

Conditional Branch Macro Instructions of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$. Part II

Noriko Asamoto
 Ochanomizu University
 Tokyo

MML Identifier: $\mathbf{SCMFSAB}$.

The papers [22], [31], [16], [7], [29], [11], [32], [13], [14], [10], [6], [8], [12], [30], [15], [21], [17], [18], [25], [20], [27], [28], [23], [24], [3], [9], [26], [19], [5], [4], [2], and [1] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (1) For every state s of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ holds $\mathbf{IC}_{\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}} \in \text{dom } s$.
- (2) For every state s of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ and for every instruction-location l of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ holds $l \in \text{dom } s$.
- (3) For every macro instruction I and for every state s of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ such that I is closed on s holds $\text{insloc}(0) \in \text{dom } I$.
- (4) For every state s of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ and for all instructions-locations l_1, l_2 of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ holds $s + \cdot \text{Start-At}(l_1) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(l_2) = s + \cdot \text{Start-At}(l_2)$.
- (5) For every state s of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ and for every macro instruction I holds $\text{Initialize}(s) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = (s + \cdot \text{Initialized}(I)) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$.
- (6) Let s_1, s_2 be states of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ and let I be a macro instruction. If $s_1 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s_2 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$, then if I is closed on s_1 , then I is closed on s_2 .
- (7) Let s_1, s_2 be states of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ and let I, J be macro instructions. Suppose $s_1 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s_2 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$. Then $s_1 + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))$ and $s_2 + \cdot (J + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))$ are equal outside the instruction locations of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$.
- (8) Let s_1, s_2 be states of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ and let I be a macro instruction. Suppose $s_1 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s_2 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$.

(Int-Locations \cup FinSeq-Locations). Suppose I is closed on s_1 and halting on s_1 . Then I is closed on s_2 and halting on s_2 .

- (9) For every state s of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ and for all macro instructions I, J holds I is closed on $\text{Initialize}(s)$ iff I is closed on $s+\cdot\text{Initialized}(J)$.
- (10) Let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let I, J be macro instructions, and let l be an instruction-location of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$. Then I is closed on s if and only if I is closed on $s+\cdot(I+\cdot\text{Start-At}(l))$.
- (11) Let s_1, s_2 be states of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ and let I be a macro instruction. Suppose $I+\cdot\text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)) \subseteq s_1$ and I is closed on s_1 . Let n be a natural number. Suppose $\text{ProgramPart}(\text{Relocated}(I, n)) \subseteq s_2$ and $\mathbf{IC}_{(s_2)} = \text{insloc}(n)$ and $s_1 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = s_2 \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$. Let i be a natural number. Then $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)} + n = \mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s_2))(i)}$ and $\text{IncAddr}(\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_1))(i)), n) = \text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_2))(i))$ and $(\text{Computation}(s_1))(i) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = (\text{Computation}(s_2))(i) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$.
- (12) Let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let i be a keeping 0 parahalting instruction of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let J be a parahalting macro instruction, and let a be an integer location. Then $(\text{IExec}(i; J, s))(a) = (\text{IExec}(J, \text{Exec}(i, \text{Initialize}(s))))(a)$.
- (13) Let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let i be a keeping 0 parahalting instruction of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let J be a parahalting macro instruction, and let f be a finite sequence location. Then $(\text{IExec}(i; J, s))(f) = (\text{IExec}(J, \text{Exec}(i, \text{Initialize}(s))))(f)$.

Let a be an integer location and let I, J be macro instructions. The functor $if = 0(a, I, J)$ yields a macro instruction and is defined by:

- (Def. 1) $if = 0(a, I, J) = (\mathbf{if} \ a = 0 \ \mathbf{goto} \ \text{insloc}(\text{card } J + 3)); J; \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + 1)); I; \text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$.

The functor $if > 0(a, I, J)$ yields a macro instruction and is defined by:

- (Def. 2) $if > 0(a, I, J) = (\mathbf{if} \ a > 0 \ \mathbf{goto} \ \text{insloc}(\text{card } J + 3)); J; \text{Goto}(\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + 1)); I; \text{Stop}_{\text{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}}$.

Let a be an integer location and let I, J be macro instructions. The functor $if < 0(a, I, J)$ yields a macro instruction and is defined as follows:

- (Def. 3) $if < 0(a, I, J) = if = 0(a, J, if > 0(a, J, I))$.

The following propositions are true:

- (14) For all macro instructions I, J and for every integer location a holds $\text{card } if = 0(a, I, J) = \text{card } I + \text{card } J + 4$.
- (15) For all macro instructions I, J and for every integer location a holds $\text{card } if > 0(a, I, J) = \text{card } I + \text{card } J + 4$.
- (16) Let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let I, J be macro instructions, and let a be a read-write integer location. Suppose $s(a) = 0$ and I is closed on

s and halting on s . Then $if = 0(a, I, J)$ is closed on s and $if = 0(a, I, J)$ is halting on s .

- (17) Let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let I, J be macro instructions, and let a be a read-write integer location. Suppose $s(a) = 0$ and I is closed on $\text{Initialize}(s)$ and halting on $\text{Initialize}(s)$. Then $\text{IExec}(if = 0(a, I, J), s) = \text{IExec}(I, s) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + \text{card } J + 3))$.
- (18) Let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let I, J be macro instructions, and let a be a read-write integer location. Suppose $s(a) \neq 0$ and J is closed on s and halting on s . Then $if = 0(a, I, J)$ is closed on s and $if = 0(a, I, J)$ is halting on s .
- (19) Let I, J be macro instructions, and let a be a read-write integer location, and let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$. Suppose $s(a) \neq 0$ and J is closed on $\text{Initialize}(s)$ and halting on $\text{Initialize}(s)$. Then $\text{IExec}(if = 0(a, I, J), s) = \text{IExec}(J, s) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + \text{card } J + 3))$.
- (20) Let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let I, J be parahalting macro instructions, and let a be a read-write integer location. Then $if = 0(a, I, J)$ is parahalting and if $s(a) = 0$, then $\text{IExec}(if = 0(a, I, J), s) = \text{IExec}(I, s) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + \text{card } J + 3))$ and if $s(a) \neq 0$, then $\text{IExec}(if = 0(a, I, J), s) = \text{IExec}(J, s) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + \text{card } J + 3))$.
- (21) Let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let I, J be parahalting macro instructions, and let a be a read-write integer location. Then
- (i) $\mathbf{IC}_{\text{IExec}(if=0(a,I,J),s)} = \text{insloc}(\text{card } I + \text{card } J + 3)$,
 - (ii) if $s(a) = 0$, then for every integer location d holds $(\text{IExec}(if = 0(a, I, J), s))(d) = (\text{IExec}(I, s))(d)$ and for every finite sequence location f holds $(\text{IExec}(if = 0(a, I, J), s))(f) = (\text{IExec}(I, s))(f)$, and
 - (iii) if $s(a) \neq 0$, then for every integer location d holds $(\text{IExec}(if = 0(a, I, J), s))(d) = (\text{IExec}(J, s))(d)$ and for every finite sequence location f holds $(\text{IExec}(if = 0(a, I, J), s))(f) = (\text{IExec}(J, s))(f)$.
- (22) Let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let I, J be macro instructions, and let a be a read-write integer location. Suppose $s(a) > 0$ and I is closed on s and halting on s . Then $if > 0(a, I, J)$ is closed on s and $if > 0(a, I, J)$ is halting on s .
- (23) Let I, J be macro instructions, and let a be a read-write integer location, and let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$. Suppose $s(a) > 0$ and I is closed on $\text{Initialize}(s)$ and halting on $\text{Initialize}(s)$. Then $\text{IExec}(if > 0(a, I, J), s) = \text{IExec}(I, s) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + \text{card } J + 3))$.
- (24) Let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let I, J be macro instructions, and let a be a read-write integer location. Suppose $s(a) \leq 0$ and J is closed on s and halting on s . Then $if > 0(a, I, J)$ is closed on s and $if > 0(a, I, J)$ is halting on s .
- (25) Let I, J be macro instructions, and let a be a read-write integer location, and let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$. Suppose $s(a) \leq 0$ and J is closed on

Initialize(s) and halting on Initialize(s). Then $\text{IExec}(if > 0(a, I, J), s) = \text{IExec}(J, s) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + \text{card } J + 3))$.

- (26) Let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let I, J be parahalting macro instructions, and let a be a read-write integer location. Then $if > 0(a, I, J)$ is parahalting and if $s(a) > 0$, then $\text{IExec}(if > 0(a, I, J), s) = \text{IExec}(I, s) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + \text{card } J + 3))$ and if $s(a) \leq 0$, then $\text{IExec}(if > 0(a, I, J), s) = \text{IExec}(J, s) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + \text{card } J + 3))$.
- (27) Let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let I, J be parahalting macro instructions, and let a be a read-write integer location. Then
- (i) $\mathbf{IC}_{\text{IExec}(if > 0(a, I, J), s)} = \text{insloc}(\text{card } I + \text{card } J + 3)$,
 - (ii) if $s(a) > 0$, then for every integer location d holds $(\text{IExec}(if > 0(a, I, J), s))(d) = (\text{IExec}(I, s))(d)$ and for every finite sequence location f holds $(\text{IExec}(if > 0(a, I, J), s))(f) = (\text{IExec}(I, s))(f)$, and
 - (iii) if $s(a) \leq 0$, then for every integer location d holds $(\text{IExec}(if > 0(a, I, J), s))(d) = (\text{IExec}(J, s))(d)$ and for every finite sequence location f holds $(\text{IExec}(if > 0(a, I, J), s))(f) = (\text{IExec}(J, s))(f)$.
- (28) Let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let I, J be macro instructions, and let a be a read-write integer location. Suppose $s(a) < 0$ and I is closed on s and halting on s . Then $if < 0(a, I, J)$ is closed on s and $if < 0(a, I, J)$ is halting on s .
- (29) Let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let I, J be macro instructions, and let a be a read-write integer location. Suppose $s(a) < 0$ and I is closed on Initialize(s) and halting on Initialize(s). Then $\text{IExec}(if < 0(a, I, J), s) = \text{IExec}(I, s) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + \text{card } J + \text{card } J + 7))$.
- (30) Let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let I, J be macro instructions, and let a be a read-write integer location. Suppose $s(a) = 0$ and J is closed on s and halting on s . Then $if < 0(a, I, J)$ is closed on s and $if < 0(a, I, J)$ is halting on s .
- (31) Let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let I, J be macro instructions, and let a be a read-write integer location. Suppose $s(a) = 0$ and J is closed on Initialize(s) and halting on Initialize(s). Then $\text{IExec}(if < 0(a, I, J), s) = \text{IExec}(J, s) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + \text{card } J + \text{card } J + 7))$.
- (32) Let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let I, J be macro instructions, and let a be a read-write integer location. Suppose $s(a) > 0$ and J is closed on s and halting on s . Then $if < 0(a, I, J)$ is closed on s and $if < 0(a, I, J)$ is halting on s .
- (33) Let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let I, J be macro instructions, and let a be a read-write integer location. Suppose $s(a) > 0$ and J is closed on Initialize(s) and halting on Initialize(s). Then $\text{IExec}(if < 0(a, I, J), s) = \text{IExec}(J, s) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + \text{card } J + \text{card } J + 7))$.
- (34) Let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let I, J be parahalting macro instructions, and let a be a read-write integer location. Then

- (i) $if < 0(a, I, J)$ is parahalting,
- (ii) if $s(a) < 0$, then $\text{IExec}(if < 0(a, I, J), s) = \text{IExec}(I, s) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + \text{card } J + \text{card } J + 7))$, and
- (iii) if $s(a) \geq 0$, then $\text{IExec}(if < 0(a, I, J), s) = \text{IExec}(J, s) + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(\text{card } I + \text{card } J + \text{card } J + 7))$.

Let I, J be parahalting macro instructions and let a be a read-write integer location. Observe that $if = 0(a, I, J)$ is parahalting and $if > 0(a, I, J)$ is parahalting.

Let a, b be integer locations and let I, J be macro instructions. The functor $if = 0(a, b, I, J)$ yields a macro instruction and is defined as follows:

(Def. 4) $if = 0(a, b, I, J) = \text{SubFrom}(a, b); if = 0(a, I, J)$.

The functor $if > 0(a, b, I, J)$ yields a macro instruction and is defined by:

(Def. 5) $if > 0(a, b, I, J) = \text{SubFrom}(a, b); if > 0(a, I, J)$.

We introduce $if < 0(b, a, I, J)$ as a synonym of $if > 0(a, b, I, J)$.

Let I, J be parahalting macro instructions and let a, b be read-write integer locations. One can check that $if = 0(a, b, I, J)$ is parahalting and $if > 0(a, b, I, J)$ is parahalting.

Next we state several propositions:

- (35) For every state s of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ and for every macro instruction I holds $\text{Result}(s + \cdot \text{Initialized}(I)) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations}) = \text{IExec}(I, s) \upharpoonright (\text{Int-Locations} \cup \text{FinSeq-Locations})$.
- (36) Let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let I be a macro instruction, and let a be an integer location. Then $\text{Result}(s + \cdot \text{Initialized}(I))$ and $\text{IExec}(I, s)$ are equal outside the instruction locations of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$.
- (37) Let s_1, s_2 be states of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let i be an instruction of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let a be an integer location. Suppose that
 - (i) for every integer location b such that $a \neq b$ holds $s_1(b) = s_2(b)$,
 - (ii) for every finite sequence location f holds $s_1(f) = s_2(f)$,
 - (iii) i does not refer a , and
 - (iv) $\mathbf{IC}_{(s_1)} = \mathbf{IC}_{(s_2)}$.

Then

- (v) for every integer location b such that $a \neq b$ holds $(\text{Exec}(i, s_1))(b) = (\text{Exec}(i, s_2))(b)$,
- (vi) for every finite sequence location f holds $(\text{Exec}(i, s_1))(f) = (\text{Exec}(i, s_2))(f)$, and
- (vii) $\mathbf{IC}_{\text{Exec}(i, s_1)} = \mathbf{IC}_{\text{Exec}(i, s_2)}$.
- (38) Let s_1, s_2 be states of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let I be a macro instruction, and let a be an integer location. Suppose that
 - (i) I does not refer a ,
 - (ii) for every integer location b such that $a \neq b$ holds $s_1(b) = s_2(b)$,
 - (iii) for every finite sequence location f holds $s_1(f) = s_2(f)$, and
 - (iv) I is closed on s_1 and halting on s_1 .

Let k be a natural number. Then

- (v) for every integer location b such that $a \neq b$ holds $(\text{Computation}(s_1 + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)(b) = (\text{Computation}(s_2 + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)(b)$,
 - (vi) for every finite sequence location f holds $(\text{Computation}(s_1 + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)(f) = (\text{Computation}(s_2 + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)(f)$,
 - (vii) $\mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s_1 + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)} = \mathbf{IC}_{(\text{Computation}(s_2 + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)}$, and
 - (viii) $\text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_1 + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k)) = \text{CurInstr}((\text{Computation}(s_2 + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(\text{insloc}(0)))))(k))$.
- (39) Let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let I, J be macro instructions, and let l be an instruction-location of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$. Then I is closed on s and halting on s if and only if I is closed on $s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(l))$ and halting on $s + \cdot (I + \cdot \text{Start-At}(l))$.
- (40) Let s_1, s_2 be states of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let I be a macro instruction, and let a be an integer location. Suppose that
- (i) I does not refer a ,
 - (ii) for every integer location b such that $a \neq b$ holds $s_1(b) = s_2(b)$,
 - (iii) for every finite sequence location f holds $s_1(f) = s_2(f)$, and
 - (iv) I is closed on s_1 and halting on s_1 .
- Then I is closed on s_2 and halting on s_2 .
- (41) Let s_1, s_2 be states of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let I be a macro instruction, and let a be an integer location. Suppose that
- (i) for every read-write integer location d such that $a \neq d$ holds $s_1(d) = s_2(d)$,
 - (ii) for every finite sequence location f holds $s_1(f) = s_2(f)$,
 - (iii) I does not refer a , and
 - (iv) I is closed on $\text{Initialize}(s_1)$ and halting on $\text{Initialize}(s_1)$.
- Then
- (v) for every integer location d such that $a \neq d$ holds $(\text{IExec}(I, s_1))(d) = (\text{IExec}(I, s_2))(d)$,
 - (vi) for every finite sequence location f holds $(\text{IExec}(I, s_1))(f) = (\text{IExec}(I, s_2))(f)$, and
 - (vii) $\mathbf{IC}_{\text{IExec}(I, s_1)} = \mathbf{IC}_{\text{IExec}(I, s_2)}$.
- (42) Let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let I, J be parahalting macro instructions, and let a, b be read-write integer locations. Suppose I does not refer a and J does not refer a . Then
- (i) $\mathbf{IC}_{\text{IExec}(if=0(a,b,I,J),s)} = \text{insloc}(\text{card } I + \text{card } J + 5)$,
 - (ii) if $s(a) = s(b)$, then for every integer location d such that $a \neq d$ holds $(\text{IExec}(if = 0(a, b, I, J), s))(d) = (\text{IExec}(I, s))(d)$ and for every finite sequence location f holds $(\text{IExec}(if = 0(a, b, I, J), s))(f) = (\text{IExec}(I, s))(f)$, and
 - (iii) if $s(a) \neq s(b)$, then for every integer location d such that $a \neq d$ holds $(\text{IExec}(if = 0(a, b, I, J), s))(d) = (\text{IExec}(J, s))(d)$ and for ev-

ery finite sequence location f holds $(\text{IExec}(if = 0(a, b, I, J), s))(f) = (\text{IExec}(J, s))(f)$.

- (43) Let s be a state of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$, and let I, J be parahalting macro instructions, and let a, b be read-write integer locations. Suppose I does not refer a and J does not refer a . Then
- (i) $\mathbf{IC}_{\text{IExec}(if > 0(a, b, I, J), s)} = \text{insloc}(\text{card } I + \text{card } J + 5)$,
 - (ii) if $s(a) > s(b)$, then for every integer location d such that $a \neq d$ holds $(\text{IExec}(if > 0(a, b, I, J), s))(d) = (\text{IExec}(I, s))(d)$ and for every finite sequence location f holds $(\text{IExec}(if > 0(a, b, I, J), s))(f) = (\text{IExec}(I, s))(f)$, and
 - (iii) if $s(a) \leq s(b)$, then for every integer location d such that $a \neq d$ holds $(\text{IExec}(if > 0(a, b, I, J), s))(d) = (\text{IExec}(J, s))(d)$ and for every finite sequence location f holds $(\text{IExec}(if > 0(a, b, I, J), s))(f) = (\text{IExec}(J, s))(f)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Noriko Asamoto. Conditional branch macro instructions of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$. Part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):65–72, 1997.
- [2] Noriko Asamoto. Constant assignment macro instructions of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$. Part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):59–63, 1997.
- [3] Noriko Asamoto. Some multi instructions defined by sequence of instructions of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):615–619, 1996.
- [4] Noriko Asamoto, Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, and Andrzej Trybulec. On the composition of macro instructions. Part III. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):53–57, 1997.
- [5] Noriko Asamoto, Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, and Andrzej Trybulec. On the composition of macro instructions. Part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):41–47, 1997.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [8] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [9] Grzegorz Bancerek and Piotr Rudnicki. Development of terminology for \mathbf{SCM} . *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):61–67, 1993.
- [10] Grzegorz Bancerek and Andrzej Trybulec. Miscellaneous facts about functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):485–492, 1996.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. A classical first order language. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):669–676, 1990.
- [12] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [13] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [14] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):521–527, 1990.
- [15] Czesław Byliński. Products and coproducts in categories. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):701–709, 1991.
- [16] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [17] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. A mathematical model of CPU. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(2):151–160, 1992.
- [18] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. On a mathematical model of programs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(2):241–250, 1992.

- [19] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. Memory handling for $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):29–36, 1997.
- [20] Yasushi Tanaka. On the decomposition of the states of SCM. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):1–8, 1996.
- [21] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):25–34, 1990.
- [22] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [23] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Modifying addresses of instructions of $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):571–576, 1996.
- [24] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Relocability for $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):583–586, 1996.
- [25] Andrzej Trybulec and Yatsuka Nakamura. Some remarks on the simple concrete model of computer. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):51–56, 1993.
- [26] Andrzej Trybulec, Yatsuka Nakamura, and Noriko Asamoto. On the compositions of macro instructions. Part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):21–27, 1997.
- [27] Andrzej Trybulec, Yatsuka Nakamura, and Piotr Rudnicki. An extension of \mathbf{SCM} . *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):507–512, 1996.
- [28] Andrzej Trybulec, Yatsuka Nakamura, and Piotr Rudnicki. The $\mathbf{SCM}_{\text{FSA}}$ computer. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):519–528, 1996.
- [29] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [30] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [31] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [32] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

Received August 27, 1996
