

# Lattice of Substitutions is a Heyting Algebra

Adam Grabowski  
University of Białystok

MML Identifier: HEYTING2.

The terminology and notation used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [2], [15], [1], [7], [13], [9], [3], [4], [10], [18], [5], [16], [17], [11], [14], [8], [12], and [6].

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

We adopt the following convention:  $V, C, x$  are sets and  $A, B$  are elements of  $\text{SubstitutionSet}(V, C)$ .

Let  $a, b$  be sets. Note that  $\{\langle a, b \rangle\}$  is function-like and relation-like.

Let  $A, B$  be sets. Observe that  $A \dot{\rightarrow} B$  is functional.

Next we state several propositions:

- (1) For all non empty sets  $V, C$  there exists an element  $f$  of  $V \dot{\rightarrow} C$  such that  $f \neq \emptyset$ .
- (2) For all sets  $a, b$  such that  $b \in \text{SubstitutionSet}(V, C)$  and  $a \in b$  holds  $a$  is a finite function.
- (3) For every element  $f$  of  $V \dot{\rightarrow} C$  and for every set  $g$  such that  $g \subseteq f$  holds  $g \in V \dot{\rightarrow} C$ .
- (4)  $V \dot{\rightarrow} C \subseteq 2^{\{V, C\}}$ .
- (5) If  $V$  is finite and  $C$  is finite, then  $V \dot{\rightarrow} C$  is finite.

One can check that there exists a set which is functional, finite, and non empty.

## 2. SOME PROPERTIES OF SETS OF SUBSTITUTIONS

One can prove the following four propositions:

- (6) For every finite element  $a$  of  $V \dot{\rightarrow} C$  holds  $\{a\} \in \text{SubstitutionSet}(V, C)$ .
- (7) If  $A \wedge B = A$ , then for every set  $a$  such that  $a \in A$  there exists a set  $b$  such that  $b \in B$  and  $b \subseteq a$ .
- (8) If  $\mu(A \wedge B) = A$ , then for every set  $a$  such that  $a \in A$  there exists a set  $b$  such that  $b \in B$  and  $b \subseteq a$ .
- (9) If for every set  $a$  such that  $a \in A$  there exists a set  $b$  such that  $b \in B$  and  $b \subseteq a$ , then  $\mu(A \wedge B) = A$ .

Let  $V$  be a set, let  $C$  be a finite set, and let  $A$  be an element of  $\text{Fin}(V \dot{\rightarrow} C)$ . The functor  $\text{Involved } A$  is defined by:

- (Def. 1)  $x \in \text{Involved } A$  iff there exists a finite function  $f$  such that  $f \in A$  and  $x \in \text{dom } f$ .

In the sequel  $C$  denotes a finite set.

The following propositions are true:

- (10) For every set  $V$  and for every finite set  $C$  and for every element  $A$  of  $\text{Fin}(V \dot{\rightarrow} C)$  holds  $\text{Involved } A \subseteq V$ .
- (11) For every set  $V$  and for every finite set  $C$  and for every element  $A$  of  $\text{Fin}(V \dot{\rightarrow} C)$  such that  $A = \emptyset$  holds  $\text{Involved } A = \emptyset$ .
- (12) For every set  $V$  and for every finite set  $C$  and for every element  $A$  of  $\text{Fin}(V \dot{\rightarrow} C)$  holds  $\text{Involved } A$  is finite.
- (13) For every finite set  $C$  and for every element  $A$  of  $\text{Fin}(\emptyset \dot{\rightarrow} C)$  holds  $\text{Involved } A = \emptyset$ .

Let  $V$  be a set, let  $C$  be a finite set, and let  $A$  be an element of  $\text{Fin}(V \dot{\rightarrow} C)$ . The functor  $-A$  yielding an element of  $\text{Fin}(V \dot{\rightarrow} C)$  is defined as follows:

- (Def. 2)  $-A = \{f; f \text{ ranges over elements of } \text{Involved } A \dot{\rightarrow} C : \bigwedge_{g: \text{element of } V \dot{\rightarrow} C} (g \in A \Rightarrow f \not\approx g)\}$ .

One can prove the following propositions:

- (14)  $A \wedge -A = \emptyset$ .
- (15) If  $A = \emptyset$ , then  $-A = \{\emptyset\}$ .
- (16) If  $A = \{\emptyset\}$ , then  $-A = \emptyset$ .
- (17) For every set  $V$  and for every finite set  $C$  and for every element  $A$  of  $\text{SubstitutionSet}(V, C)$  holds  $\mu(A \wedge -A) = \perp_{\text{SubstLatt}(V, C)}$ .
- (18) For every non empty set  $V$  and for every finite non empty set  $C$  and for every element  $A$  of  $\text{SubstitutionSet}(V, C)$  such that  $A = \emptyset$  holds  $\mu(-A) = \top_{\text{SubstLatt}(V, C)}$ .

- (19) Let  $V$  be a set,  $C$  be a finite set,  $A$  be an element of  $\text{SubstitutionSet}(V, C)$ ,  $a$  be an element of  $V \dot{\rightarrow} C$ , and  $B$  be an element of  $\text{SubstitutionSet}(V, C)$ . Suppose  $B = \{a\}$ . If  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , then there exists a finite set  $b$  such that  $b \in -A$  and  $b \subseteq a$ .

Let  $V$  be a set, let  $C$  be a finite set, and let  $A, B$  be elements of  $\text{Fin}(V \dot{\rightarrow} C)$ . The functor  $A \mapsto B$  yielding an element of  $\text{Fin}(V \dot{\rightarrow} C)$  is defined as follows:

- (Def. 3)  $A \mapsto B = (V \dot{\rightarrow} C) \cap \{\bigcup\{f(i) \setminus i; i \text{ ranges over elements of } V \dot{\rightarrow} C : i \in A\}; f \text{ ranges over elements of } A \dot{\rightarrow} B : \text{dom } f = A\}$ .

Next we state two propositions:

- (20) Let  $A, B$  be elements of  $\text{Fin}(V \dot{\rightarrow} C)$  and  $s$  be a set. Suppose  $s \in A \mapsto B$ . Then there exists a partial function  $f$  from  $A$  to  $B$  such that  $s = \bigcup\{f(i) \setminus i; i \text{ ranges over elements of } V \dot{\rightarrow} C : i \in A\}$  and  $\text{dom } f = A$ .
- (21) For every set  $V$  and for every finite set  $C$  and for every element  $A$  of  $\text{Fin}(V \dot{\rightarrow} C)$  such that  $A = \emptyset$  holds  $A \mapsto A = \{\emptyset\}$ .

We adopt the following convention:  $u, v$  are elements of the carrier of  $\text{SubstLatt}(V, C)$ ,  $a$  is an element of  $V \dot{\rightarrow} C$ , and  $K, L$  are elements of  $\text{SubstitutionSet}(V, C)$ .

The following proposition is true

- (22) For every set  $X$  such that  $X \subseteq u$  holds  $X$  is an element of the carrier of  $\text{SubstLatt}(V, C)$ .

### 3. LATTICE OF SUBSTITUTIONS IS IMPLICATIVE

Let us consider  $V, C$ . The functor  $\text{pseudo\_compl}(V, C)$  yielding a unary operation on the carrier of  $\text{SubstLatt}(V, C)$  is defined as follows:

- (Def. 4) For every element  $u'$  of  $\text{SubstitutionSet}(V, C)$  such that  $u' = u$  holds  $(\text{pseudo\_compl}(V, C))(u) = \mu(-u')$ .

The functor  $\text{StrongImpl}(V, C)$  yielding a binary operation on the carrier of  $\text{SubstLatt}(V, C)$  is defined by:

- (Def. 5) For all elements  $u', v'$  of  $\text{SubstitutionSet}(V, C)$  such that  $u' = u$  and  $v' = v$  holds  $(\text{StrongImpl}(V, C))(u, v) = \mu(u' \mapsto v')$ .

Let us consider  $u$ . The functor  $2^u$  yielding an element of  $\text{Fin}$  (the carrier of  $\text{SubstLatt}(V, C)$ ) is defined by:

- (Def. 6)  $2^u = 2^u$ .

The functor  $\square \setminus_u \square$  yielding a unary operation on the carrier of  $\text{SubstLatt}(V, C)$  is defined by:

- (Def. 7)  $(\square \setminus_u \square)(v) = u \setminus v$ .

Let us consider  $V, C$ . The functor  $\text{Atom}(V, C)$  yielding a function from  $V \dot{\rightarrow} C$  into the carrier of  $\text{SubstLatt}(V, C)$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 8) For every element  $a$  of  $V \dot{\rightarrow} C$  holds  $(\text{Atom}(V, C))(a) = \mu\{a\}$ .

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (23)  $\bigsqcup_K^f \text{Atom}(V, C) = \text{FinUnion}(K, \text{singleton}_{V \dot{\rightarrow} C})$ .
- (24) For every element  $u$  of  $\text{SubstitutionSet}(V, C)$  holds  $u = \bigsqcup_u^f \text{Atom}(V, C)$ .
- (25)  $(\square \setminus_u \square)(v) \sqsubseteq u$ .
- (26) For every element  $a$  of  $V \dot{\rightarrow} C$  such that  $a$  is finite and for every set  $c$  such that  $c \in (\text{Atom}(V, C))(a)$  holds  $c = a$ .
- (27) For every element  $a$  of  $V \dot{\rightarrow} C$  such that  $K = \{a\}$  and  $L = u$  and  $L \wedge K = \emptyset$  holds  $(\text{Atom}(V, C))(a) \sqsubseteq (\text{pseudo\_compl}(V, C))(u)$ .
- (28) For every finite element  $a$  of  $V \dot{\rightarrow} C$  holds  $a \in (\text{Atom}(V, C))(a)$ .
- (29) Let  $u, v$  be elements of  $\text{SubstitutionSet}(V, C)$ . Suppose that for every set  $c$  such that  $c \in u$  there exists a set  $b$  such that  $b \in v$  and  $b \subseteq c \cup a$ . Then there exists a set  $b$  such that  $b \in u \mapsto v$  and  $b \subseteq a$ .
- (30) Let  $a$  be a finite element of  $V \dot{\rightarrow} C$ . Suppose for every element  $b$  of  $V \dot{\rightarrow} C$  such that  $b \in u$  holds  $b \approx a$  and  $u \sqcap (\text{Atom}(V, C))(a) \sqsubseteq v$ . Then  $(\text{Atom}(V, C))(a) \sqsubseteq (\text{StrongImpl}(V, C))(u, v)$ .
- (31)  $u \sqcap (\text{pseudo\_compl}(V, C))(u) = \perp_{\text{SubstLatt}(V, C)}$ .
- (32)  $u \sqcap (\text{StrongImpl}(V, C))(u, v) \sqsubseteq v$ .

Let us consider  $V, C$ . Observe that  $\text{SubstLatt}(V, C)$  is implicative.

One can prove the following proposition

- (33)  $u \Rightarrow v = \bigsqcup_{2u}^f ((\text{the meet operation of } \text{SubstLatt}(V, C))^\circ (\text{pseudo\_compl}(V, C), (\text{StrongImpl}(V, C))^\circ (\square \setminus_u \square, v)))$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Filters - part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):813–819, 1990.
- [2] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [7] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [8] Adam Grabowski. Lattice of substitutions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(3):359–361, 1997.
- [9] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):329–334, 1990.
- [10] Andrzej Trybulec. Finite join and finite meet and dual lattices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):983–988, 1990.
- [11] Andrzej Trybulec. Semilattice operations on finite subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):369–376, 1990.
- [12] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.

- [13] Andrzej Trybulec and Agata Darmochwał. Boolean domains. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):187–190, 1990.
- [14] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [15] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [16] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [17] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):181–186, 1990.
- [18] Stanisław Żukowski. Introduction to lattice theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):215–222, 1990.

*Received December 31, 1998*

---