

# Opposite Rings, Modules and their Morphisms

Michał Muzalewski  
Warsaw University  
Białystok

**Summary.** Let  $\mathbb{K} = \langle S; K, 0, 1, +, \cdot \rangle$  be a ring. The structure  ${}^{\text{op}}\mathbb{K} = \langle S; K, 0, 1, +, \bullet \rangle$  is called anti-ring, if  $\alpha \bullet \beta = \beta \cdot \alpha$  for elements  $\alpha, \beta$  of  $K$  [12, pages 5–7]. It is easily seen that  ${}^{\text{op}}\mathbb{K}$  is also a ring. If  $V$  is a left module over  $\mathbb{K}$ , then  $V$  is a right module over  ${}^{\text{op}}\mathbb{K}$ . If  $W$  is a right module over  $\mathbb{K}$ , then  $W$  is a left module over  ${}^{\text{op}}\mathbb{K}$ . Let  $K, L$  be rings. A morphism  $J : K \rightarrow L$  is called anti-homomorphism, if  $J(\alpha \cdot \beta) = J(\beta) \cdot J(\alpha)$  for elements  $\alpha, \beta$  of  $K$ . If  $J : K \rightarrow L$  is a homomorphism, then  $J : K \rightarrow {}^{\text{op}}L$  is an anti-homomorphism. Let  $K, L$  be rings,  $V, W$  left modules over  $K, L$  respectively and  $J : K \rightarrow L$  an anti-monomorphism. A map  $f : V \rightarrow W$  is called  $J$ -semilinear, if  $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$  and  $f(\alpha \cdot x) = J(\alpha) \cdot f(x)$  for vectors  $x, y$  of  $V$  and a scalar  $\alpha$  of  $K$ .

MML Identifier: MOD\_4.

The papers [19], [18], [21], [3], [4], [1], [20], [17], [2], [7], [8], [11], [14], [15], [16], [5], [6], [9], [13], and [10] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

## 1. OPPOSITE FUNCTIONS

In the sequel  $A, B, C$  are non-empty sets and  $f$  is a function from  $[A, B]$  into  $C$ . Let us consider  $A, B, C, f$ . Then  $\curvearrowright f$  is a function from  $[B, A]$  into  $C$ .

We now state the proposition

- (1) For every element  $x$  of  $A$  and for every element  $y$  of  $B$  holds  $f(x, y) = (\curvearrowright f)(y, x)$ .

## 2. OPPOSITE RINGS

In the sequel  $K, L$  will be field structures. Let us consider  $K$ . The functor  ${}^{\text{op}}K$  yielding a strict field structure is defined by:

(Def.1)  ${}^{\text{op}}K = \langle \text{the carrier of } K, \curvearrowright(\text{the multiplication of } K), \text{the addition of } K, \text{the reverse-map of } K, \text{the unity of } K, \text{the zero of } K \rangle$ .

We now state four propositions:

- (2) The group structure of  ${}^{\text{op}}K =$  the group structure of  $K$  and for an arbitrary  $x$  holds  $x$  is a scalar of  ${}^{\text{op}}K$  if and only if  $x$  is a scalar of  $K$ .
- (3)  ${}^{\text{op}}({}^{\text{op}}K) =$  the field structure of  $K$ .
- (4) (i)  $0_K = 0_{{}^{\text{op}}K}$ ,  
(ii)  $1_K = 1_{{}^{\text{op}}K}$ ,
- (iii) for all scalars  $x, y, z, u$  of  $K$  and for all scalars  $a, b, c, d$  of  ${}^{\text{op}}K$  such that  $x = a$  and  $y = b$  and  $z = c$  and  $u = d$  holds  $x + y = a + b$  and  $x \cdot y = b \cdot a$  and  $-x = -a$  and  $x + y + z = a + b + c$  and  $x + (y + z) = a + (b + c)$  and  $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = c \cdot (b \cdot a)$  and  $x \cdot (y \cdot z) = (c \cdot b) \cdot a$  and  $x \cdot (y + z) = (b + c) \cdot a$  and  $(y + z) \cdot x = a \cdot (b + c)$  and  $x \cdot y + z \cdot u = b \cdot a + d \cdot c$ .
- (5) For every ring  $K$  holds  ${}^{\text{op}}K$  is a strict ring.

Let  $K$  be a ring. Then  ${}^{\text{op}}K$  is a strict ring.

One can prove the following proposition

- (6) For every associative ring  $K$  holds  ${}^{\text{op}}K$  is an associative ring.

Let  $K$  be an associative ring. Then  ${}^{\text{op}}K$  is a strict associative ring.

Next we state the proposition

- (7) For every skew field  $K$  holds  ${}^{\text{op}}K$  is a skew field.

Let  $K$  be a skew field. Then  ${}^{\text{op}}K$  is a strict skew field.

One can prove the following proposition

- (8) For every field  $K$  holds  ${}^{\text{op}}K$  is a strict field.

Let  $K$  be a field. Then  ${}^{\text{op}}K$  is a strict field.

## 3. OPPOSITE MODULES

In the sequel  $V$  denotes a left module structure over  $K$ . Let us consider  $K, V$ . The functor  ${}^{\text{op}}V$  yields a strict right module structure over  ${}^{\text{op}}K$  and is defined as follows:

(Def.2) for every function  $o$  from [ the carrier of  $V$ , the carrier of  ${}^{\text{op}}K$  ] into the carrier of  $V$  such that  $o = \curvearrowright(\text{the left multiplication of } V)$  holds  ${}^{\text{op}}V = \langle \text{the carrier of } V, \text{the addition of } V, \text{the reverse-map of } V, \text{the zero of } V, o \rangle$ .

The following proposition is true

- (9) The group structure of  ${}^{\text{op}}V =$  the group structure of  $V$  and for an arbitrary  $x$  holds  $x$  is a vector of  $V$  if and only if  $x$  is a vector of  ${}^{\text{op}}V$ .

Let us consider  $K, V$ , and let  $o$  be a function from  $\{$ the carrier of  $K$ , the carrier of  $V$  $\}$  into the carrier of  $V$ . The functor  ${}^{\text{op}}o$  yields a function from  $\{$ the carrier of  ${}^{\text{op}}V$ , the carrier of  ${}^{\text{op}}K$  $\}$  into the carrier of  ${}^{\text{op}}V$  and is defined by:

(Def.3)  ${}^{\text{op}}o = \curvearrowright o$ .

One can prove the following two propositions:

- (10) The right multiplication of  ${}^{\text{op}}V = {}^{\text{op}}(\text{the left multiplication of } V)$ .
- (11)  ${}^{\text{op}}V = \langle \text{the carrier of } {}^{\text{op}}V, \text{the addition of } {}^{\text{op}}V, \text{the reverse-map of } {}^{\text{op}}V, \text{the zero of } {}^{\text{op}}V, {}^{\text{op}}(\text{the left multiplication of } V) \rangle$ .

In the sequel  $W$  denotes a right module structure over  $K$ . Let us consider  $K, W$ . The functor  ${}^{\text{op}}W$  yields a strict left module structure over  ${}^{\text{op}}K$  and is defined by:

(Def.4) for every function  $o$  from  $\{$ the carrier of  ${}^{\text{op}}K$ , the carrier of  $W$  $\}$  into the carrier of  $W$  such that  $o = \curvearrowleft(\text{the right multiplication of } W)$  holds  ${}^{\text{op}}W = \langle \text{the carrier of } W, \text{the addition of } W, \text{the reverse-map of } W, \text{the zero of } W, o \rangle$ .

We now state the proposition

- (12) The group structure of  ${}^{\text{op}}W = \text{the group structure of } W$  and for an arbitrary  $x$  holds  $x$  is a vector of  $W$  if and only if  $x$  is a vector of  ${}^{\text{op}}W$ .

Let us consider  $K, W$ , and let  $o$  be a function from  $\{$ the carrier of  $W$ , the carrier of  $K$  $\}$  into the carrier of  $W$ . The functor  ${}^{\text{op}}o$  yielding a function from  $\{$ the carrier of  ${}^{\text{op}}K$ , the carrier of  ${}^{\text{op}}W$  $\}$  into the carrier of  ${}^{\text{op}}W$  is defined as follows:

(Def.5)  ${}^{\text{op}}o = \curvearrowright o$ .

The following propositions are true:

- (13) The left multiplication of  ${}^{\text{op}}W = {}^{\text{op}}(\text{the right multiplication of } W)$ .
- (14)  ${}^{\text{op}}W = \langle \text{the carrier of } {}^{\text{op}}W, \text{the addition of } {}^{\text{op}}W, \text{the reverse-map of } {}^{\text{op}}W, \text{the zero of } {}^{\text{op}}W, {}^{\text{op}}(\text{the right multiplication of } W) \rangle$ .
- (15) For every function  $o$  from  $\{$ the carrier of  $K$ , the carrier of  $V$  $\}$  into the carrier of  $V$  holds  ${}^{\text{op}}({}^{\text{op}}o) = o$ .
- (16) For every function  $o$  from  $\{$ the carrier of  $K$ , the carrier of  $V$  $\}$  into the carrier of  $V$  and for every scalar  $x$  of  $K$  and for every scalar  $y$  of  ${}^{\text{op}}K$  and for every vector  $v$  of  $V$  and for every vector  $w$  of  ${}^{\text{op}}V$  such that  $x = y$  and  $v = w$  holds  $({}^{\text{op}}o)(w, y) = o(x, v)$ .
- (17) Let  $K, L$  be rings. Then for every  $V$  being a left module structure over  $K$  and for every  $W$  being a right module structure over  $L$  and for every scalar  $x$  of  $K$  and for every scalar  $y$  of  $L$  and for every vector  $v$  of  $V$  and for every vector  $w$  of  $W$  such that  $L = {}^{\text{op}}K$  and  $W = {}^{\text{op}}V$  and  $x = y$  and  $v = w$  holds  $w \cdot y = x \cdot v$ .
- (18) For all rings  $K, L$  and for every  $V$  being a left module structure over  $K$  and for every  $W$  being a right module structure over  $L$  and for all vectors  $v_1, v_2$  of  $V$  and for all vectors  $w_1, w_2$  of  $W$  such that  $L = {}^{\text{op}}K$  and  $W = {}^{\text{op}}V$  and  $v_1 = w_1$  and  $v_2 = w_2$  holds  $w_1 + w_2 = v_1 + v_2$ .

- (19) For every function  $o$  from [the carrier of  $W$ , the carrier of  $K$ ] into the carrier of  $W$  holds  ${}^{\text{op}}({}^{\text{op}}o) = o$ .
- (20) For every function  $o$  from [the carrier of  $W$ , the carrier of  $K$ ] into the carrier of  $W$  and for every scalar  $x$  of  $K$  and for every scalar  $y$  of  ${}^{\text{op}}K$  and for every vector  $v$  of  $W$  and for every vector  $w$  of  ${}^{\text{op}}W$  such that  $x = y$  and  $v = w$  holds  $({}^{\text{op}}o)(y, w) = o(v, x)$ .
- (21) Let  $K, L$  be rings. Then for every  $V$  being a left module structure over  $K$  and for every  $W$  being a right module structure over  $L$  and for every scalar  $x$  of  $K$  and for every scalar  $y$  of  $L$  and for every vector  $v$  of  $V$  and for every vector  $w$  of  $W$  such that  $K = {}^{\text{op}}L$  and  $V = {}^{\text{op}}W$  and  $x = y$  and  $v = w$  holds  $w \cdot y = x \cdot v$ .
- (22) For all rings  $K, L$  and for every  $V$  being a left module structure over  $K$  and for every  $W$  being a right module structure over  $L$  and for all vectors  $v_1, v_2$  of  $V$  and for all vectors  $w_1, w_2$  of  $W$  such that  $K = {}^{\text{op}}L$  and  $V = {}^{\text{op}}W$  and  $v_1 = w_1$  and  $v_2 = w_2$  holds  $w_1 + w_2 = v_1 + v_2$ .
- (23) For every  $K$  being a strict field structure and for every  $V$  being a left module structure over  $K$  holds  ${}^{\text{op}}({}^{\text{op}}V) =$  the left module structure of  $V$ .
- (24) For every  $K$  being a strict field structure and for every  $W$  being a right module structure over  $K$  holds  ${}^{\text{op}}({}^{\text{op}}W) =$  the right module structure of  $W$ .
- (25) For every associative ring  $K$  and for every left module  $V$  over  $K$  holds  ${}^{\text{op}}V$  is a strict right module over  ${}^{\text{op}}K$ .

Let  $K$  be an associative ring, and let  $V$  be a left module over  $K$ . Then  ${}^{\text{op}}V$  is a strict right module over  ${}^{\text{op}}K$ .

One can prove the following proposition

- (26) For every associative ring  $K$  and for every right module  $W$  over  $K$  holds  ${}^{\text{op}}W$  is a strict left module over  ${}^{\text{op}}K$ .

Let  $K$  be an associative ring, and let  $W$  be a right module over  $K$ . Then  ${}^{\text{op}}W$  is a strict left module over  ${}^{\text{op}}K$ .

#### 4. MORPHISMS OF RINGS

We now define several new attributes. Let us consider  $K, L$ . A map from  $K$  into  $L$  is antilinear if:

- (Def.6) for all scalars  $x, y$  of  $K$  holds  $\text{it}(x + y) = \text{it}(x) + \text{it}(y)$  and for all scalars  $x, y$  of  $K$  holds  $\text{it}(x \cdot y) = \text{it}(y) \cdot \text{it}(x)$  and  $\text{it}(1_K) = 1_L$ .

A map from  $K$  into  $L$  is monomorphism if:

- (Def.7) it is linear and it is one-to-one.

A map from  $K$  into  $L$  is antimonomorphism if:

- (Def.8) it is antilinear and it is one-to-one.

A map from  $K$  into  $L$  is epimorphism if:

(Def.9) it is linear and  $\text{rng } J = \text{the carrier of } L$ .

A map from  $K$  into  $L$  is antiepimorphism if:

(Def.10) it is antilinear and  $\text{rng } J = \text{the carrier of } L$ .

A map from  $K$  into  $L$  is isomorphism if:

(Def.11) it is monomorphism and  $\text{rng } J = \text{the carrier of } L$ .

A map from  $K$  into  $L$  is antiisomorphism if:

(Def.12) it is antimonomorphism and  $\text{rng } J = \text{the carrier of } L$ .

In the sequel  $J$  denotes a map from  $K$  into  $K$ . We now define four new attributes. Let us consider  $K$ . A map from  $K$  into  $K$  is endomorphism if:

(Def.13) it is linear.

A map from  $K$  into  $K$  is antiendomorphism if:

(Def.14) it is antilinear.

A map from  $K$  into  $K$  is automorphism if:

(Def.15) it is isomorphism.

A map from  $K$  into  $K$  is antiautomorphism if:

(Def.16) it is antiisomorphism.

One can prove the following propositions:

(27)  $J$  is automorphism if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) for all scalars  $x, y$  of  $K$  holds  $J(x + y) = J(x) + J(y)$ ,
- (ii) for all scalars  $x, y$  of  $K$  holds  $J(x \cdot y) = J(x) \cdot J(y)$ ,
- (iii)  $J(1_K) = 1_K$ ,
- (iv)  $J$  is one-to-one,
- (v)  $\text{rng } J = \text{the carrier of } K$ .

(28)  $J$  is antiautomorphism if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) for all scalars  $x, y$  of  $K$  holds  $J(x + y) = J(x) + J(y)$ ,
- (ii) for all scalars  $x, y$  of  $K$  holds  $J(x \cdot y) = J(y) \cdot J(x)$ ,
- (iii)  $J(1_K) = 1_K$ ,
- (iv)  $J$  is one-to-one,
- (v)  $\text{rng } J = \text{the carrier of } K$ .

(29)  $\text{id}_K$  is automorphism.

We follow the rules:  $K, L$  will denote rings,  $J$  will denote a map from  $K$  into  $L$ , and  $x, y$  will denote scalars of  $K$ . Next we state three propositions:

(30) If  $J$  is linear, then  $J(0_K) = 0_L$  and  $J(-x) = -J(x)$  and  $J(x - y) = J(x) - J(y)$ .

(31) If  $J$  is antilinear, then  $J(0_K) = 0_L$  and  $J(-x) = -J(x)$  and  $J(x - y) = J(x) - J(y)$ .

(32) For every associative ring  $K$  holds  $\text{id}_K$  is antiautomorphism if and only if  $K$  is a commutative ring.

One can prove the following proposition

- (33) For every skew field  $K$  holds  $\text{id}_K$  is antiautomorphism if and only if  $K$  is a field.

## 5. OPPOSITE MORPHISMS TO MORPHISMS OF RINGS

In the sequel  $K, L$  will be field structures and  $J$  will be a map from  $K$  into  $L$ . Let us consider  $K, L, J$ . The functor  ${}^{\text{op}}J$  yielding a map from  $K$  into  ${}^{\text{op}}L$  is defined by:

(Def.17)  ${}^{\text{op}}J = J$ .

Next we state several propositions:

- (34)  ${}^{\text{op}}({}^{\text{op}}J) = J$ .  
 (35)  $J$  is linear if and only if  ${}^{\text{op}}J$  is antilinear.  
 (36)  $J$  is antilinear if and only if  ${}^{\text{op}}J$  is linear.  
 (37)  $J$  is monomorphism if and only if  ${}^{\text{op}}J$  is antimonomorphism.  
 (38)  $J$  is antimonomorphism if and only if  ${}^{\text{op}}J$  is monomorphism.  
 (39)  $J$  is epimorphism if and only if  ${}^{\text{op}}J$  is antiepiomorphism.  
 (40)  $J$  is antiepiomorphism if and only if  ${}^{\text{op}}J$  is epimorphism.  
 (41)  $J$  is isomorphism if and only if  ${}^{\text{op}}J$  is antiisomorphism.  
 (42)  $J$  is antiisomorphism if and only if  ${}^{\text{op}}J$  is isomorphism.

In the sequel  $J$  will be a map from  $K$  into  $K$ . We now state four propositions:

- (43)  $J$  is endomorphism if and only if  ${}^{\text{op}}J$  is antilinear.  
 (44)  $J$  is antiendomorphism if and only if  ${}^{\text{op}}J$  is linear.  
 (45)  $J$  is automorphism if and only if  ${}^{\text{op}}J$  is antiisomorphism.  
 (46)  $J$  is antiautomorphism if and only if  ${}^{\text{op}}J$  is isomorphism.

## 6. MORPHISMS OF GROUPS

In the sequel  $G, H$  will denote groups. Let us consider  $G, H$ . A map from  $G$  into  $H$  is said to be a homomorphism from  $G$  to  $H$  if:

(Def.18) for all elements  $x, y$  of  $G$  holds  $\text{it}(x + y) = \text{it}(x) + \text{it}(y)$ .

Then  $\text{zero}(G, H)$  is a homomorphism from  $G$  to  $H$ .

In the sequel  $f$  is a homomorphism from  $G$  to  $H$ . We now define four new constructions. Let us consider  $G, H$ . A homomorphism from  $G$  to  $H$  is monomorphism if:

(Def.19) it is one-to-one.

A homomorphism from  $G$  to  $H$  is epimorphism if:

(Def.20)  $\text{rng it} = \text{the carrier of } H$ .

A homomorphism from  $G$  to  $H$  is isomorphism if:

(Def.21) it is one-to-one and  $\text{rng } \text{id}_G = \text{the carrier of } H$ .

Let us consider  $G$ . An endomorphism of  $G$  is a homomorphism from  $G$  to  $G$ .

We now state the proposition

(47) For every element  $x$  of  $G$  holds  $\text{id}_G(x) = x$ .

We now define two new constructions. Let us consider  $G$ . An endomorphism of  $G$  is automorphism-like if:

(Def.22) it is isomorphism.

An automorphism of  $G$  is an automorphism-like endomorphism of  $G$ .

Then  $\text{id}_G$  is an automorphism of  $G$ .

In the sequel  $x, y$  will be elements of  $G$ . We now state the proposition

(48)  $f(0_G) = 0_H$  and  $f(-x) = -f(x)$  and  $f(x -' y) = f(x) -' f(y)$ .

We adopt the following convention:  $G, H$  denote Abelian groups,  $f$  denotes a homomorphism from  $G$  to  $H$ , and  $x, y$  denote elements of  $G$ . The following proposition is true

(49)  $f(x - y) = f(x) - f(y)$ .

## 7. SEMILINEAR MORPHISMS

For simplicity we adopt the following rules:  $K, L$  are associative rings,  $J$  is a map from  $K$  into  $L$ ,  $V$  is a left module over  $K$ , and  $W$  is a left module over  $L$ . Let us consider  $K, L, J, V, W$ . A map from  $V$  into  $W$  is said to be a homomorphism from  $V$  to  $W$  by  $J$  if:

(Def.23) for all vectors  $x, y$  of  $V$  holds  $\text{it}(x + y) = \text{it}(x) + \text{it}(y)$  and for every scalar  $a$  of  $K$  and for every vector  $x$  of  $V$  holds  $\text{it}(a \cdot x) = J(a) \cdot \text{it}(x)$ .

The following proposition is true

(50)  $\text{zero}(V, W)$  is a homomorphism from  $V$  to  $W$  by  $J$ .

In the sequel  $f$  denotes a homomorphism from  $V$  to  $W$  by  $J$ . We now define three new predicates. Let us consider  $K, L, J, V, W, f$ . We say that  $f$  is a monomorphism wrp  $J$  if and only if:

(Def.24)  $f$  is one-to-one.

We say that  $f$  is an epimorphism wrp  $J$  if and only if:

(Def.25)  $\text{rng } f = \text{the carrier of } W$ .

We say that  $f$  is an isomorphism wrp  $J$  if and only if:

(Def.26)  $f$  is one-to-one and  $\text{rng } f = \text{the carrier of } W$ .

In the sequel  $J$  will denote a map from  $K$  into  $K$  and  $f$  will denote a homomorphism from  $V$  to  $V$  by  $J$ . We now define two new constructions. Let us consider  $K, J, V$ . An endomorphism of  $J$  and  $V$  is a homomorphism from  $V$  to  $V$  by  $J$ .

Let us consider  $K, J, V, f$ . We say that  $f$  is an automorphism wrp  $J$  if and only if:

(Def.27)  $f$  is one-to-one and  $\text{rng } f = \text{the carrier of } V$ .

In the sequel  $W$  is a left module over  $K$ . Let us consider  $K, V, W$ . A homomorphism from  $V$  to  $W$  is a homomorphism from  $V$  to  $W$  by  $\text{id}_K$ .

Next we state the proposition

(51) For every map  $f$  from  $V$  into  $W$  holds  $f$  is a homomorphism from  $V$  to  $W$  if and only if for all vectors  $x, y$  of  $V$  holds  $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$  and for every scalar  $a$  of  $K$  and for every vector  $x$  of  $V$  holds  $f(a \cdot x) = a \cdot f(x)$ .

We now define five new constructions. Let us consider  $K, V, W$ . A homomorphism from  $V$  to  $W$  is monomorphism if:

(Def.28) it is one-to-one.

A homomorphism from  $V$  to  $W$  is epimorphism if:

(Def.29)  $\text{rng it} = \text{the carrier of } W$ .

A homomorphism from  $V$  to  $W$  is isomorphism if:

(Def.30) it is one-to-one and  $\text{rng it} = \text{the carrier of } W$ .

Let us consider  $K, V$ . An endomorphism of  $V$  is a homomorphism from  $V$  to  $V$ .

An endomorphism of  $V$  is automorphism if:

(Def.31) it is one-to-one and  $\text{rng it} = \text{the carrier of } V$ .

## 8. ANNEX

Next we state three propositions:

(52) For every skew field  $K$  holds  $K$  is a field if and only if for all scalars  $x, y$  of  $K$  holds  $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ .

(53) For every  $K$  being a field structure holds  $K$  is a field if and only if  $K$  is a skew field and for all scalars  $x, y$  of  $K$  holds  $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ .

(54) For every group  $G$  and for all elements  $x, y, z$  of  $G$  such that  $x + y = x + z$  holds  $y = z$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [2] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Introduction to categories and functors. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):409–420, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):521–527, 1990.
- [7] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.



- [8] Eugeniusz Kusak, Wojciech Leończuk, and Michał Muzalewski. Abelian groups, fields and vector spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):335–342, 1990.
- [9] Michał Muzalewski. Categories of groups. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):563–571, 1991.
- [10] Michał Muzalewski. Category of rings. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):643–648, 1991.
- [11] Michał Muzalewski. Construction of rings and left-, right-, and bi-modules over a ring. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):3–11, 1991.
- [12] Michał Muzalewski. *Foundations of Metric-Affine Geometry*. Dział Wydawnictw Filii UW w Białymstoku, Filia UW w Białymstoku, 1990.
- [13] Michał Muzalewski. Rings and modules - part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):579–585, 1991.
- [14] Michał Muzalewski and Wojciech Skaba. Groups, rings, left- and right-modules. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(2):275–278, 1991.
- [15] Michał Muzalewski and Lesław W. Szczerba. Construction of finite sequences over ring and left-, right-, and bi-modules over a ring. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):97–104, 1991.
- [16] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):329–334, 1990.
- [17] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):115–122, 1990.
- [18] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):25–34, 1990.
- [19] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [20] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):97–105, 1990.
- [21] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.

*Received June 22, 1992*

---