

Angle and Triangle in Euclidean Topological Space

Akihiro Kubo
Shinshu University
Nagano

Yatsuka Nakamura
Shinshu University
Nagano

Summary. Two transformations between the complex space and 2-dimensional Euclidean topological space are defined. By them, the concept of argument is induced to 2-dimensional vectors using argument of complex number. Similarly, the concept of an angle is introduced using the angle of two complex numbers. The concept of a triangle and related concepts are also defined in n -dimensional Euclidean topological spaces.

MML Identifier: EUCLID_3.

The notation and terminology used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [17], [19], [18], [20], [4], [12], [21], [5], [16], [11], [3], [13], [15], [8], [2], [6], [7], [1], [10], [9], and [14].

We follow the rules: z, z_1, z_2 are elements of \mathbb{C} , r, r_1, r_2, x_1, x_2 are real numbers, and p, p_1, p_2, p_3, q are points of \mathcal{E}_T^2 .

Let z be an element of \mathbb{C} . The functor $\text{cpx2euc}(z)$ yielding a point of \mathcal{E}_T^2 is defined by:

(Def. 1) $\text{cpx2euc}(z) = [\Re(z), \Im(z)]$.

Let p be a point of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . The functor $\text{euc2cpx}(p)$ yields an element of \mathbb{C} and is defined as follows:

(Def. 2) $\text{euc2cpx}(p) = p_1 + p_2i$.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (1) $\text{euc2cpx}(\text{cpx2euc}(z)) = z$.
- (2) $\text{cpx2euc}(\text{euc2cpx}(p)) = p$.
- (3) For every p there exists z such that $p = \text{cpx2euc}(z)$.
- (4) For every z there exists p such that $z = \text{euc2cpx}(p)$.

- (5) For all z_1, z_2 such that $\text{cpx2euc}(z_1) = \text{cpx2euc}(z_2)$ holds $z_1 = z_2$.
- (6) For all p_1, p_2 such that $\text{euc2cpx}(p_1) = \text{euc2cpx}(p_2)$ holds $p_1 = p_2$.
- (7) $(\text{cpx2euc}(z))_{\mathbf{1}} = \Re(z)$ and $(\text{cpx2euc}(z))_{\mathbf{2}} = \Im(z)$.
- (8) $\Re(\text{euc2cpx}(p)) = p_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\Im(\text{euc2cpx}(p)) = p_{\mathbf{2}}$.
- (9) $\text{cpx2euc}(x_1 + x_2i) = [x_1, x_2]$.
- (10) $[\Re(z_1 + z_2), \Im(z_1 + z_2)] = [\Re(z_1) + \Re(z_2), \Im(z_1) + \Im(z_2)]$.
- (11) $\text{cpx2euc}(z_1 + z_2) = \text{cpx2euc}(z_1) + \text{cpx2euc}(z_2)$.
- (12) $(p_1 + p_2)_{\mathbf{1}} + (p_1 + p_2)_{\mathbf{2}}i = ((p_1)_{\mathbf{1}} + (p_2)_{\mathbf{1}}) + ((p_1)_{\mathbf{2}} + (p_2)_{\mathbf{2}})i$.
- (13) $\text{euc2cpx}(p_1 + p_2) = \text{euc2cpx}(p_1) + \text{euc2cpx}(p_2)$.
- (14) $[\Re(-z), \Im(-z)] = [-\Re(z), -\Im(z)]$.
- (15) $\text{cpx2euc}(-z) = -\text{cpx2euc}(z)$.
- (16) $(-p)_{\mathbf{1}} + (-p)_{\mathbf{2}}i = -p_{\mathbf{1}} + (-p_{\mathbf{2}})i$.
- (17) $\text{euc2cpx}(-p) = -\text{euc2cpx}(p)$.
- (18) $\text{cpx2euc}(z_1 - z_2) = \text{cpx2euc}(z_1) - \text{cpx2euc}(z_2)$.
- (19) $\text{euc2cpx}(p_1 - p_2) = \text{euc2cpx}(p_1) - \text{euc2cpx}(p_2)$.
- (20) $\text{cpx2euc}(0_{\mathbb{C}}) = 0_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^2}$.
- (21) $\text{euc2cpx}(0_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^2}) = 0_{\mathbb{C}}$.
- (22) If $\text{euc2cpx}(p) = 0_{\mathbb{C}}$, then $p = 0_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^2}$.
- (23) $\text{cpx2euc}((r + 0i) \cdot z) = r \cdot \text{cpx2euc}(z)$.
- (24) $(r + 0i) \cdot (r_1 + r_2i) = r \cdot r_1 + (r \cdot r_2)i$.
- (25) $\text{euc2cpx}(r \cdot p) = (r + 0i) \cdot \text{euc2cpx}(p)$.
- (26) $|\text{euc2cpx}(p)| = \sqrt{(p_{\mathbf{1}})^2 + (p_{\mathbf{2}})^2}$.
- (27) For every finite sequence f of elements of \mathbb{R} such that $\text{len } f = 2$ holds $|f| = \sqrt{f(1)^2 + f(2)^2}$.
- (28) For every finite sequence f of elements of \mathbb{R} and for every point p of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^2$ such that $\text{len } f = 2$ and $p = f$ holds $|p| = |f|$.
- (29) $|\text{cpx2euc}(z)| = \sqrt{\Re(z)^2 + \Im(z)^2}$.
- (30) $|\text{cpx2euc}(z)| = |z|$.
- (31) $|\text{euc2cpx}(p)| = |p|$.

Let us consider p . The functor $\text{Arg } p$ yields a real number and is defined as follows:

(Def. 3) $\text{Arg } p = \text{Arg } \text{euc2cpx}(p)$.

We now state a number of propositions:

- (32) For every element z of \mathbb{C} and for every p such that $z = \text{euc2cpx}(p)$ or $p = \text{cpx2euc}(z)$ holds $\text{Arg } z = \text{Arg } p$.
- (33) For every p holds $0 \leq \text{Arg } p$ and $\text{Arg } p < 2 \cdot \pi$.

- (34) For all real numbers x_1, x_2 and for every p such that $x_1 = |p| \cdot \cos \text{Arg } p$ and $x_2 = |p| \cdot \sin \text{Arg } p$ holds $p = [x_1, x_2]$.
- (35) $\text{Arg}(0_{\mathcal{E}_T^2}) = 0$.
- (36) For every p such that $p \neq 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^2}$ holds if $\text{Arg } p < \pi$, then $\text{Arg}(-p) = \text{Arg } p + \pi$ and if $\text{Arg } p \geq \pi$, then $\text{Arg}(-p) = \text{Arg } p - \pi$.
- (37) For every p such that $\text{Arg } p = 0$ holds $p = [|p|, 0]$ and $p_2 = 0$.
- (38) For every p such that $p \neq 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^2}$ holds $\text{Arg } p < \pi$ iff $\text{Arg}(-p) \geq \pi$.
- (39) For all p_1, p_2 such that $p_1 \neq p_2$ or $p_1 - p_2 \neq 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^2}$ holds $\text{Arg}(p_1 - p_2) < \pi$ iff $\text{Arg}(p_2 - p_1) \geq \pi$.
- (40) For every p holds $\text{Arg } p \in]0, \pi[$ iff $p_2 > 0$.
- (41) For every p such that $\text{Arg } p \neq 0$ holds $\text{Arg } p < \pi$ iff $\sin \text{Arg } p > 0$.
- (42) For all p_1, p_2 such that $\text{Arg } p_1 < \pi$ and $\text{Arg } p_2 < \pi$ holds $\text{Arg}(p_1 + p_2) < \pi$.

Let us consider p_1, p_2, p_3 . The functor $\angle(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ yielding a real number is defined as follows:

(Def. 4) $\angle(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \angle(\text{euc2cpx}(p_1), \text{euc2cpx}(p_2), \text{euc2cpx}(p_3))$.

The following propositions are true:

- (43) For all p_1, p_2, p_3 holds $0 \leq \angle(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ and $\angle(p_1, p_2, p_3) < 2 \cdot \pi$.
- (44) For all p_1, p_2, p_3 holds $\angle(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \angle(p_1 - p_2, 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^2}, p_3 - p_2)$.
- (45) For all p_1, p_2, p_3 such that $\angle(p_1, p_2, p_3) = 0$ holds $\text{Arg}(p_1 - p_2) = \text{Arg}(p_3 - p_2)$ and $\angle(p_3, p_2, p_1) = 0$.
- (46) For all p_1, p_2, p_3 such that $\angle(p_1, p_2, p_3) \neq 0$ holds $\angle(p_3, p_2, p_1) = 2 \cdot \pi - \angle(p_1, p_2, p_3)$.
- (47) For all p_1, p_2, p_3 such that $\angle(p_3, p_2, p_1) \neq 0$ holds $\angle(p_3, p_2, p_1) = 2 \cdot \pi - \angle(p_1, p_2, p_3)$.
- (48) For all elements x, y of \mathbb{C} holds $\Re((x|y)) = \Re(x) \cdot \Re(y) + \Im(x) \cdot \Im(y)$.
- (49) For all elements x, y of \mathbb{C} holds $\Im((x|y)) = -\Re(x) \cdot \Im(y) + \Im(x) \cdot \Re(y)$.
- (50) For all p, q holds $|(p, q)| = p_1 \cdot q_1 + p_2 \cdot q_2$.
- (51) For all p_1, p_2 holds $|(p_1, p_2)| = \Re((\text{euc2cpx}(p_1) | \text{euc2cpx}(p_2)))$.
- (52) For all p_1, p_2, p_3 such that $p_1 \neq 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^2}$ and $p_2 \neq 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^2}$ holds $|(p_1, p_2)| = 0$ iff $\angle(p_1, 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^2}, p_2) = \frac{\pi}{2}$ or $\angle(p_1, 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^2}, p_2) = \frac{3}{2} \cdot \pi$.
- (53) Let given p_1, p_2 . Suppose $p_1 \neq 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^2}$ and $p_2 \neq 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^2}$. Then $-(p_1)_1 \cdot (p_2)_2 + (p_1)_2 \cdot (p_2)_1 = |p_1| \cdot |p_2|$ or $-(p_1)_1 \cdot (p_2)_2 + (p_1)_2 \cdot (p_2)_1 = -|p_1| \cdot |p_2|$ if and only if $\angle(p_1, 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^2}, p_2) = \frac{\pi}{2}$ or $\angle(p_1, 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^2}, p_2) = \frac{3}{2} \cdot \pi$.
- (54) For all p_1, p_2, p_3 such that $p_1 \neq p_2$ and $p_3 \neq p_2$ holds $|(p_1 - p_2, p_3 - p_2)| = 0$ iff $\angle(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \frac{\pi}{2}$ or $\angle(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \frac{3}{2} \cdot \pi$.
- (55) For all p_1, p_2, p_3 such that $p_1 \neq p_2$ but $p_3 \neq p_2$ but $\angle(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \frac{\pi}{2}$ or $\angle(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \frac{3}{2} \cdot \pi$ holds $|p_1 - p_2|^2 + |p_3 - p_2|^2 = |p_1 - p_3|^2$.

- (56) For all p_1, p_2, p_3 such that $p_2 \neq p_1$ and $p_1 \neq p_3$ and $p_3 \neq p_2$ and $\angle(p_2, p_1, p_3) < \pi$ and $\angle(p_1, p_3, p_2) < \pi$ and $\angle(p_3, p_2, p_1) < \pi$ holds $\angle(p_2, p_1, p_3) + \angle(p_1, p_3, p_2) + \angle(p_3, p_2, p_1) = \pi$.

Let n be a natural number and let p_1, p_2, p_3 be points of \mathcal{E}_T^n . The functor $\text{Triangle}(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ yields a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^n and is defined as follows:

- (Def. 5) $\text{Triangle}(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2) \cup \mathcal{L}(p_2, p_3) \cup \mathcal{L}(p_3, p_1)$.

Let n be a natural number and let p_1, p_2, p_3 be points of \mathcal{E}_T^n . The functor $\text{CInsideOfTriangle}(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ yields a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^n and is defined as follows:

- (Def. 6) $\text{CInsideOfTriangle}(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \{p; p \text{ ranges over points of } \mathcal{E}_T^n: \bigvee_{a_1, a_2, a_3: \text{real number}} (0 \leq a_1 \wedge 0 \leq a_2 \wedge 0 \leq a_3 \wedge a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 1 \wedge p = a_1 \cdot p_1 + a_2 \cdot p_2 + a_3 \cdot p_3)\}$.

Let n be a natural number and let p_1, p_2, p_3 be points of \mathcal{E}_T^n . The functor $\text{InsideOfTriangle}(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ yielding a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^n is defined by:

- (Def. 7) $\text{InsideOfTriangle}(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \text{CInsideOfTriangle}(p_1, p_2, p_3) \setminus \text{Triangle}(p_1, p_2, p_3)$.

Let n be a natural number and let p_1, p_2, p_3 be points of \mathcal{E}_T^n . The functor $\text{OutsideOfTriangle}(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ yielding a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^n is defined by the condition (Def. 8).

- (Def. 8) $\text{OutsideOfTriangle}(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \{p; p \text{ ranges over points of } \mathcal{E}_T^n: \bigvee_{a_1, a_2, a_3: \text{real number}} ((0 > a_1 \vee 0 > a_2 \vee 0 > a_3) \wedge a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 1 \wedge p = a_1 \cdot p_1 + a_2 \cdot p_2 + a_3 \cdot p_3)\}$.

Let n be a natural number and let p_1, p_2, p_3 be points of \mathcal{E}_T^n . The functor $\text{plane}(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ yielding a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^n is defined as follows:

- (Def. 9) $\text{plane}(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \text{OutsideOfTriangle}(p_1, p_2, p_3) \cup \text{CInsideOfTriangle}(p_1, p_2, p_3)$.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (57) Let n be a natural number and p_1, p_2, p_3, p be points of \mathcal{E}_T^n . Suppose $p \in \text{plane}(p_1, p_2, p_3)$. Then there exist real numbers a_1, a_2, a_3 such that $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 1$ and $p = a_1 \cdot p_1 + a_2 \cdot p_2 + a_3 \cdot p_3$.
- (58) For every natural number n and for all points p_1, p_2, p_3 of \mathcal{E}_T^n holds $\text{Triangle}(p_1, p_2, p_3) \subseteq \text{CInsideOfTriangle}(p_1, p_2, p_3)$.

Let n be a natural number and let q_1, q_2 be points of \mathcal{E}_T^n . We say that q_1, q_2 are `lindependent2` if and only if:

- (Def. 10) For all real numbers a_1, a_2 such that $a_1 \cdot q_1 + a_2 \cdot q_2 = 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^n}$ holds $a_1 = 0$ and $a_2 = 0$.

We introduce `q1, q2 are ldependent2` as an antonym of `q1, q2 are lindependent2`.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (59) Let n be a natural number and q_1, q_2 be points of \mathcal{E}_T^n . If q_1, q_2 are `lindependent2`, then $q_1 \neq q_2$ and $q_1 \neq 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^n}$ and $q_2 \neq 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^n}$.

(60) Let n be a natural number and p_1, p_2, p_3, p_0 be points of \mathcal{E}_T^n . Suppose $p_2 - p_1, p_3 - p_1$ are lindependent2 and $p_0 \in \text{plane}(p_1, p_2, p_3)$. Then there exist real numbers a_1, a_2, a_3 such that

- (i) $p_0 = a_1 \cdot p_1 + a_2 \cdot p_2 + a_3 \cdot p_3$,
- (ii) $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 1$, and
- (iii) for all real numbers b_1, b_2, b_3 such that $p_0 = b_1 \cdot p_1 + b_2 \cdot p_2 + b_3 \cdot p_3$ and $b_1 + b_2 + b_3 = 1$ holds $b_1 = a_1$ and $b_2 = a_2$ and $b_3 = a_3$.

(61) Let n be a natural number and p_1, p_2, p_3, p_0 be points of \mathcal{E}_T^n . Given real numbers a_1, a_2, a_3 such that $p_0 = a_1 \cdot p_1 + a_2 \cdot p_2 + a_3 \cdot p_3$ and $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 1$. Then $p_0 \in \text{plane}(p_1, p_2, p_3)$.

(62) Let n be a natural number and p_1, p_2, p_3 be points of \mathcal{E}_T^n . Then $\text{plane}(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \{p; p \text{ ranges over points of } \mathcal{E}_T^n: \bigvee_{a_1, a_2, a_3: \text{real number}} (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 1 \wedge p = a_1 \cdot p_1 + a_2 \cdot p_2 + a_3 \cdot p_3)\}$.

(63) For all p_1, p_2, p_3 such that $p_2 - p_1, p_3 - p_1$ are lindependent2 holds $\text{plane}(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \mathcal{R}^2$.

Let n be a natural number and let p_1, p_2, p_3, p be points of \mathcal{E}_T^n . Let us assume that $p_2 - p_1, p_3 - p_1$ are lindependent2 and $p \in \text{plane}(p_1, p_2, p_3)$. The functor $\text{tricord1}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p)$ yields a real number and is defined as follows:

(Def. 11) There exist real numbers a_2, a_3 such that $\text{tricord1}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p) + a_2 + a_3 = 1$ and $p = \text{tricord1}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p) \cdot p_1 + a_2 \cdot p_2 + a_3 \cdot p_3$.

Let n be a natural number and let p_1, p_2, p_3, p be points of \mathcal{E}_T^n . Let us assume that $p_2 - p_1, p_3 - p_1$ are lindependent2 and $p \in \text{plane}(p_1, p_2, p_3)$. The functor $\text{tricord2}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p)$ yielding a real number is defined as follows:

(Def. 12) There exist real numbers a_1, a_3 such that $a_1 + \text{tricord2}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p) + a_3 = 1$ and $p = a_1 \cdot p_1 + \text{tricord2}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p) \cdot p_2 + a_3 \cdot p_3$.

Let n be a natural number and let p_1, p_2, p_3, p be points of \mathcal{E}_T^n . Let us assume that $p_2 - p_1, p_3 - p_1$ are lindependent2 and $p \in \text{plane}(p_1, p_2, p_3)$. The functor $\text{tricord2}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p)$ yielding a real number is defined as follows:

(Def. 13) There exist real numbers a_1, a_2 such that $a_1 + a_2 + \text{tricord2}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p) = 1$ and $p = a_1 \cdot p_1 + a_2 \cdot p_2 + \text{tricord2}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p) \cdot p_3$.

Let us consider p_1, p_2, p_3 . The functor $\text{trcmap1}(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ yielding a map from \mathcal{E}_T^2 into \mathbb{R}^1 is defined as follows:

(Def. 14) For every p holds $(\text{trcmap1}(p_1, p_2, p_3))(p) = \text{tricord1}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p)$.

Let us consider p_1, p_2, p_3 . The functor $\text{trcmap2}(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ yields a map from \mathcal{E}_T^2 into \mathbb{R}^1 and is defined as follows:

(Def. 15) For every p holds $(\text{trcmap2}(p_1, p_2, p_3))(p) = \text{tricord2}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p)$.

Let us consider p_1, p_2, p_3 . The functor $\text{trcmap3}(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ yielding a map from \mathcal{E}_T^2 into \mathbb{R}^1 is defined by:

(Def. 16) For every p holds $(\text{trcmap3}(p_1, p_2, p_3))(p) = \text{tricord2}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p)$.

Next we state several propositions:

- (64) Let given p_1, p_2, p_3, p . Suppose $p_2 - p_1, p_3 - p_1$ are lindependent2. Then $p \in \text{OutsideOfTriangle}(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:
- (i) $\text{tricord1}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p) < 0$, or
 - (ii) $\text{tricord2}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p) < 0$, or
 - (iii) $\text{tricord2}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p) < 0$.
- (65) Let given p_1, p_2, p_3, p . Suppose $p_2 - p_1, p_3 - p_1$ are lindependent2. Then $p \in \text{Triangle}(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
- (i) $\text{tricord1}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p) \geq 0$,
 - (ii) $\text{tricord2}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p) \geq 0$,
 - (iii) $\text{tricord2}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p) \geq 0$, and
 - (iv) $\text{tricord1}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p) = 0$ or $\text{tricord2}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p) = 0$ or $\text{tricord2}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p) = 0$.
- (66) Let given p_1, p_2, p_3, p . Suppose $p_2 - p_1, p_3 - p_1$ are lindependent2. Then $p \in \text{Triangle}(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:
- (i) $\text{tricord1}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p) = 0$ and $\text{tricord2}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p) \geq 0$ and $\text{tricord2}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p) \geq 0$, or
 - (ii) $\text{tricord1}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p) \geq 0$ and $\text{tricord2}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p) = 0$ and $\text{tricord2}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p) \geq 0$, or
 - (iii) $\text{tricord1}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p) \geq 0$ and $\text{tricord2}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p) \geq 0$ and $\text{tricord2}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p) = 0$.
- (67) Let given p_1, p_2, p_3, p . Suppose $p_2 - p_1, p_3 - p_1$ are lindependent2. Then $p \in \text{InsideOfTriangle}(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
- (i) $\text{tricord1}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p) > 0$,
 - (ii) $\text{tricord2}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p) > 0$, and
 - (iii) $\text{tricord2}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p) > 0$.
- (68) For all p_1, p_2, p_3 such that $p_2 - p_1, p_3 - p_1$ are lindependent2 holds $\text{InsideOfTriangle}(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ is non empty.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kanchun and Yatsuka Nakamura. The inner product of finite sequences and of points of n -dimensional topological space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(2):179–183, 2003.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. The complex numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):507–513, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. The sum and product of finite sequences of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):661–668, 1990.

- [7] Wenpai Chang, Yatsuka Nakamura, and Piotr Rudnicki. Inner products and angles of complex numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(3):275–280, 2003.
- [8] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):599–603, 1991.
- [9] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. Metric spaces as topological spaces - fundamental concepts. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):605–608, 1991.
- [10] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Arcs, line segments and special polygonal arcs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):617–621, 1991.
- [11] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [12] Jarosław Kotowicz. Real sequences and basic operations on them. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):269–272, 1990.
- [13] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [14] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Topological properties of subsets in real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):777–780, 1990.
- [15] Agnieszka Sakowicz, Jarosław Gryko, and Adam Grabowski. Sequences in \mathcal{E}_T^N . *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):93–96, 1996.
- [16] Andrzej Trybulec. Introduction to arithmetics. *To appear in Formalized Mathematics*.
- [17] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [18] Andrzej Trybulec and Czesław Byliński. Some properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):445–449, 1990.
- [19] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [20] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [21] Yuguang Yang and Yasunari Shidama. Trigonometric functions and existence of circle ratio. *Formalized Mathematics*, 7(2):255–263, 1998.

Received May 29, 2003
