

# Introduction to Turing Machines

Jing-Chao Chen  
Bell Labs Research China  
Lucent Technologies  
Beijing

Yatsuka Nakamura  
Shinshu University  
Nagano

**Summary.** A Turing machine can be viewed as a simple kind of computer, whose operations are constrained to reading and writing symbols on a tape, or moving along the tape to the left or right. In theory, one has proven that the computability of Turing machines is equivalent to recursive functions. This article defines and verifies the Turing machines of summation and three primitive functions which are successor, zero and project functions. It is difficult to compute sophisticated functions by simple Turing machines. Therefore, we define the combination of two Turing machines.

MML Identifier: TURING\_1.

The notation and terminology used in this paper are introduced in the following articles: [3], [4], [13], [2], [5], [18], [14], [6], [7], [8], [12], [17], [16], [1], [11], [20], [10], [19], [15], and [9].

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper  $n, i, j, k$  denote natural numbers.

Let  $A, B$  be non empty sets, let  $f$  be a function from  $A$  into  $B$ , and let  $g$  be a partial function from  $A$  to  $B$ . Then  $f+g$  is a function from  $A$  into  $B$ .

Let  $X, Y$  be non empty sets, let  $a$  be an element of  $X$ , and let  $b$  be an element of  $Y$ . Then  $a \dashrightarrow b$  is a partial function from  $X$  to  $Y$ .

Let  $n$  be a natural number. The functor  $\text{Seg}_M n$  yielding a subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 1)  $\text{Seg}_M n = \{k : k \leq n\}$ .

Let  $n$  be a natural number. One can verify that  $\text{Seg}_M n$  is finite and non empty.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (1)  $k \in \text{Seg}_M n$  iff  $k \leq n$ .
- (2) For every function  $f$  and for all sets  $x, y, z, u, v$  such that  $u \neq x$  holds  $(f + \cdot (\langle x, y \rangle \mapsto z))(\langle u, v \rangle) = f(\langle u, v \rangle)$ .
- (3) For every function  $f$  and for all sets  $x, y, z, u, v$  such that  $v \neq y$  holds  $(f + \cdot (\langle x, y \rangle \mapsto z))(\langle u, v \rangle) = f(\langle u, v \rangle)$ .

In the sequel  $i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4$  denote elements of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

We now state three propositions:

- (4)  $\sum \langle i_1, i_2 \rangle = i_1 + i_2$ .
- (5)  $\sum \langle i_1, i_2, i_3 \rangle = i_1 + i_2 + i_3$ .
- (6)  $\sum \langle i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 \rangle = i_1 + i_2 + i_3 + i_4$ .

Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  and let  $i$  be a natural number. The functor  $\text{Prefix}(f, i)$  yields a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{Z}$  and is defined by:

(Def. 2)  $\text{Prefix}(f, i) = f \upharpoonright \text{Seg } i$ .

Next we state two propositions:

- (7) For all natural numbers  $x_1, x_2$  holds  $\sum \text{Prefix}(\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle, 1) = x_1$  and  $\sum \text{Prefix}(\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle, 2) = x_1 + x_2$ .
- (8) For all natural numbers  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  holds  $\sum \text{Prefix}(\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle, 1) = x_1$  and  $\sum \text{Prefix}(\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle, 2) = x_1 + x_2$  and  $\sum \text{Prefix}(\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle, 3) = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$ .

## 2. DEFINITIONS AND TERMINOLOGY FOR TURING MACHINE

We consider Turing machine structures as systems

$\langle \text{symbols, control states, a transition, an initial state, an accepting state} \rangle$ , where the symbols and the control states constitute finite non empty sets, the transition is a function from  $[\text{the control states, the symbols}]$  into  $[\text{the control states, the symbols, } \{-1, 0, 1\}]$ , and the initial state and the accepting state are elements of the control states.

Let  $T$  be a Turing machine structure. A state of  $T$  is an element of the control states of  $T$ . A tape of  $T$  is an element of  $(\text{the symbols of } T)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . A symbol of  $T$  is an element of the symbols of  $T$ .

Let  $T$  be a Turing machine structure, let  $t$  be a tape of  $T$ , let  $h$  be an integer, and let  $s$  be a symbol of  $T$ . The functor  $\text{Tape-Chg}(t, h, s)$  yields a tape of  $T$  and is defined as follows:

(Def. 3)  $\text{Tape-Chg}(t, h, s) = t + \cdot (h \mapsto s)$ .

Let  $T$  be a Turing machine structure. A State of  $T$  is an element of  $\{ \text{the control states of } T, \mathbb{Z}, (\text{the symbols of } T)^{\mathbb{Z}} \}$ . A transition-source of  $T$  is an element of  $\{ \text{the control states of } T, \text{ the symbols of } T \}$ . A transition-target of  $T$  is an element of  $\{ \text{the control states of } T, \text{ the symbols of } T, \{-1, 0, 1\} \}$ .

Let  $T$  be a Turing machine structure and let  $g$  be a transition-target of  $T$ . The functor  $\text{offset}(g)$  yields an integer and is defined as follows:

(Def. 4)  $\text{offset}(g) = g_3$ .

Let  $T$  be a Turing machine structure and let  $s$  be a State of  $T$ . The functor  $\text{Head}(s)$  yielding an integer is defined by:

(Def. 5)  $\text{Head}(s) = s_2$ .

Let  $T$  be a Turing machine structure and let  $s$  be a State of  $T$ . The functor  $s$ -target yielding a transition-target of  $T$  is defined by:

(Def. 6)  $s\text{-target} = (\text{the transition of } T)(\langle s_1, (s_3 \text{ qua tape of } T)(\text{Head}(s)) \rangle)$ .

Let  $T$  be a Turing machine structure and let  $s$  be a State of  $T$ . The functor  $\text{Following}(s)$  yields a State of  $T$  and is defined as follows:

(Def. 7)  $\text{Following}(s) = \begin{cases} \langle s\text{-target}_1, \text{Head}(s) + \text{offset}(s\text{-target}), \\ \text{Tape-Chg}(s_3, \text{Head}(s), s\text{-target}_2) \rangle, \\ \text{if } s_1 \neq \text{the accepting state of } T, \\ s, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Let  $T$  be a Turing machine structure and let  $s$  be a State of  $T$ . The functor  $\text{Computation}(s)$  yielding a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\{ \text{the control states of } T, \mathbb{Z}, (\text{the symbols of } T)^{\mathbb{Z}} \}$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 8)  $(\text{Computation}(s))(0) = s$  and for every  $i$  holds  $(\text{Computation}(s))(i+1) = \text{Following}((\text{Computation}(s))(i))$ .

In the sequel  $T$  is a Turing machine structure and  $s$  is a State of  $T$ .

The following propositions are true:

- (9) Let  $T$  be a Turing machine structure and  $s$  be a State of  $T$ . If  $s_1 = \text{the accepting state of } T$ , then  $s = \text{Following}(s)$ .
- (10)  $(\text{Computation}(s))(0) = s$ .
- (11)  $(\text{Computation}(s))(k+1) = \text{Following}((\text{Computation}(s))(k))$ .
- (12)  $(\text{Computation}(s))(1) = \text{Following}(s)$ .
- (13)  $(\text{Computation}(s))(i+k) = (\text{Computation}((\text{Computation}(s))(i)))(k)$ .
- (14) If  $i \leq j$  and  $\text{Following}((\text{Computation}(s))(i)) = (\text{Computation}(s))(i)$ , then  $(\text{Computation}(s))(j) = (\text{Computation}(s))(i)$ .
- (15) If  $i \leq j$  and  $(\text{Computation}(s))(i)_1 = \text{the accepting state of } T$ , then  $(\text{Computation}(s))(j) = (\text{Computation}(s))(i)$ .

Let  $T$  be a Turing machine structure and let  $s$  be a State of  $T$ . We say that  $s$  is accepting if and only if:

(Def. 9) There exists  $k$  such that  $(\text{Computation}(s))(k)_1 =$  the accepting state of  $T$ .

Let  $T$  be a Turing machine structure and let  $s$  be a State of  $T$ . Let us assume that  $s$  is accepting. The functor  $\text{Result}(s)$  yielding a State of  $T$  is defined by:

(Def. 10) There exists  $k$  such that  $\text{Result}(s) = (\text{Computation}(s))(k)$  and  $(\text{Computation}(s))(k)_1 =$  the accepting state of  $T$ .

We now state the proposition

- (16) Let  $T$  be a Turing machine structure and  $s$  be a State of  $T$ . Suppose  $s$  is accepting. Then there exists a natural number  $k$  such that
- (i)  $(\text{Computation}(s))(k)_1 =$  the accepting state of  $T$ ,
  - (ii)  $\text{Result}(s) = (\text{Computation}(s))(k)$ , and
  - (iii) for every natural number  $i$  such that  $i < k$  holds  $(\text{Computation}(s))(i)_1 \neq$  the accepting state of  $T$ .

Let  $A, B$  be non empty sets and let  $y$  be a set. Let us assume that  $y \in B$ . The functor  $\text{id}(A, B, y)$  yields a function from  $A$  into  $[A, B]$  and is defined as follows:

(Def. 11) For every element  $x$  of  $A$  holds  $(\text{id}(A, B, y))(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$ .

The function  $\text{SumTran}$  from  $[\text{Seg}_M 5, \{0, 1\}]$  into  $[\text{Seg}_M 5, \{0, 1\}, \{-1, 0, 1\}]$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 12)  $\text{SumTran} = \text{id}([\text{Seg}_M 5, \{0, 1\}], \{-1, 0, 1\}, 0) + \cdot (\langle 0, 0 \rangle \mapsto \langle 0, 0, 1 \rangle) + \cdot (\langle 0, 1 \rangle \mapsto \langle 1, 0, 1 \rangle) + \cdot (\langle 1, 1 \rangle \mapsto \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle) + \cdot (\langle 1, 0 \rangle \mapsto \langle 2, 1, 1 \rangle) + \cdot (\langle 2, 1 \rangle \mapsto \langle 2, 1, 1 \rangle) + \cdot (\langle 2, 0 \rangle \mapsto \langle 3, 0, -1 \rangle) + \cdot (\langle 3, 1 \rangle \mapsto \langle 4, 0, -1 \rangle) + \cdot (\langle 4, 1 \rangle \mapsto \langle 4, 1, -1 \rangle) + \cdot (\langle 4, 0 \rangle \mapsto \langle 5, 0, 0 \rangle)$ .

Next we state the proposition

- (17)  $\text{SumTran}(\langle 0, 0 \rangle) = \langle 0, 0, 1 \rangle$  and  $\text{SumTran}(\langle 0, 1 \rangle) = \langle 1, 0, 1 \rangle$  and  $\text{SumTran}(\langle 1, 1 \rangle) = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$  and  $\text{SumTran}(\langle 1, 0 \rangle) = \langle 2, 1, 1 \rangle$  and  $\text{SumTran}(\langle 2, 1 \rangle) = \langle 2, 1, 1 \rangle$  and  $\text{SumTran}(\langle 2, 0 \rangle) = \langle 3, 0, -1 \rangle$  and  $\text{SumTran}(\langle 3, 1 \rangle) = \langle 4, 0, -1 \rangle$  and  $\text{SumTran}(\langle 4, 1 \rangle) = \langle 4, 1, -1 \rangle$  and  $\text{SumTran}(\langle 4, 0 \rangle) = \langle 5, 0, 0 \rangle$ .

Let  $T$  be a Turing machine structure, let  $t$  be a tape of  $T$ , and let  $i, j$  be integers. We say that  $t$  is 1 between  $i, j$  if and only if:

(Def. 13)  $t(i) = 0$  and  $t(j) = 0$  and for every integer  $k$  such that  $i < k$  and  $k < j$  holds  $t(k) = 1$ .

Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ , let  $T$  be a Turing machine structure, and let  $t$  be a tape of  $T$ . We say that  $t$  stores data  $f$  if and only if:

(Def. 14) For every natural number  $i$  such that  $1 \leq i$  and  $i < \text{len } f$  holds  $t$  is 1 between  $\sum \text{Prefix}(f, i) + 2 \cdot (i - 1)$ ,  $\sum \text{Prefix}(f, i + 1) + 2 \cdot i$ .

We now state several propositions:

- (18) Let  $T$  be a Turing machine structure,  $t$  be a tape of  $T$ , and  $s, n$  be natural numbers. If  $t$  stores data  $\langle s, n \rangle$ , then  $t$  is 1 between  $s, s + n + 2$ .
- (19) Let  $T$  be a Turing machine structure,  $t$  be a tape of  $T$ , and  $s, n$  be natural numbers. If  $t$  is 1 between  $s, s + n + 2$ , then  $t$  stores data  $\langle s, n \rangle$ .
- (20) Let  $T$  be a Turing machine structure,  $t$  be a tape of  $T$ , and  $s, n$  be natural numbers. Suppose  $t$  stores data  $\langle s, n \rangle$ . Then  $t(s) = 0$  and  $t(s + n + 2) = 0$  and for every integer  $i$  such that  $s < i$  and  $i < s + n + 2$  holds  $t(i) = 1$ .
- (21) Let  $T$  be a Turing machine structure,  $t$  be a tape of  $T$ , and  $s, n_1, n_2$  be natural numbers. Suppose  $t$  stores data  $\langle s, n_1, n_2 \rangle$ . Then  $t$  is 1 between  $s, s + n_1 + 2$  and 1 between  $s + n_1 + 2, s + n_1 + n_2 + 4$ .
- (22) Let  $T$  be a Turing machine structure,  $t$  be a tape of  $T$ , and  $s, n_1, n_2$  be natural numbers. Suppose  $t$  stores data  $\langle s, n_1, n_2 \rangle$ . Then
  - (i)  $t(s) = 0$ ,
  - (ii)  $t(s + n_1 + 2) = 0$ ,
  - (iii)  $t(s + n_1 + n_2 + 4) = 0$ ,
  - (iv) for every integer  $i$  such that  $s < i$  and  $i < s + n_1 + 2$  holds  $t(i) = 1$ ,  
and
  - (v) for every integer  $i$  such that  $s + n_1 + 2 < i$  and  $i < s + n_1 + n_2 + 4$  holds  $t(i) = 1$ .
- (23) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $s$  be a natural number. If  $\text{len } f \geq 1$ , then  $\sum \text{Prefix}(\langle s \rangle \frown f, 1) = s$  and  $\sum \text{Prefix}(\langle s \rangle \frown f, 2) = s + f_1$ .
- (24) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $s$  be a natural number. Suppose  $\text{len } f \geq 3$ . Then  $\sum \text{Prefix}(\langle s \rangle \frown f, 1) = s$  and  $\sum \text{Prefix}(\langle s \rangle \frown f, 2) = s + f_1$  and  $\sum \text{Prefix}(\langle s \rangle \frown f, 3) = s + f_1 + f_2$  and  $\sum \text{Prefix}(\langle s \rangle \frown f, 4) = s + f_1 + f_2 + f_3$ .
- (25) Let  $T$  be a Turing machine structure,  $t$  be a tape of  $T$ ,  $s$  be a natural number, and  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ . If  $\text{len } f \geq 1$  and  $t$  stores data  $\langle s \rangle \frown f$ , then  $t$  is 1 between  $s, s + f_1 + 2$ .
- (26) Let  $T$  be a Turing machine structure,  $t$  be a tape of  $T$ ,  $s$  be a natural number, and  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $\text{len } f \geq 3$  and  $t$  stores data  $\langle s \rangle \frown f$ . Then  $t$  is 1 between  $s, s + f_1 + 2$ , 1 between  $s + f_1 + 2, s + f_1 + f_2 + 4$ , and 1 between  $s + f_1 + f_2 + 4, s + f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + 6$ .

### 3. SUMMATION OF TWO NATURAL NUMBERS

The strict Turing machine structure  $\text{SumTuring}$  is defined by the conditions (Def. 15).

- (Def. 15)(i) The symbols of  $\text{SumTuring} = \{0, 1\}$ ,
- (ii) the control states of  $\text{SumTuring} = \text{Seg}_M 5$ ,

- (iii) the transition of SumTuring = SumTran,
- (iv) the initial state of SumTuring = 0, and
- (v) the accepting state of SumTuring = 5.

Next we state several propositions:

- (27) Let  $T$  be a Turing machine structure,  $s$  be a State of  $T$ , and  $p, h, t$  be sets. If  $s = \langle p, h, t \rangle$ , then  $\text{Head}(s) = h$ .
- (28) Let  $T$  be a Turing machine structure,  $t$  be a tape of  $T$ ,  $h$  be an integer, and  $s$  be a symbol of  $T$ . If  $t(h) = s$ , then  $\text{Tape-Chg}(t, h, s) = t$ .
- (29) Let  $T$  be a Turing machine structure,  $s$  be a State of  $T$ , and  $p, h, t$  be sets. Suppose  $s = \langle p, h, t \rangle$  and  $p \neq$  the accepting state of  $T$ . Then  $\text{Following}(s) = \langle s\text{-target}_1, \text{Head}(s) + \text{offset}(s\text{-target}), \text{Tape-Chg}(s_3, \text{Head}(s), s\text{-target}_2) \rangle$ .
- (30) Let  $T$  be a Turing machine structure,  $t$  be a tape of  $T$ ,  $h$  be an integer,  $s$  be a symbol of  $T$ , and  $i$  be a set. Then  $(\text{Tape-Chg}(t, h, s))(h) = s$  and if  $i \neq h$ , then  $(\text{Tape-Chg}(t, h, s))(i) = t(i)$ .
- (31) Let  $s$  be a State of SumTuring,  $t$  be a tape of SumTuring, and  $h_1, n_1, n_2$  be natural numbers. Suppose  $s = \langle 0, h_1, t \rangle$  and  $t$  stores data  $\langle h_1, n_1, n_2 \rangle$ . Then  $s$  is accepting and  $(\text{Result}(s))_2 = 1 + h_1$  and  $(\text{Result}(s))_3$  stores data  $\langle 1 + h_1, n_1 + n_2 \rangle$ .

Let  $T$  be a Turing machine structure and let  $F$  be a function. We say that  $T$  computes  $F$  if and only if the condition (Def. 16) is satisfied.

- (Def. 16) Let  $s$  be a State of  $T$ ,  $t$  be a tape of  $T$ ,  $a$  be a natural number, and  $x$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $x \in \text{dom } F$  and  $s = \langle$  the initial state of  $T$ ,  $a, t \rangle$  and  $t$  stores data  $\langle a \rangle \hat{\ } x$ . Then  $s$  is accepting and there exist natural numbers  $b, y$  such that  $(\text{Result}(s))_2 = b$  and  $y = F(x)$  and  $(\text{Result}(s))_3$  stores data  $\langle b \rangle \hat{\ } \langle y \rangle$ .

Next we state two propositions:

- (32)  $\text{dom}[+] \subseteq \mathbb{N}^2$ .
- (33) SumTuring computes  $[+]$ .

#### 4. COMPUTING SUCCESSOR FUNCTION

The function SuccTran from  $[\text{Seg}_M 4, \{0, 1\}]$  into  $[\text{Seg}_M 4, \{0, 1\}, \{-1, 0, 1\}]$  is defined as follows:

- (Def. 17)  $\text{SuccTran} = \text{id}([\text{Seg}_M 4, \{0, 1\}], \{-1, 0, 1\}, 0) + \cdot (\langle 0, 0 \rangle \mapsto \langle 1, 0, 1 \rangle) + \cdot (\langle 1, 1 \rangle \mapsto \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle) + \cdot (\langle 1, 0 \rangle \mapsto \langle 2, 1, 1 \rangle) + \cdot (\langle 2, 0 \rangle \mapsto \langle 3, 0, -1 \rangle) + \cdot (\langle 2, 1 \rangle \mapsto \langle 3, 0, -1 \rangle) + \cdot (\langle 3, 1 \rangle \mapsto \langle 3, 1, -1 \rangle) + \cdot (\langle 3, 0 \rangle \mapsto \langle 4, 0, 0 \rangle)$ .

We now state the proposition

- (34)  $\text{SuccTran}(\langle 0, 0 \rangle) = \langle 1, 0, 1 \rangle$  and  $\text{SuccTran}(\langle 1, 1 \rangle) = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$  and  
 $\text{SuccTran}(\langle 1, 0 \rangle) = \langle 2, 1, 1 \rangle$  and  $\text{SuccTran}(\langle 2, 0 \rangle) = \langle 3, 0, -1 \rangle$  and  
 $\text{SuccTran}(\langle 2, 1 \rangle) = \langle 3, 0, -1 \rangle$  and  $\text{SuccTran}(\langle 3, 1 \rangle) = \langle 3, 1, -1 \rangle$  and  
 $\text{SuccTran}(\langle 3, 0 \rangle) = \langle 4, 0, 0 \rangle$ .

The strict Turing machine structure  $\text{SuccTuring}$  is defined by the conditions (Def. 18).

- (Def. 18)(i) The symbols of  $\text{SuccTuring} = \{0, 1\}$ ,  
(ii) the control states of  $\text{SuccTuring} = \text{Seg}_M 4$ ,  
(iii) the transition of  $\text{SuccTuring} = \text{SuccTran}$ ,  
(iv) the initial state of  $\text{SuccTuring} = 0$ , and  
(v) the accepting state of  $\text{SuccTuring} = 4$ .

The following propositions are true:

- (36)<sup>1</sup> Let  $s$  be a State of  $\text{SuccTuring}$ ,  $t$  be a tape of  $\text{SuccTuring}$ , and  $h_1, n$  be natural numbers. Suppose  $s = \langle 0, h_1, t \rangle$  and  $t$  stores data  $\langle h_1, n \rangle$ . Then  $s$  is accepting and  $(\text{Result}(s))_2 = h_1$  and  $(\text{Result}(s))_3$  stores data  $\langle h_1, n+1 \rangle$ .  
(37)  $\text{SuccTuring}$  computes  $\text{succ}_1(1)$ .

## 5. COMPUTING ZERO FUNCTION

The function  $\text{ZeroTran}$  from  $\{ \text{Seg}_M 4, \{0, 1\} \}$  into  $\{ \text{Seg}_M 4, \{0, 1\}, \{-1, 0, 1\} \}$  is defined as follows:

- (Def. 19)  $\text{ZeroTran} = \text{id}(\{ \text{Seg}_M 4, \{0, 1\} \}, \{-1, 0, 1\}, 1) + \cdot (\langle 0, 0 \rangle \mapsto \langle 1, 0, 1 \rangle) + \cdot (\langle 1, 1 \rangle \mapsto \langle 2, 1, 1 \rangle) + \cdot (\langle 2, 0 \rangle \mapsto \langle 3, 0, -1 \rangle) + \cdot (\langle 2, 1 \rangle \mapsto \langle 3, 0, -1 \rangle) + \cdot (\langle 3, 1 \rangle \mapsto \langle 4, 1, -1 \rangle)$ .

Next we state the proposition

- (38)  $\text{ZeroTran}(\langle 0, 0 \rangle) = \langle 1, 0, 1 \rangle$  and  $\text{ZeroTran}(\langle 1, 1 \rangle) = \langle 2, 1, 1 \rangle$  and  
 $\text{ZeroTran}(\langle 2, 0 \rangle) = \langle 3, 0, -1 \rangle$  and  $\text{ZeroTran}(\langle 2, 1 \rangle) = \langle 3, 0, -1 \rangle$  and  
 $\text{ZeroTran}(\langle 3, 1 \rangle) = \langle 4, 1, -1 \rangle$ .

The strict Turing machine structure  $\text{ZeroTuring}$  is defined by the conditions (Def. 20).

- (Def. 20)(i) The symbols of  $\text{ZeroTuring} = \{0, 1\}$ ,  
(ii) the control states of  $\text{ZeroTuring} = \text{Seg}_M 4$ ,  
(iii) the transition of  $\text{ZeroTuring} = \text{ZeroTran}$ ,  
(iv) the initial state of  $\text{ZeroTuring} = 0$ , and  
(v) the accepting state of  $\text{ZeroTuring} = 4$ .

We now state two propositions:

---

<sup>1</sup>The proposition (35) has been removed.

- (39) Let  $s$  be a State of ZeroTuring,  $t$  be a tape of ZeroTuring,  $h_1$  be a natural number, and  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $\text{len } f \geq 1$  and  $s = \langle 0, h_1, t \rangle$  and  $t$  stores data  $\langle h_1 \rangle \hat{\ } f$ . Then  $s$  is accepting and  $(\text{Result}(s))_{\mathbf{2}} = h_1$  and  $(\text{Result}(s))_{\mathbf{3}}$  stores data  $\langle h_1, 0 \rangle$ .
- (40) If  $n \geq 1$ , then ZeroTuring computes  $\text{const}_n(0)$ .

## 6. COMPUTING $n$ -ARY PROJECT FUNCTION

The function  $n$ -proj3Tran from  $[\text{Seg}_M 3, \{0, 1\}]$  into  $[\text{Seg}_M 3, \{0, 1\}, \{-1, 0, 1\}]$  is defined by:

- (Def. 21)  $n$ -proj3Tran =  $\text{id}([\text{Seg}_M 3, \{0, 1\}], \{-1, 0, 1\}, 0) + \cdot (\langle 0, 0 \rangle \mapsto \langle 1, 0, 1 \rangle) + \cdot (\langle 1, 1 \rangle \mapsto \langle 1, 0, 1 \rangle) + \cdot (\langle 1, 0 \rangle \mapsto \langle 2, 0, 1 \rangle) + \cdot (\langle 2, 1 \rangle \mapsto \langle 2, 0, 1 \rangle) + \cdot (\langle 2, 0 \rangle \mapsto \langle 3, 0, 0 \rangle)$ .

The following proposition is true

- (41)  $n$ -proj3Tran( $\langle 0, 0 \rangle$ ) =  $\langle 1, 0, 1 \rangle$  and  $n$ -proj3Tran( $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$ ) =  $\langle 1, 0, 1 \rangle$  and  $n$ -proj3Tran( $\langle 1, 0 \rangle$ ) =  $\langle 2, 0, 1 \rangle$  and  $n$ -proj3Tran( $\langle 2, 1 \rangle$ ) =  $\langle 2, 0, 1 \rangle$  and  $n$ -proj3Tran( $\langle 2, 0 \rangle$ ) =  $\langle 3, 0, 0 \rangle$ .

The strict Turing machine structure  $n$ -proj3Turing is defined by the conditions (Def. 22).

- (Def. 22)(i) The symbols of  $n$ -proj3Turing =  $\{0, 1\}$ ,  
(ii) the control states of  $n$ -proj3Turing =  $\text{Seg}_M 3$ ,  
(iii) the transition of  $n$ -proj3Turing =  $n$ -proj3Tran,  
(iv) the initial state of  $n$ -proj3Turing = 0, and  
(v) the accepting state of  $n$ -proj3Turing = 3.

Next we state two propositions:

- (42) Let  $s$  be a State of  $n$ -proj3Turing,  $t$  be a tape of  $n$ -proj3Turing,  $h_1$  be a natural number, and  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $\text{len } f \geq 3$  and  $s = \langle 0, h_1, t \rangle$  and  $t$  stores data  $\langle h_1 \rangle \hat{\ } f$ . Then  $s$  is accepting and  $(\text{Result}(s))_{\mathbf{2}} = h_1 + f_1 + f_2 + 4$  and  $(\text{Result}(s))_{\mathbf{3}}$  stores data  $\langle h_1 + f_1 + f_2 + 4, f_3 \rangle$ .
- (43) If  $n \geq 3$ , then  $n$ -proj3Turing computes  $\text{proj}_n(3)$ .

## 7. COMBINING TWO TURING MACHINES INTO ONE

Let  $t_1, t_2$  be Turing machine structures. The functor  $\text{SeqStates}(t_1, t_2)$  yielding a finite non empty set is defined by the condition (Def. 23).

- (Def. 23)  $\text{SeqStates}(t_1, t_2) = [\text{the control states of } t_1, \{\text{the initial state of } t_2\}] \cup [\{\text{the accepting state of } t_1\}, \text{the control states of } t_2]$ .



One can prove the following four propositions:

- (44) Let  $t_1, t_2$  be Turing machine structures. Then
- (i)  $\langle \text{the initial state of } t_1, \text{ the initial state of } t_2 \rangle \in \text{SeqStates}(t_1, t_2)$ , and
  - (ii)  $\langle \text{the accepting state of } t_1, \text{ the accepting state of } t_2 \rangle \in \text{SeqStates}(t_1, t_2)$ .
- (45) For all Turing machine structures  $s, t$  and for every state  $x$  of  $s$  holds  $\langle x, \text{the initial state of } t \rangle \in \text{SeqStates}(s, t)$ .
- (46) For all Turing machine structures  $s, t$  and for every state  $x$  of  $t$  holds  $\langle \text{the accepting state of } s, x \rangle \in \text{SeqStates}(s, t)$ .
- (47) Let  $s, t$  be Turing machine structures and  $x$  be an element of  $\text{SeqStates}(s, t)$ . Then there exists a state  $x_1$  of  $s$  and there exists a state  $x_2$  of  $t$  such that  $x = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$ .

Let  $s, t$  be Turing machine structures and let  $x$  be a transition-target of  $s$ . The functor  $1^{\text{st}}\text{SeqTran}(s, t, x)$  yielding an element of  $[\text{SeqStates}(s, t)$ , (the symbols of  $s$ )  $\cup$  (the symbols of  $t$ ),  $\{-1, 0, 1\}]$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 24)  $1^{\text{st}}\text{SeqTran}(s, t, x) = \langle \langle x_1, \text{the initial state of } t \rangle, x_2, x_3 \rangle$ .

Let  $s, t$  be Turing machine structures and let  $x$  be a transition-target of  $t$ . The functor  $2^{\text{nd}}\text{SeqTran}(s, t, x)$  yielding an element of  $[\text{SeqStates}(s, t)$ , (the symbols of  $s$ )  $\cup$  (the symbols of  $t$ ),  $\{-1, 0, 1\}]$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 25)  $2^{\text{nd}}\text{SeqTran}(s, t, x) = \langle \langle \text{the accepting state of } s, x_1 \rangle, x_2, x_3 \rangle$ .

Let  $s, t$  be Turing machine structures and let  $x$  be an element of  $\text{SeqStates}(s, t)$ . Then  $x_1$  is a state of  $s$ . Then  $x_2$  is a state of  $t$ .

Let  $s, t$  be Turing machine structures and let  $x$  be an element of  $[\text{SeqStates}(s, t)$ , (the symbols of  $s$ )  $\cup$  (the symbols of  $t$ )]. The functor  $1^{\text{st}}\text{SeqState } x$  yields a state of  $s$  and is defined by:

(Def. 26)  $1^{\text{st}}\text{SeqState } x = (x_1)_1$ .

The functor  $2^{\text{nd}}\text{SeqState } x$  yielding a state of  $t$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 27)  $2^{\text{nd}}\text{SeqState } x = (x_1)_2$ .

Let  $X, Y, Z$  be non empty sets and let  $x$  be an element of  $[X, Y \cup Z]$ . Let us assume that there exist a set  $u$  and an element  $y$  of  $Y$  such that  $x = \langle u, y \rangle$ .

The functor  $1^{\text{st}}\text{SeqSymbol } x$  yielding an element of  $Y$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 28)  $1^{\text{st}}\text{SeqSymbol } x = x_2$ .

Let  $X, Y, Z$  be non empty sets and let  $x$  be an element of  $[X, Y \cup Z]$ . Let us assume that there exist a set  $u$  and an element  $z$  of  $Z$  such that  $x = \langle u, z \rangle$ .

The functor  $2^{\text{nd}}\text{SeqSymbol } x$  yielding an element of  $Z$  is defined by:

(Def. 29)  $2^{\text{nd}}\text{SeqSymbol } x = x_2$ .

Let  $s, t$  be Turing machine structures and let  $x$  be an element of  $[\text{SeqStates}(s, t)$ , (the symbols of  $s$ )  $\cup$  (the symbols of  $t$ )]. The functor  $\text{SeqTran}(s, t, x)$  yielding an element of  $[\text{SeqStates}(s, t)$ , (the symbols of  $s$ )  $\cup$  (the symbols of  $t$ ),  $\{-1, 0, 1\}]$  is defined by:

$$\text{(Def. 30) } \text{SeqTran}(s, t, x) = \begin{cases} 1^{\text{st}}\text{SeqTran}(s, t, (\text{the transition of } s)(\langle 1^{\text{st}}\text{SeqState } x, \\ 1^{\text{st}}\text{SeqSymbol } x \rangle)), \text{ if there exists a state } p \text{ of } s \\ \text{and there exists a symbol } y \text{ of } s \text{ such that } x = \\ \langle \langle p, \text{ the initial state of } t \rangle, y \rangle \text{ and } p \neq \text{ the accepting} \\ \text{state of } s, \\ 2^{\text{nd}}\text{SeqTran}(s, t, (\text{the transition of } t)(\langle 2^{\text{nd}}\text{SeqState } x, \\ 2^{\text{nd}}\text{SeqSymbol } x \rangle)), \text{ if there exists a state } q \text{ of } t \\ \text{and there exists a symbol } y \text{ of } t \text{ such that } x = \\ \langle \langle \text{the accepting state of } s, q \rangle, y \rangle, \\ \langle x_1, x_2, -1 \rangle, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $s, t$  be Turing machine structures. The functor  $\text{SeqTran}(s, t)$  yielding a function from  $[\text{SeqStates}(s, t), (\text{the symbols of } s) \cup (\text{the symbols of } t)]$  into  $[\text{SeqStates}(s, t), (\text{the symbols of } s) \cup (\text{the symbols of } t), \{-1, 0, 1\}]$  is defined by:

(Def. 31) For every element  $x$  of  $[\text{SeqStates}(s, t), (\text{the symbols of } s) \cup (\text{the symbols of } t)]$  holds  $(\text{SeqTran}(s, t))(x) = \text{SeqTran}(s, t, x)$ .

Let  $T_1, T_2$  be Turing machine structures. The functor  $T_1; T_2$  yielding a strict Turing machine structure is defined by the conditions (Def. 32).

- (Def. 32)(i) The symbols of  $T_1; T_2 = (\text{the symbols of } T_1) \cup (\text{the symbols of } T_2)$ ,  
(ii) the control states of  $T_1; T_2 = \text{SeqStates}(T_1, T_2)$ ,  
(iii) the transition of  $T_1; T_2 = \text{SeqTran}(T_1, T_2)$ ,  
(iv) the initial state of  $T_1; T_2 = \langle \text{the initial state of } T_1, \text{ the initial state of } T_2 \rangle$ , and  
(v) the accepting state of  $T_1; T_2 = \langle \text{the accepting state of } T_1, \text{ the accepting state of } T_2 \rangle$ .

We now state several propositions:

- (48) Let  $T_1, T_2$  be Turing machine structures,  $g$  be a transition-target of  $T_1$ ,  $p$  be a state of  $T_1$ , and  $y$  be a symbol of  $T_1$ . Suppose  $p \neq$  the accepting state of  $T_1$  and  $g = (\text{the transition of } T_1)(\langle p, y \rangle)$ . Then  $(\text{the transition of } T_1; T_2)(\langle \langle p, \text{ the initial state of } T_2 \rangle, y \rangle) = \langle \langle g_1, \text{ the initial state of } T_2 \rangle, g_2, g_3 \rangle$ .
- (49) Let  $T_1, T_2$  be Turing machine structures,  $g$  be a transition-target of  $T_2$ ,  $q$  be a state of  $T_2$ , and  $y$  be a symbol of  $T_2$ . Suppose  $g = (\text{the transition of } T_2)(\langle q, y \rangle)$ . Then  $(\text{the transition of } T_1; T_2)(\langle \langle \text{the accepting state of } T_1, q \rangle, y \rangle) = \langle \langle \text{the accepting state of } T_1, g_1 \rangle, g_2, g_3 \rangle$ .
- (50) Let  $T_1, T_2$  be Turing machine structures,  $s_1$  be a State of  $T_1$ ,  $h$  be a natural number,  $t$  be a tape of  $T_1$ ,  $s_2$  be a State of  $T_2$ , and  $s_3$  be a State of  $T_1; T_2$ . Suppose that
- (i)  $s_1$  is accepting,
  - (ii)  $s_1 = \langle \text{the initial state of } T_1, h, t \rangle$ ,
  - (iii)  $s_2$  is accepting,

- (iv)  $s_2 = \langle \text{the initial state of } T_2, (\text{Result}(s_1))_2, (\text{Result}(s_1))_3 \rangle$ , and
- (v)  $s_3 = \langle \text{the initial state of } T_1; T_2, h, t \rangle$ .  
Then  $s_3$  is accepting and  $(\text{Result}(s_3))_2 = (\text{Result}(s_2))_2$  and  $(\text{Result}(s_3))_3 = (\text{Result}(s_2))_3$ .
- (51) Let  $t_3, t_4$  be Turing machine structures and  $t$  be a tape of  $t_3$ . If the symbols of  $t_3 =$  the symbols of  $t_4$ , then  $t$  is a tape of  $t_3; t_4$ .
- (52) Let  $t_3, t_4$  be Turing machine structures and  $t$  be a tape of  $t_3; t_4$ . Suppose the symbols of  $t_3 =$  the symbols of  $t_4$ . Then  $t$  is a tape of  $t_3$  and a tape of  $t_4$ .
- (53) Let  $f$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $t_3, t_4$  be Turing machine structures,  $t_1$  be a tape of  $t_3$ , and  $t_2$  be a tape of  $t_4$ . If  $t_1 = t_2$  and  $t_1$  stores data  $f$ , then  $t_2$  stores data  $f$ .
- (54) Let  $s$  be a State of ZeroTuring; SuccTuring,  $t$  be a tape of ZeroTuring, and  $h_1, n$  be natural numbers. Suppose  $s = \langle \langle 0, 0 \rangle, h_1, t \rangle$  and  $t$  stores data  $\langle h_1, n \rangle$ . Then  $s$  is accepting and  $(\text{Result}(s))_2 = h_1$  and  $(\text{Result}(s))_3$  stores data  $\langle h_1, 1 \rangle$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek and Piotr Rudnicki. The set of primitive recursive functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(4):705–720, 2001.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. A classical first order language. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):669–676, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):521–527, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [10] Jing-Chao Chen. A small computer model with push-down stack. *Formalized Mathematics*, 8(1):175–182, 1999.
- [11] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [12] Dariusz Surowik. Cyclic groups and some of their properties - part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):623–627, 1991.
- [13] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):115–122, 1990.
- [14] Andrzej Trybulec. Function domains and Frænkel operator. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):495–500, 1990.
- [15] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [16] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):97–105, 1990.
- [17] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):501–505, 1990.

- [18] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [19] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [20] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

*Received July 27, 2001*

---