(\text{the function } \cot)))$. Then
(i) $(\text{the function } \sin) ((\text{the function } \tan)-(\text{the function } \cot))$ is differentiable on $Z$, and
(ii) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds $((\text{the function } \sin) ((\text{the function } \tan)-(\text{the function } \cot)))'_{Z}(x) = -\frac{\sin(\text{the function } \tan)(x)}{(\text{the function } \cos)(x)^2} + \frac{1}{(\text{the function } \sin)(x)^2}$.

(28) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function } \cos) ((\text{the function } \tan)-(\text{the function } \cot)))$. Then
(i) $(\text{the function } \cos) ((\text{the function } \tan)-(\text{the function } \cot))$ is differentiable on $Z$, and
(ii) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds $((\text{the function } \cos) ((\text{the function } \tan)-(\text{the function } \cot)))'_{Z}(x) = -\frac{\sin(\text{the function } \tan)(x)}{(\text{the function } \cos)(x)^2} + \frac{1}{(\text{the function } \sin)(x)^2}$.
(29) Suppose \( Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function exp}) \ (\text{the function tan})+(\text{the function cot})). \) Then

(i) \((\text{the function exp}) \ (\text{the function tan})+(\text{the function cot}))\) is differentiable on \( Z \), and

(ii) for every \( x \) such that \( x \in Z \) holds \(((\text{the function exp}) \ (\text{the function tan})+(\text{the function cot})))'_{Z}(x) = (\text{the function exp})(x) \cdot ((\text{the function tan})(x) + (\text{the function cot})(x)) + (\text{the function exp})(x) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{(\text{the function cos})(x)^2} - \frac{1}{(\text{the function sin})(x)^2}\right)\).

(30) Suppose \( Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function exp}) \ (\text{the function tan})-(\text{the function cot})). \) Then

(i) \((\text{the function exp}) \ (\text{the function tan})-(\text{the function cot}))\) is differentiable on \( Z \), and

(ii) for every \( x \) such that \( x \in Z \) holds \(((\text{the function exp}) \ (\text{the function tan})-(\text{the function cot})))'_{Z}(x) = (\text{the function exp})(x) \cdot ((\text{the function tan})(x) - (\text{the function cot})(x)) + (\text{the function exp})(x) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{(\text{the function cos})(x)^2} + \frac{1}{(\text{the function sin})(x)^2}\right)\).

(31) Suppose \( Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function sin}) \ (\text{the function sin})+(\text{the function cos})). \) Then

(i) \((\text{the function sin}) \ (\text{the function sin})+(\text{the function cos}))\) is differentiable on \( Z \), and

(ii) for every \( x \) such that \( x \in Z \) holds \(((\text{the function sin}) \ (\text{the function sin})+(\text{the function cos})))'_{Z}(x) = ((\text{the function cos})(x)^2 + 2 \cdot (\text{the function sin})(x)) \cdot (\text{the function sin})(x) - (\text{the function cos})(x)^2\).

(32) Suppose \( Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function sin}) \ (\text{the function sin})-(\text{the function cos})). \) Then

(i) \((\text{the function sin}) \ (\text{the function sin})-(\text{the function cos}))\) is differentiable on \( Z \), and

(ii) for every \( x \) such that \( x \in Z \) holds \(((\text{the function sin}) \ (\text{the function sin})-(\text{the function cos})))'_{Z}(x) = ((\text{the function sin})(x)^2 + 2 \cdot (\text{the function sin})(x)) \cdot (\text{the function cos})(x) - (\text{the function cos})(x)^2\).

(33) Suppose \( Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function cos}) \ (\text{the function sin})-(\text{the function cos})). \) Then

(i) \((\text{the function cos}) \ (\text{the function sin})-(\text{the function cos}))\) is differentiable on \( Z \), and

(ii) for every \( x \) such that \( x \in Z \) holds \(((\text{the function cos}) \ (\text{the function sin})-(\text{the function cos})))'_{Z}(x) = ((\text{the function cos})(x)^2 + 2 \cdot (\text{the function sin})(x)) \cdot (\text{the function cos})(x) - (\text{the function sin})(x)^2\).

(34) Suppose \( Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function cos}) \ (\text{the function sin})+(\text{the function cos})). \) Then

(i) \((\text{the function cos}) \ (\text{the function sin})+(\text{the function cos}))\) is differentiable on \( Z \), and
(ii) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds \((\text{function cos})(\text{function sin}+\text{function cos}))'_{Z}(x) = (\text{function cos})(x)^2 - 2 \cdot (\text{function cos})(x) \cdot (\text{function sin})(x)^2 - (\text{function sin})(x)^2.

(35) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}(\text{function sin} \cdot (\text{function tan} + (\text{function cot}))).$ Then

(i) \((\text{function sin}) \cdot (\text{function tan} + (\text{function cot}))\) is differentiable on $Z$, and

(ii) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds \((\text{function sin}) \cdot (\text{function tan} + (\text{function cot}))'_{Z}(x) = (\text{function cos})(\text{function tan})(x) + (\text{function cot})(x) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{(\text{function cos})(x)^2} - \frac{1}{(\text{function sin})(x)^2}\right).

(36) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}(\text{function sin} \cdot (\text{function tan} + (\text{function cot})))$. Then

(i) \((\text{function sin}) \cdot (\text{function tan} + (\text{function cot}))\) is differentiable on $Z$, and

(ii) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds \((\text{function sin}) \cdot (\text{function tan} + (\text{function cot}))'_{Z}(x) = (\text{function cos})(\text{function tan})(x) \cdot (\text{function cot})(x) \cdot \left(1 - 1 - 1\right).

(37) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}(\text{function tan} \cdot (\text{function cot}))$. Then

(i) \((\text{function tan}) \cdot (\text{function cot})\) is differentiable on $Z$, and

(ii) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds \((\text{function tan}) \cdot (\text{function cot}))'_{Z}(x) = (\text{function cos})(\text{function tan})(x) \cdot (\text{function cot})(x) \cdot \left(1 - 1 - 1\right).

(38) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}(\text{function tan} \cdot (\text{function cot})).$ Then

(i) \((\text{function tan}) \cdot (\text{function cot})\) is differentiable on $Z$, and

(ii) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds \((\text{function tan}) \cdot (\text{function cot}))'_{Z}(x) = (\text{function cos})(\text{function tan})(x) \cdot (\text{function cot})(x) \cdot \left(1 - 1 - 1\right).

(39) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}(\text{function exp} \cdot (\text{function tan} + (\text{function cot}))).$ Then

(i) \((\text{function exp}) \cdot (\text{function tan} + (\text{function cot}))\) is differentiable on $Z$, and

(ii) for every $x$ such that $x \in Z$ holds \((\text{function exp}) \cdot (\text{function tan} + (\text{function cot}))'_{Z}(x) = (\text{function exp})(\text{function tan})(x) \cdot (\text{function cot})(x) \cdot \left(1 - 1 - 1\right).

(40) Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}(\text{function exp} \cdot (\text{function tan} + (\text{function cot}))).$ Then
(i) \((\text{the function exp}) \cdot (\text{the function tan}) - (\text{the function cot})\) is differentiable on \(Z\), and

(ii) for every \(x\) such that \(x \in Z\) holds \((\text{the function exp}) \cdot (\text{the function tan}) - (\text{the function cot})\)' \(Z\) \(x\) = \((\text{the function exp})(x)\) \cdot \(\frac{1}{\text{the function cos}(x)^2} + \frac{1}{\text{the function sin}(x)^2}\).

(41) Suppose \(Z \subseteq \text{dom}(\frac{\text{the function tan}}{\text{the function exp}} - \text{the function cot}).\) Then

(i) \((\text{the function tan}) - (\text{the function cot})\) is differentiable on \(Z\), and

(ii) for every \(x\) such that \(x \in Z\) holds \((\text{the function tan}) - (\text{the function cot})\)' \(Z\) \(x\) = \((\text{the function cos}(x)^2 + \text{the function sin}(x)^2)^{-1}(\text{the function tan}(x))\) \(\text{the function exp}(x)\).

(42) Suppose \(Z \subseteq \text{dom}(\frac{\text{the function tan}}{\text{the function exp}} + \text{the function cot}).\) Then

(i) \((\text{the function tan}) + (\text{the function cot})\) is differentiable on \(Z\), and

(ii) for every \(x\) such that \(x \in Z\) holds \((\text{the function tan}) + (\text{the function cot})\)' \(Z\) \(x\) = \((\text{the function sin}(x)^2) - (\text{the function tan}(x))\) \(\text{the function exp}(x)\).

(43) Suppose \(Z \subseteq \text{dom}(\text{the function sin}) \cdot \text{sec}.\) Then

(i) \((\text{the function sin}) \cdot \text{sec}\) is differentiable on \(Z\), and

(ii) for every \(x\) such that \(x \in Z\) holds \((\text{the function sin}) \cdot \text{sec}\)' \(Z\) \(x\) = \((\text{the function cos})(\text{sec}(x))\) \(\text{the function sin}(x)\).

(44) Suppose \(Z \subseteq \text{dom}(\text{the function cos}) \cdot \text{sec}.\) Then

(i) \((\text{the function cos}) \cdot \text{sec}\) is differentiable on \(Z\), and

(ii) for every \(x\) such that \(x \in Z\) holds \((\text{the function cos}) \cdot \text{sec}\)' \(Z\) \(x\) = \((\text{the function sin})(\text{sec}(x))\) \(\text{the function cos}(x)^2\).

(45) Suppose \(Z \subseteq \text{dom}(\text{the function sin}) \cdot \text{cosec}.\) Then

(i) \((\text{the function sin}) \cdot \text{cosec}\) is differentiable on \(Z\), and

(ii) for every \(x\) such that \(x \in Z\) holds \((\text{the function sin}) \cdot \text{cosec}\)' \(Z\) \(x\) = \((\text{the function cos})(\text{cosec}(x))\) \(\text{the function sin}(x)\).

(46) Suppose \(Z \subseteq \text{dom}(\text{the function cos}) \cdot \text{cosec}.\) Then

(i) \((\text{the function cos}) \cdot \text{cosec}\) is differentiable on \(Z\), and

(ii) for every \(x\) such that \(x \in Z\) holds \((\text{the function cos}) \cdot \text{cosec}\)' \(Z\) \(x\) = \((\text{the function sin})(\text{cosec}(x))\) \(\text{the function cos}(x)\).
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