

Correctness of Ford-Fulkerson’s Maximum Flow Algorithm¹

Gilbert Lee²
University of Victoria, Victoria, Canada

Summary. We define and prove correctness of Ford-Fulkerson’s maximum network flow algorithm at the level of graph manipulations.

MML identifier: GLIB.005, version: 7.5.01 4.39.921

The articles [23], [21], [25], [22], [11], [27], [9], [7], [5], [13], [1], [24], [26], [8], [3], [4], [20], [18], [28], [10], [2], [6], [17], [12], [16], [14], [19], and [15] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. PRELIMINARY THEOREMS

Let x be a set and let y be a real number. One can verify that $x \mapsto y$ is real-yielding.

Let x be a set and let y be a natural number. One can verify that $x \mapsto y$ is natural-yielding.

Let f, g be real-yielding functions. Observe that $f + g$ is real-yielding.

2. PRELIMINARY DEFINITIONS FOR FORD-FULKERSON FLOW ALGORITHM

Let G be a e-graph. We say that G is complete-labeled if and only if:

(Def. 1) $\text{dom}(\text{the elabel of } G) = \text{the edges of } G$.

¹This work has been partially supported by NSERC, Alberta Ingenuity Fund and iCORE.

²Part of author’s MSc work.

Let G be a graph and let X be a many sorted set indexed by the edges of G . Observe that $G.\text{set}(\text{ELabelSelector}, X)$ is complete-elabeled.

Let G be a graph, let Y be a non empty set, and let X be a function from the edges of G into Y . One can check that $G.\text{set}(\text{ELabelSelector}, X)$ is complete-elabeled.

Let G_1 be a e-graph sequence. We say that G_1 is complete-elabeled if and only if:

(Def. 2) For every natural number x holds $G_1 \rightarrow x$ is complete-elabeled.

Let G be a w-graph. We say that G is natural-weighted if and only if:

(Def. 3) The weight of G is natural-yielding.

Let G be a e-graph. We say that G is natural-elabeled if and only if:

(Def. 4) The elabel of G is natural-yielding.

Let G_1 be a w-graph sequence. We say that G_1 is natural-weighted if and only if:

(Def. 5) For every natural number x holds $G_1 \rightarrow x$ is natural-weighted.

Let G_1 be a e-graph sequence. We say that G_1 is natural-elabeled if and only if:

(Def. 6) For every natural number x holds $G_1 \rightarrow x$ is natural-elabeled.

One can verify that every w-graph which is natural-weighted is also nonnegative-weighted.

Let us observe that every e-graph which is natural-elabeled is also real-elabeled.

One can verify that there exists a wev-graph which is finite, trivial, tree-like, natural-weighted, natural-elabeled, complete-elabeled, and real-elabeled.

One can verify that there exists a wev-graph sequence which is finite, natural-weighted, real-wev, natural-elabeled, and complete-elabeled.

Let G_1 be a complete-elabeled e-graph sequence and let x be a natural number. Note that $G_1 \rightarrow x$ is complete-elabeled.

Let G_1 be a natural-elabeled e-graph sequence and let x be a natural number. One can verify that $G_1 \rightarrow x$ is natural-elabeled.

Let G_1 be a natural-weighted w-graph sequence and let x be a natural number. One can verify that $G_1 \rightarrow x$ is natural-weighted.

Let G be a natural-weighted w-graph. One can check that the weight of G is natural-yielding.

Let G be a natural-elabeled e-graph. Note that the elabel of G is natural-yielding.

Let G be a complete-elabeled e-graph. Then the elabel of G is a many sorted set indexed by the edges of G .

Let G be a natural-weighted w-graph and let X be a set. Note that $G.\text{set}(\text{ELabelSelector}, X)$ is natural-weighted and $G.\text{set}(\text{VLabelSelector}, X)$ is

natural-weighted.

Let G be a graph and let X be a natural-yielding many sorted set indexed by the edges of G . Observe that $G.\text{set}(\text{ELabelSelector}, X)$ is natural-labeled.

Let G be a finite real-weighted real-labeled complete-labeled we-graph and let s_1, s_2 be sets. We say that G has valid flow from s_1 to s_2 if and only if the conditions (Def. 7) are satisfied.

- (Def. 7)(i) s_1 is a vertex of G ,
(ii) s_2 is a vertex of G ,
(iii) for every set e such that $e \in$ the edges of G holds $0 \leq$ (the elabel of G)(e) and (the elabel of G)(e) \leq (the weight of G)(e), and
(iv) for every vertex v of G such that $v \neq s_1$ and $v \neq s_2$ holds $\sum((\text{the elabel of } G) \upharpoonright v.\text{edgesIn}()) = \sum((\text{the elabel of } G) \upharpoonright v.\text{edgesOut}())$.

Let G be a finite real-weighted real-labeled complete-labeled we-graph and let s_1, s_2 be sets. Let us assume that G has valid flow from s_1 to s_2 . The functor $G.\text{flow}(s_1, s_2)$ yields a real number and is defined as follows:

- (Def. 8) $G.\text{flow}(s_1, s_2) = \sum((\text{the elabel of } G) \upharpoonright G.\text{edgesInto}(\{s_2\})) - \sum((\text{the elabel of } G) \upharpoonright G.\text{edgesOutOf}(\{s_2\}))$.

Let G be a finite real-weighted real-labeled complete-labeled we-graph and let s_1, s_2 be sets. We say that G has maximum flow from s_1 to s_2 if and only if the conditions (Def. 9) are satisfied.

- (Def. 9)(i) G has valid flow from s_1 to s_2 , and
(ii) for every finite real-weighted real-labeled complete-labeled we-graph G_2 such that $G_2 =_G G$ and the weight of $G =$ the weight of G_2 and G_2 has valid flow from s_1 to s_2 holds $G_2.\text{flow}(s_1, s_2) \leq G.\text{flow}(s_1, s_2)$.

Let G be a real-weighted real-labeled wev-graph and let e be a set. We say that e is forward labeling in G if and only if the conditions (Def. 10) are satisfied.

- (Def. 10)(i) $e \in$ the edges of G ,
(ii) (the source of G)(e) $\in G.\text{labeledV}()$,
(iii) (the target of G)(e) $\notin G.\text{labeledV}()$, and
(iv) (the elabel of G)(e) $<$ (the weight of G)(e).

Let G be a real-labeled ev-graph and let e be a set. We say that e is backward labeling in G if and only if:

- (Def. 11) $e \in$ the edges of G and (the target of G)(e) $\in G.\text{labeledV}()$ and (the source of G)(e) $\notin G.\text{labeledV}()$ and $0 <$ (the elabel of G)(e).

Let G be a real-weighted real-labeled we-graph and let W be a walk of G .

We say that W is augmenting if and only if the condition (Def. 12) is satisfied.

- (Def. 12) Let n be an odd natural number such that $n < \text{len } W$. Then
(i) if $W(n+1)$ joins $W(n)$ to $W(n+2)$ in G , then (the elabel of G)($W(n+1)$) $<$ (the weight of G)($W(n+1)$), and

- (ii) if $W(n+1)$ does not join $W(n)$ to $W(n+2)$ in G , then $0 < (\text{the elabel of } G)(W(n+1))$.

Let G be a real-weighted real-labeled we-graph. One can check that every walk of G which is trivial is also augmenting.

Let G be a real-weighted real-labeled we-graph. Note that there exists a path of G which is vertex-distinct and augmenting.

Let G be a real-weighted real-labeled we-graph, let W be an augmenting walk of G , and let m, n be natural numbers. Note that $W.\text{cut}(m, n)$ is augmenting.

Next we state two propositions:

- (1) Let G_3, G_2 be real-weighted real-labeled we-graphs, W_1 be a walk of G_3 , and W_2 be a walk of G_2 . Suppose that
- (i) W_1 is augmenting,
 - (ii) $G_3 =_G G_2$,
 - (iii) the weight of $G_3 =$ the weight of G_2 ,
 - (iv) the elabel of $G_3 =$ the elabel of G_2 , and
 - (v) $W_1 = W_2$.

Then W_2 is augmenting.

- (2) Let G be a real-weighted real-labeled we-graph, W be an augmenting walk of G , and e, v be sets. Suppose that
- (i) $v \notin W.\text{vertices}()$, and
 - (ii) e joins $W.\text{last}()$ to v in G and $(\text{the elabel of } G)(e) < (\text{the weight of } G)(e)$ or e joins v to $W.\text{last}()$ in G and $0 < (\text{the elabel of } G)(e)$.

Then $W.\text{addEdge}(e)$ is augmenting.

3. ALGORITHM FOR FINDING AUGMENTING PATH IN A GRAPH

Let G be a real-weighted real-labeled we-graph. The functor $\text{AP} : \text{NextBestEdges}(G)$ yielding a subset of the edges of G is defined as follows:

- (Def. 13) For every set e holds $e \in \text{AP} : \text{NextBestEdges}(G)$ iff e is forward labeling in G or backward labeling in G .

Let G be a real-weighted real-labeled we-graph. The functor $\text{AP} : \text{Step}(G)$ yields a real-weighted real-labeled we-graph and is defined by:

$$(\text{Def. 14}) \quad \text{AP} : \text{Step}(G) = \begin{cases} G, & \text{if } \text{AP} : \text{NextBestEdges}(G) = \emptyset, \\ G.\text{labelVertex}((\text{the source of } G)(e), e), & \\ & \text{if } \text{AP} : \text{NextBestEdges}(G) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } (\text{the source of } G) \\ & (e) \notin G.\text{labeledV}(), \\ G.\text{labelVertex}((\text{the target of } G)(e), e), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let G be a finite real-weighted real-labeled we-graph. One can check that $\text{AP} : \text{Step}(G)$ is finite.

Let G be a real-weighted real-elabeled we-graph and let s_1 be a vertex of G . The functor $\text{AP} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1)$ yielding a real-weighted real-elabeled we-graph sequence is defined as follows:

(Def. 15) $\text{AP} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1) \rightarrow 0 = G.\text{set}(\text{VLabelSelector}, s_1 \mapsto 1)$ and for every natural number n holds $\text{AP} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1) \rightarrow (n + 1) = \text{AP} : \text{Step}((\text{AP} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1) \rightarrow n))$.

Let G be a finite real-weighted real-elabeled we-graph and let s_1 be a vertex of G . One can check that $\text{AP} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1)$ is finite.

The following three propositions are true:

- (3) Let G be a real-weighted real-elabeled we-graph and s_1 be a vertex of G . Then
 - (i) $G =_G \text{AP} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1) \rightarrow 0$,
 - (ii) the weight of $G =$ the weight of $\text{AP} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1) \rightarrow 0$,
 - (iii) the elabel of $G =$ the elabel of $\text{AP} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1) \rightarrow 0$, and
 - (iv) $(\text{AP} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1) \rightarrow 0).\text{labeledV}() = \{s_1\}$.
- (4) Let G be a real-weighted real-elabeled we-graph, s_1 be a vertex of G , and i, j be natural numbers. If $i \leq j$, then
 - (i) $(\text{AP} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1) \rightarrow i).\text{labeledV}() \subseteq$
 - (ii) $(\text{AP} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1) \rightarrow j).\text{labeledV}()$.
- (5) Let G be a real-weighted real-elabeled we-graph, s_1 be a vertex of G , and n be a natural number. Then $G =_G \text{AP} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1) \rightarrow n$ and the weight of $G =$ the weight of $\text{AP} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1) \rightarrow n$ and the elabel of $G =$ the elabel of $\text{AP} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1) \rightarrow n$.

Let G be a real-weighted real-elabeled we-graph and let s_1 be a vertex of G . The functor $\text{AP} : \text{FindAugPath}(G, s_1)$ yielding a real-weighted real-elabeled we-graph is defined as follows:

(Def. 16) $\text{AP} : \text{FindAugPath}(G, s_1) = (\text{AP} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1)).\text{Result}()$.

We now state two propositions:

- (6) For every finite real-weighted real-elabeled we-graph G and for every vertex s_1 of G holds $\text{AP} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1)$ is halting.
- (7) Let G be a finite real-weighted real-elabeled we-graph, s_1 be a vertex of G , n be a natural number, and v be a set. If $v \in (\text{AP} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1) \rightarrow n).\text{labeledV}()$, then (the vlabel of $\text{AP} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1) \rightarrow n)(v) =$ (the vlabel of $\text{AP} : \text{FindAugPath}(G, s_1))(v)$.

Let G be a finite real-weighted real-elabeled we-graph and let s_1, s_2 be vertices of G . The functor $\text{AP} : \text{GetAugPath}(G, s_1, s_2)$ yielding a vertex-distinct augmenting path of G is defined by:

(Def. 17)(i) $\text{AP} : \text{GetAugPath}(G, s_1, s_2)$ is walk from s_1 to s_2 and for every even natural number n such that $n \in \text{dom } \text{AP} : \text{GetAugPath}(G, s_1, s_2)$ holds $(\text{AP} : \text{GetAugPath}(G, s_1, s_2))(n) =$ (the vlabel of $\text{AP} : \text{FindAugPath}(G, s_1)$)

- $((\text{AP} : \text{GetAugPath}(G, s_1, s_2))(n + 1))$ if $s_2 \in (\text{AP} : \text{FindAugPath}(G, s_1))$
 $\text{.labeledV}()$,
- (ii) $\text{AP} : \text{GetAugPath}(G, s_1, s_2) = G.\text{walkOf}(s_1)$, otherwise.

Next we state three propositions:

- (8) Let G be a real-weighted real-labeled we-graph, s_1 be a vertex of G , n be a natural number, and v be a set. Suppose $v \in (\text{AP} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1) \rightarrow n).\text{.labeledV}()$. Then there exists a path P of G such that P is augmenting and walk from s_1 to v and $P.\text{vertices}() \subseteq (\text{AP} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1) \rightarrow n).\text{.labeledV}()$.
- (9) Let G be a finite real-weighted real-labeled we-graph, s_1 be a vertex of G , and v be a set. Then $v \in (\text{AP} : \text{FindAugPath}(G, s_1)).\text{.labeledV}()$ if and only if there exists a path of G which is augmenting and walk from s_1 to v .
- (10) Let G be a finite real-weighted real-labeled we-graph and s_1 be a vertex of G . Then $s_1 \in (\text{AP} : \text{FindAugPath}(G, s_1)).\text{.labeledV}()$ and $G =_G \text{AP} : \text{FindAugPath}(G, s_1)$ and the weight of $G =$ the weight of $\text{AP} : \text{FindAugPath}(G, s_1)$ and the elabel of $G =$ the elabel of $\text{AP} : \text{FindAugPath}(G, s_1)$.

4. DEFINITION OF FORD-FULKERSON MAXIMUM FLOW ALGORITHM

Let G be a real-weighted real-labeled we-graph and let W be an augmenting walk of G . The functor $W.\text{flowSeq}()$ yields a finite sequence of elements of \mathbb{R} and is defined by the conditions (Def. 18).

- (Def. 18)(i) $\text{dom}(W.\text{flowSeq}()) = \text{dom}(W.\text{edgeSeq}())$, and
- (ii) for every natural number n such that $n \in \text{dom}(W.\text{flowSeq}())$ holds if $W(2 \cdot n)$ joins $W(2 \cdot n - 1)$ to $W(2 \cdot n + 1)$ in G , then $W.\text{flowSeq}()(n) =$ (the weight of $G)(W(2 \cdot n)) -$ (the elabel of $G)(W(2 \cdot n))$ and if $W(2 \cdot n)$ does not join $W(2 \cdot n - 1)$ to $W(2 \cdot n + 1)$ in G , then $W.\text{flowSeq}()(n) =$ (the elabel of $G)(W(2 \cdot n))$.

Let G be a real-weighted real-labeled we-graph and let W be an augmenting walk of G . The functor $W.\text{tolerance}()$ yielding a real number is defined as follows:

- (Def. 19)(i) $W.\text{tolerance}() \in \text{rng}(W.\text{flowSeq}())$ and for every real number k such that $k \in \text{rng}(W.\text{flowSeq}())$ holds $W.\text{tolerance}() \leq k$ if W is non trivial,
- (ii) $W.\text{tolerance}() = 0$, otherwise.

Let G be a natural-weighted natural-labeled we-graph and let W be an augmenting walk of G . Then $W.\text{tolerance}()$ is a natural number.

Let G be a real-weighted real-labeled we-graph and let P be an augmenting path of G . The functor $\text{FF} : \text{PushFlow}(G, P)$ yielding a many sorted set indexed by the edges of G is defined by the conditions (Def. 20).

- (Def. 20)(i) For every set e such that $e \in$ the edges of G and $e \notin P.edges()$ holds $(FF : PushFlow(G, P))(e) = (\text{the elabel of } G)(e)$, and
- (ii) for every odd natural number n such that $n < \text{len } P$ holds if $P(n+1)$ joins $P(n)$ to $P(n+2)$ in G , then $(FF : PushFlow(G, P))(P(n+1)) = (\text{the elabel of } G)(P(n+1)) + P.tolerance()$ and if $P(n+1)$ does not join $P(n)$ to $P(n+2)$ in G , then $(FF : PushFlow(G, P))(P(n+1)) = (\text{the elabel of } G)(P(n+1)) - P.tolerance()$.

Let G be a real-weighted real-elabeled we-graph and let P be an augmenting path of G . Observe that $FF : PushFlow(G, P)$ is real-yielding.

Let G be a natural-weighted natural-elabeled we-graph and let P be an augmenting path of G . Note that $FF : PushFlow(G, P)$ is natural-yielding.

Let G be a real-weighted real-elabeled we-graph and let P be an augmenting path of G . The functor $FF : AugmentPath(G, P)$ yielding a real-weighted real-elabeled complete-elabeled we-graph is defined as follows:

- (Def. 21) $FF : AugmentPath(G, P) = G.set(ELabelSelector, FF : PushFlow(G, P))$.

Let G be a finite real-weighted real-elabeled we-graph and let P be an augmenting path of G . Observe that $FF : AugmentPath(G, P)$ is finite.

Let G be a finite nonnegative-weighted real-elabeled we-graph and let P be an augmenting path of G . Note that $FF : AugmentPath(G, P)$ is nonnegative-weighted.

Let G be a finite natural-weighted natural-elabeled we-graph and let P be an augmenting path of G . Note that $FF : AugmentPath(G, P)$ is natural-weighted and natural-elabeled.

Let G be a finite real-weighted real-elabeled complete-elabeled we-graph and let s_2, s_1 be vertices of G . The functor $FF : Step(G, s_1, s_2)$ yields a finite real-weighted real-elabeled complete-elabeled we-graph and is defined by:

- (Def. 22) $FF : Step(G, s_1, s_2) = \begin{cases} FF : AugmentPath(G, AP : GetAugPath(G, s_1, s_2)), & \text{if } s_2 \in (AP : FindAugPath(G, s_1)) \\ \text{.labeledV}(), & \\ G, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Let G be a finite nonnegative-weighted real-elabeled complete-elabeled we-graph and let s_1, s_2 be vertices of G . One can check that $FF : Step(G, s_1, s_2)$ is nonnegative-weighted.

Let G be a finite natural-weighted natural-elabeled complete-elabeled we-graph and let s_1, s_2 be vertices of G . One can verify that $FF : Step(G, s_1, s_2)$ is natural-weighted and natural-elabeled.

Let G be a finite real-weighted w-graph and let s_1, s_2 be vertices of G . The functor $FF : CompSeq(G, s_1, s_2)$ yields a finite real-weighted real-elabeled complete-elabeled we-graph sequence and is defined by the conditions (Def. 23).

- (Def. 23)(i) $FF : CompSeq(G, s_1, s_2) \rightarrow 0 = G.set(ELabelSelector, (\text{the edges of } G) \mapsto 0)$, and

- (ii) for every natural number n there exist vertices s'_1, s'_2 of $\text{FF} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1, s_2) \rightarrow n$ such that $s'_1 = s_1$ and $s'_2 = s_2$ and $\text{FF} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1, s_2) \rightarrow (n+1) = \text{FF} : \text{Step}(\text{FF} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1, s_2) \rightarrow n, s'_1, s'_2)$.

Let G be a finite nonnegative-weighted w-graph and let s_2, s_1 be vertices of G . One can verify that $\text{FF} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1, s_2)$ is nonnegative-weighted.

Let G be a finite natural-weighted w-graph and let s_2, s_1 be vertices of G . One can check that $\text{FF} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1, s_2)$ is natural-weighted and natural-labeled.

Let G be a finite real-weighted w-graph and let s_2, s_1 be vertices of G . The functor $\text{FF} : \text{MaxFlow}(G, s_1, s_2)$ yields a finite real-weighted real-labeled complete-labeled we-graph and is defined by:

$$\text{(Def. 24)} \quad \text{FF} : \text{MaxFlow}(G, s_1, s_2) = (\text{FF} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1, s_2)).\text{Result}().$$

5. THEOREMS FOR FORD-FULKERSON MAXIMUM FLOW ALGORITHM

One can prove the following propositions:

- (11) Let G be a finite real-weighted real-labeled complete-labeled we-graph, s_1, s_2 be sets, and V be a subset of the vertices of G . Suppose G has valid flow from s_1 to s_2 and $s_1 \in V$ and $s_2 \notin V$. Then $G.\text{flow}(s_1, s_2) = \sum((\text{the elabel of } G) \upharpoonright G.\text{edgesDBetween}(V, (\text{the vertices of } G) \setminus V)) - \sum((\text{the elabel of } G) \upharpoonright G.\text{edgesDBetween}((\text{the vertices of } G) \setminus V, V))$.
- (12) Let G be a finite real-weighted real-labeled complete-labeled we-graph, s_1, s_2 be sets, and V be a subset of the vertices of G . Suppose G has valid flow from s_1 to s_2 and $s_1 \in V$ and $s_2 \notin V$. Then $G.\text{flow}(s_1, s_2) \leq \sum((\text{the weight of } G) \upharpoonright G.\text{edgesDBetween}(V, (\text{the vertices of } G) \setminus V))$.
- (13) Let G be a real-weighted real-labeled we-graph and P be an augmenting path of G . Then $G =_G \text{FF} : \text{AugmentPath}(G, P)$ and the weight of $G =$ the weight of $\text{FF} : \text{AugmentPath}(G, P)$.
- (14) Let G be a finite real-weighted real-labeled we-graph and W be an augmenting walk of G . If W is non trivial, then $0 < W.\text{tolerance}()$.
- (15) Let G be a finite real-weighted real-labeled complete-labeled we-graph, s_1, s_2 be sets, and P be an augmenting path of G . Suppose $s_1 \neq s_2$ and G has valid flow from s_1 to s_2 and P is walk from s_1 to s_2 . Then $\text{FF} : \text{AugmentPath}(G, P)$ has valid flow from s_1 to s_2 .
- (16) Let G be a finite real-weighted real-labeled complete-labeled we-graph, s_1, s_2 be sets, and P be an augmenting path of G . Suppose $s_1 \neq s_2$ and G has valid flow from s_1 to s_2 and P is walk from s_1 to s_2 . Then $(G.\text{flow}(s_1, s_2)) + P.\text{tolerance}() = \text{FF} : \text{AugmentPath}(G, P).\text{flow}(s_1, s_2)$.

- (17) Let G be a finite real-weighted w-graph, s_1, s_2 be vertices of G , and n be a natural number. Then $\text{FF} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1, s_2) \rightarrow n =_G G$ and the weight of $G =$ the weight of $\text{FF} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1, s_2) \rightarrow n$.
- (18) Let G be a finite nonnegative-weighted w-graph, s_1, s_2 be vertices of G , and n be a natural number. If $s_1 \neq s_2$, then $\text{FF} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1, s_2) \rightarrow n$ has valid flow from s_1 to s_2 .
- (19) For every finite natural-weighted w-graph G and for all vertices s_1, s_2 of G such that $s_1 \neq s_2$ holds $\text{FF} : \text{CompSeq}(G, s_1, s_2)$ is halting.
- (20) Let G be a finite real-weighted real-labeled complete-labeled we-graph and s_1, s_2 be sets such that $s_1 \neq s_2$ and G has valid flow from s_1 to s_2 and there exists no augmenting path of G which is walk from s_1 to s_2 . Then G has maximum flow from s_1 to s_2 .
- (21) Let G be a finite real-weighted w-graph and s_1, s_2 be vertices of G . Then $G =_G \text{FF} : \text{MaxFlow}(G, s_1, s_2)$ and the weight of $G =$ the weight of $\text{FF} : \text{MaxFlow}(G, s_1, s_2)$.
- (22) Let G be a finite natural-weighted w-graph and s_1, s_2 be vertices of G . If $s_2 \neq s_1$, then $\text{FF} : \text{MaxFlow}(G, s_1, s_2)$ has maximum flow from s_1 to s_2 .

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Sequences of ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):281–290, 1990.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. A classical first order language. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):669–676, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [10] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [11] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [12] Jarosław Kotowicz. Monotone real sequences. Subsequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):471–475, 1990.
- [13] Jarosław Kotowicz. Real sequences and basic operations on them. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):269–272, 1990.
- [14] Gilbert Lee. Walks in Graphs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(2):253–269, 2005.
- [15] Gilbert Lee. Weighted and Labeled Graphs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(2):279–293, 2005.
- [16] Gilbert Lee and Piotr Rudnicki. Alternative graph structures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(2):235–252, 2005.
- [17] Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, Andrzej Trybulec, and Pauline N. Kawamoto. Preliminaries to circuits, I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(2):167–172, 1996.
- [18] Piotr Rudnicki. Little Bezout theorem (factor theorem). *Formalized Mathematics*, 12(1):49–58, 2004.

- [19] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. Abian's fixed point theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(3):335–338, 1997.
- [20] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. Multivariate polynomials with arbitrary number of variables. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(1):95–110, 2001.
- [21] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of complex numbers. *To appear in Formalized Mathematics*.
- [22] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):115–122, 1990.
- [23] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [24] Andrzej Trybulec. Many-sorted sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):15–22, 1993.
- [25] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [26] Josef Urban. Basic facts about inaccessible and measurable cardinals. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(2):323–329, 2001.
- [27] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [28] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):181–186, 1990.

Received February 22, 2005
