

Miscellaneous Facts about Relation Structure¹

Agnieszka Julia Marasik
Warsaw University
Białystok

Summary. In the article notation and facts necessary to start with formalization of continuous lattices according to [5] are introduced.

MML Identifier: YELLOW_5.

The papers [1], [3], [4], [2], [6], and [7] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

1. INTRODUCTION

One can prove the following propositions:

- (1) For every reflexive antisymmetric relational structure L with l.u.b.'s and for every element a of L holds $a \sqcup a = a$.
- (2) For every reflexive antisymmetric relational structure L with g.l.b.'s and for every element a of L holds $a \sqcap a = a$.
- (3) Let L be a transitive antisymmetric relational structure with l.u.b.'s and a, b, c be elements of L . If $a \sqcup b \leq c$, then $a \leq c$.
- (4) Let L be a transitive antisymmetric relational structure with g.l.b.'s and a, b, c be elements of L . If $c \leq a \sqcap b$, then $c \leq a$.
- (5) Let L be an antisymmetric transitive relational structure with l.u.b.'s and g.l.b.'s and a, b, c be elements of L . Then $a \sqcap b \leq a \sqcup c$.

¹This work was partially supported by the Office of Naval Research Grant N00014-95-1-1336.

- (6) Let L be an antisymmetric transitive relational structure with g.l.b.'s and a, b, c be elements of L . If $a \leq b$, then $a \sqcap c \leq b \sqcap c$.
- (7) Let L be an antisymmetric transitive relational structure with l.u.b.'s and a, b, c be elements of L . If $a \leq b$, then $a \sqcup c \leq b \sqcup c$.
- (8) For every sup-semilattice L and for all elements a, b of L such that $a \leq b$ holds $a \sqcup b = b$.
- (9) For every sup-semilattice L and for all elements a, b, c of L such that $a \leq c$ and $b \leq c$ holds $a \sqcup b \leq c$.
- (10) For every semilattice L and for all elements a, b of L such that $b \leq a$ holds $a \sqcap b = b$.

2. DIFFERENCE IN RELATION STRUCTURE

We now state the proposition

- (11) For every Boolean lattice L and for all elements x, y of L holds y is a complement of x iff $y = \neg x$.

Let L be a non empty relational structure and let a, b be elements of L . The functor $a \setminus b$ yielding an element of L is defined as follows:

(Def. 1) $a \setminus b = a \sqcap \neg b$.

Let L be a non empty relational structure and let a, b be elements of L . The functor $a \dot{\setminus} b$ yields an element of L and is defined as follows:

(Def. 2) $a \dot{\setminus} b = (a \setminus b) \sqcup (b \setminus a)$.

Let L be an antisymmetric relational structure with g.l.b.'s and l.u.b.'s and let a, b be elements of L . Let us notice that the functor $a \dot{\setminus} b$ is commutative.

Let L be a non empty relational structure and let a, b be elements of L . We say that a meets b if and only if:

(Def. 3) $a \sqcap b \neq \perp_L$.

We introduce a misses b as an antonym of a meets b .

Let L be an antisymmetric relational structure with g.l.b.'s and let a, b be elements of L . Let us note that the predicate a meets b is symmetric. We introduce a misses b as an antonym of a meets b .

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (12) Let L be an antisymmetric transitive relational structure with g.l.b.'s and l.u.b.'s and a, b, c be elements of L . If $a \leq c$, then $a \setminus b \leq c$.
- (13) Let L be an antisymmetric transitive relational structure with g.l.b.'s and l.u.b.'s and a, b, c be elements of L . If $a \leq b$, then $a \setminus c \leq b \setminus c$.
- (14) Let L be an antisymmetric transitive relational structure with g.l.b.'s and l.u.b.'s and a, b be elements of L . Then $a \setminus b \leq a$.
- (15) Let L be an antisymmetric transitive relational structure with g.l.b.'s and l.u.b.'s and a, b be elements of L . Then $a \setminus b \leq a \dot{\setminus} b$.

- (16) For every lattice L and for all elements a, b, c of L such that $a \setminus b \leq c$ and $b \setminus a \leq c$ holds $a \dot{-} b \leq c$.
- (17) For every lattice L and for every element a of L holds a meets a iff $a \neq \perp_L$.
- (18) Let L be an antisymmetric transitive relational structure with g.l.b.'s and l.u.b.'s and a, b, c be elements of L . Then $a \sqcap (b \setminus c) = a \sqcap b \setminus c$.
- (19) Let L be an antisymmetric transitive relational structure with g.l.b.'s. Suppose L is distributive. Let a, b, c be elements of L . If $a \sqcap b \sqcup a \sqcap c = a$, then $a \leq b \sqcup c$.
- (20) For every lattice L such that L is distributive and for all elements a, b, c of L holds $a \sqcup b \sqcap c = (a \sqcup b) \sqcap (a \sqcup c)$.
- (21) For every lattice L such that L is distributive and for all elements a, b, c of L holds $(a \sqcup b) \setminus c = (a \setminus c) \sqcup (b \setminus c)$.

3. LOWER-BOUND IN RELATION STRUCTURE

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (22) Let L be a lower-bounded non empty antisymmetric relational structure and a be an element of L . If $a \leq \perp_L$, then $a = \perp_L$.
- (23) Let L be a lower-bounded semilattice and a, b, c be elements of L . If $a \leq b$ and $a \leq c$ and $b \sqcap c = \perp_L$, then $a = \perp_L$.
- (24) Let L be a lower-bounded antisymmetric relational structure with l.u.b.'s and a, b be elements of L . If $a \sqcup b = \perp_L$, then $a = \perp_L$ and $b = \perp_L$.
- (25) Let L be a lower-bounded antisymmetric transitive relational structure with g.l.b.'s and a, b, c be elements of L . If $a \leq b$ and $b \sqcap c = \perp_L$, then $a \sqcap c = \perp_L$.
- (26) For every lower-bounded semilattice L and for every element a of L holds $\perp_L \setminus a = \perp_L$.
- (27) Let L be a lower-bounded antisymmetric transitive relational structure with g.l.b.'s and a, b, c be elements of L . If a meets b and $b \leq c$, then a meets c .
- (28) Let L be a lower-bounded antisymmetric relational structure with g.l.b.'s and a be an element of L . Then $a \sqcap \perp_L = \perp_L$.
- (29) Let L be a lower-bounded antisymmetric transitive relational structure with g.l.b.'s and l.u.b.'s and a, b, c be elements of L . If a meets $b \sqcap c$, then a meets b .
- (30) Let L be a lower-bounded antisymmetric transitive relational structure with g.l.b.'s and l.u.b.'s and a, b, c be elements of L . If a meets $b \setminus c$, then a meets b .
- (31) Let L be a lower-bounded antisymmetric transitive relational structure with g.l.b.'s and a be an element of L . Then a misses \perp_L .

- (32) Let L be a lower-bounded antisymmetric transitive relational structure with g.l.b.'s and a, b, c be elements of L . If a misses c and $b \leq c$, then a misses b .
- (33) Let L be a lower-bounded antisymmetric transitive relational structure with g.l.b.'s and a, b, c be elements of L . If a misses b or a misses c , then a misses $b \sqcap c$.
- (34) Let L be a lower-bounded lattice and a, b, c be elements of L . If $a \leq b$ and $a \leq c$ and b misses c , then $a = \perp_L$.
- (35) Let L be a lower-bounded antisymmetric transitive relational structure with g.l.b.'s and a, b, c be elements of L . If a misses b , then $a \sqcap c$ misses $b \sqcap c$.

4. BOOLEAN LATTICES

We adopt the following rules: L will denote a Boolean non empty relational structure and a, b, c, d will denote elements of L .

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (36) $a \sqcap b \sqcup b \sqcap c \sqcup c \sqcap a = (a \sqcup b) \sqcap (b \sqcup c) \sqcap (c \sqcup a)$.
- (37) $a \sqcap \neg a = \perp_L$ and $a \sqcup \neg a = \top_L$.
- (38) If $a \setminus b \leq c$, then $a \leq b \sqcup c$.
- (39) $\neg(a \sqcup b) = \neg a \sqcap \neg b$ and $\neg(a \sqcap b) = \neg a \sqcup \neg b$.
- (40) If $a \leq b$, then $\neg b \leq \neg a$.
- (41) If $a \leq b$, then $c \setminus b \leq c \setminus a$.
- (42) If $a \leq b$ and $c \leq d$, then $a \setminus d \leq b \setminus c$.
- (43) If $a \leq b \sqcup c$, then $a \setminus b \leq c$ and $a \setminus c \leq b$.
- (44) $\neg a \leq \neg(a \sqcap b)$ and $\neg b \leq \neg(a \sqcap b)$.
- (45) $\neg(a \sqcup b) \leq \neg a$ and $\neg(a \sqcup b) \leq \neg b$.
- (46) If $a \leq b \setminus a$, then $a = \perp_L$.
- (47) If $a \leq b$, then $b = a \sqcup (b \setminus a)$.
- (48) $a \setminus b = \perp_L$ iff $a \leq b$.
- (49) If $a \leq b \sqcup c$ and $a \sqcap c = \perp_L$, then $a \leq b$.
- (50) $a \sqcup b = (a \setminus b) \sqcup b$.
- (51) $a \setminus (a \sqcup b) = \perp_L$.
- (52) $a \setminus a \sqcap b = a \setminus b$.
- (53) $(a \setminus b) \sqcap b = \perp_L$.
- (54) $a \sqcup (b \setminus a) = a \sqcup b$.
- (55) $a \sqcap b \sqcup (a \setminus b) = a$.
- (56) $a \setminus (b \setminus c) = (a \setminus b) \sqcup a \sqcap c$.
- (57) $a \setminus (a \setminus b) = a \sqcap b$.

- (58) $(a \sqcup b) \setminus b = a \setminus b$.
- (59) $a \sqcap b = \perp_L$ iff $a \setminus b = a$.
- (60) $a \setminus (b \sqcup c) = (a \setminus b) \sqcap (a \setminus c)$.
- (61) $a \setminus b \sqcap c = (a \setminus b) \sqcup (a \setminus c)$.
- (62) $a \sqcap (b \setminus c) = a \sqcap b \setminus a \sqcap c$.
- (63) $(a \sqcup b) \setminus a \sqcap b = (a \setminus b) \sqcup (b \setminus a)$.
- (64) $a \setminus b \setminus c = a \setminus (b \sqcup c)$.
- (65) $\neg(\perp_L) = \top_L$.
- (66) $\neg(\top_L) = \perp_L$.
- (67) $a \setminus a = \perp_L$.
- (68) $a \setminus \perp_L = a$.
- (69) $\neg(a \setminus b) = \neg a \sqcup b$.
- (70) $a \sqcap b$ misses $a \setminus b$.
- (71) $a \setminus b$ misses b .
- (72) If a misses b , then $(a \sqcup b) \setminus b = a$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):719–725, 1991.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):81–91, 1997.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):93–107, 1997.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Galois connections. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):131–143, 1997.
- [5] G. Gierz, K.H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J.D. Lawson, M. Mislove, and D.S. Scott. *A Compendium of Continuous Lattices*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1980.
- [6] Artur Kornilowicz. Cartesian products of relations and relational structures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):145–152, 1997.
- [7] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):313–319, 1990.

Received November 8, 1996
