

# Duality Based on Galois Connection. Part I

Grzegorz Bancerek  
University of Białystok  
Shinshu University, Nagano

**Summary.** In the paper, we investigate the duality of categories of complete lattices and maps preserving suprema or infima according to [15, p. 179–183; 1.1–1.12]. The duality is based on the concept of the Galois connection.

MML Identifier: WAYBEL34.

WWW: <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol13/waybel34.html>

The articles [21], [12], [28], [29], [30], [10], [11], [9], [14], [27], [2], [19], [22], [17], [1], [23], [25], [24], [3], [4], [31], [13], [20], [32], [5], [18], [26], [6], [16], [8], and [7] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

## 1. INFNS-PRESERVING AND SUPS-PRESERVING MAPS

Let  $S, T$  be complete lattices. Note that there exists a connection between  $S$  and  $T$  which is Galois. One can prove the following proposition

- (1) Let  $S, T, S', T'$  be non empty relational structures. Suppose that
  - (i) the relational structure of  $S =$  the relational structure of  $S'$ , and
  - (ii) the relational structure of  $T =$  the relational structure of  $T'$ .

Let  $c$  be a connection between  $S$  and  $T$  and  $c'$  be a connection between  $S'$  and  $T'$ . If  $c = c'$ , then if  $c$  is Galois, then  $c'$  is Galois.

Let  $S, T$  be lattices and let  $g$  be a map from  $S$  into  $T$ . Let us assume that  $S$  is complete and  $T$  is complete and  $g$  is infns-preserving. The lower adjoint of  $g$  is a map from  $T$  into  $S$  and is defined by:

(Def. 1)  $\langle g, \text{the lower adjoint of } g \rangle$  is Galois.

Let  $S, T$  be lattices and let  $d$  be a map from  $T$  into  $S$ . Let us assume that  $S$  is complete and  $T$  is complete and  $d$  is sups-preserving. The upper adjoint of  $d$  is a map from  $S$  into  $T$  and is defined as follows:

(Def. 2)  $\langle \text{the upper adjoint of } d, d \rangle$  is Galois.

Let  $S, T$  be complete lattices and let  $g$  be an infns-preserving map from  $S$  into  $T$ . Note that the lower adjoint of  $g$  is lower adjoint.

Let  $S, T$  be complete lattices and let  $d$  be a sups-preserving map from  $T$  into  $S$ . One can verify that the upper adjoint of  $d$  is upper adjoint.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (2) Let  $S, T$  be complete lattices,  $g$  be an infns-preserving map from  $S$  into  $T$ , and  $t$  be an element of  $T$ . Then  $(\text{the lower adjoint of } g)(t) = \inf(g^{-1}(\uparrow t))$ .

- (3) Let  $S, T$  be complete lattices,  $d$  be a sups-preserving map from  $T$  into  $S$ , and  $s$  be an element of  $S$ . Then (the upper adjoint of  $d$ )( $s$ ) =  $\sup(d^{-1}(\downarrow s))$ .

Let  $S, T$  be relational structures and let  $f$  be a function from the carrier of  $S$  into the carrier of  $T$ . The functor  $f^{\text{op}}$  yields a map from  $S^{\text{op}}$  into  $T^{\text{op}}$  and is defined as follows:

(Def. 3)  $f^{\text{op}} = f$ .

Let  $S, T$  be complete lattices and let  $g$  be an infs-preserving map from  $S$  into  $T$ . Observe that  $g^{\text{op}}$  is lower adjoint.

Let  $S, T$  be complete lattices and let  $d$  be a sups-preserving map from  $S$  into  $T$ . Note that  $d^{\text{op}}$  is upper adjoint.

We now state several propositions:

- (4) Let  $S, T$  be complete lattices and  $g$  be an infs-preserving map from  $S$  into  $T$ . Then the lower adjoint of  $g$  = the upper adjoint of  $g^{\text{op}}$ .
- (5) Let  $S, T$  be complete lattices and  $d$  be a sups-preserving map from  $S$  into  $T$ . Then the lower adjoint of  $d^{\text{op}}$  = the upper adjoint of  $d$ .
- (6) For every non empty relational structure  $L$  holds  $\langle \text{id}_L, \text{id}_L \rangle$  is Galois.
- (7) For every complete lattice  $L$  holds the lower adjoint of  $\text{id}_L = \text{id}_L$  and the upper adjoint of  $\text{id}_L = \text{id}_L$ .
- (8) Let  $L_1, L_2, L_3$  be complete lattices,  $g_1$  be an infs-preserving map from  $L_1$  into  $L_2$ , and  $g_2$  be an infs-preserving map from  $L_2$  into  $L_3$ . Then the lower adjoint of  $g_2 \cdot g_1$  = (the lower adjoint of  $g_1$ )  $\cdot$  (the lower adjoint of  $g_2$ ).
- (9) Let  $L_1, L_2, L_3$  be complete lattices,  $d_1$  be a sups-preserving map from  $L_1$  into  $L_2$ , and  $d_2$  be a sups-preserving map from  $L_2$  into  $L_3$ . Then the upper adjoint of  $d_2 \cdot d_1$  = (the upper adjoint of  $d_1$ )  $\cdot$  (the upper adjoint of  $d_2$ ).
- (10) Let  $S, T$  be complete lattices and  $g$  be an infs-preserving map from  $S$  into  $T$ . Then the upper adjoint of the lower adjoint of  $g = g$ .
- (11) Let  $S, T$  be complete lattices and  $d$  be a sups-preserving map from  $S$  into  $T$ . Then the lower adjoint of the upper adjoint of  $d = d$ .
- (12) Let  $C$  be a non empty category structure and  $a, b, f$  be sets. Suppose  $f \in$  (the arrows of  $C$ )( $a, b$ ). Then there exist objects  $o_1, o_2$  of  $C$  such that  $o_1 = a$  and  $o_2 = b$  and  $f \in \langle o_1, o_2 \rangle$  and  $f$  is a morphism from  $o_1$  to  $o_2$ .

Let  $W$  be a non empty set. Let us assume that there exists an element  $w$  of  $W$  such that  $w$  is non empty. The functor  $INF_W$  yields a lattice-wise strict category and is defined by the conditions (Def. 4).

- (Def. 4)(i) For every lattice  $x$  holds  $x$  is an object of  $INF_W$  iff  $x$  is strict and complete and the carrier of  $x \in W$ , and
- (ii) for all objects  $a, b$  of  $INF_W$  and for every monotone map  $f$  from  $\mathbb{L}_a$  into  $\mathbb{L}_b$  holds  $f \in \langle a, b \rangle$  iff  $f$  is infs-preserving.

Let  $W$  be a non empty set. Let us assume that there exists an element  $w$  of  $W$  such that  $w$  is non empty. The functor  $SUP_W$  yielding a lattice-wise strict category is defined by the conditions (Def. 5).

- (Def. 5)(i) For every lattice  $x$  holds  $x$  is an object of  $SUP_W$  iff  $x$  is strict and complete and the carrier of  $x \in W$ , and
- (ii) for all objects  $a, b$  of  $SUP_W$  and for every monotone map  $f$  from  $\mathbb{L}_a$  into  $\mathbb{L}_b$  holds  $f \in \langle a, b \rangle$  iff  $f$  is sups-preserving.

Let  $W$  be a set with a non-empty element. Note that  $INF_W$  has complete lattices and  $SUP_W$  has complete lattices.

Next we state several propositions:

- (13) Let  $W$  be a set with a non-empty element and  $L$  be a lattice. Then  $L$  is an object of  $INF_W$  if and only if  $L$  is strict and complete and the carrier of  $L \in W$ .
- (14) Let  $W$  be a set with a non-empty element,  $a, b$  be objects of  $INF_W$ , and  $f$  be a set. Then  $f \in \langle a, b \rangle$  if and only if  $f$  is an infs-preserving map from  $\mathbb{L}_a$  into  $\mathbb{L}_b$ .
- (15) Let  $W$  be a set with a non-empty element and  $L$  be a lattice. Then  $L$  is an object of  $SUP_W$  if and only if  $L$  is strict and complete and the carrier of  $L \in W$ .
- (16) Let  $W$  be a set with a non-empty element,  $a, b$  be objects of  $SUP_W$ , and  $f$  be a set. Then  $f \in \langle a, b \rangle$  if and only if  $f$  is a sups-preserving map from  $\mathbb{L}_a$  into  $\mathbb{L}_b$ .
- (17) For every set  $W$  with a non-empty element holds the carrier of  $INF_W =$  the carrier of  $SUP_W$ .

Let  $W$  be a set with a non-empty element. The functor  $\text{LowerAdj}_W$  yields a contravariant strict functor from  $INF_W$  to  $SUP_W$  and is defined by the conditions (Def. 6).

- (Def. 6)(i) For every object  $a$  of  $INF_W$  holds  $\text{LowerAdj}_W(a) = \mathbb{L}_a$ , and
- (ii) for all objects  $a, b$  of  $INF_W$  such that  $\langle a, b \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and for every morphism  $f$  from  $a$  to  $b$  holds  $\text{LowerAdj}_W(f) =$  the lower adjoint of  ${}^@f$ .

The functor  $\text{UpperAdj}_W$  yielding a contravariant strict functor from  $SUP_W$  to  $INF_W$  is defined by the conditions (Def. 7).

- (Def. 7)(i) For every object  $a$  of  $SUP_W$  holds  $\text{UpperAdj}_W(a) = \mathbb{L}_a$ , and
- (ii) for all objects  $a, b$  of  $SUP_W$  such that  $\langle a, b \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and for every morphism  $f$  from  $a$  to  $b$  holds  $\text{UpperAdj}_W(f) =$  the upper adjoint of  ${}^@f$ .

Let  $W$  be a set with a non-empty element. Observe that  $\text{LowerAdj}_W$  is bijective and  $\text{UpperAdj}_W$  is bijective.

The following three propositions are true:

- (18) For every set  $W$  with a non-empty element holds  $(\text{LowerAdj}_W)^{-1} = \text{UpperAdj}_W$  and  $(\text{UpperAdj}_W)^{-1} = \text{LowerAdj}_W$ .
- (19) For every set  $W$  with a non-empty element holds  $\text{LowerAdj}_W \cdot \text{UpperAdj}_W = \text{id}_{SUP_W}$  and  $\text{UpperAdj}_W \cdot \text{LowerAdj}_W = \text{id}_{INF_W}$ .
- (20) For every set  $W$  with a non-empty element holds  $INF_W, SUP_W$  are anti-isomorphic.

## 2. SCOTT CONTINUOUS MAPS AND CONTINUOUS LATTICES

Next we state the proposition

- (23)<sup>1</sup> Let  $S, T$  be complete lattices and  $g$  be an infs-preserving map from  $S$  into  $T$ . Then  $g$  is directed-sups-preserving if and only if for every Scott topological augmentation  $X$  of  $T$  and for every Scott topological augmentation  $Y$  of  $S$  and for every open subset  $V$  of  $X$  holds  $\uparrow((\text{the lower adjoint of } g)^\circ V)$  is an open subset of  $Y$ .

Let  $S, T$  be non empty reflexive relational structures and let  $f$  be a map from  $S$  into  $T$ . We say that  $f$  is waybelow-preserving if and only if:

- (Def. 8) For all elements  $x, y$  of  $S$  such that  $x \ll y$  holds  $f(x) \ll f(y)$ .

We now state two propositions:

---

<sup>1</sup> The propositions (21) and (22) have been removed.

- (24) Let  $S, T$  be complete lattices and  $g$  be an infs-preserving map from  $S$  into  $T$ . Suppose  $g$  is directed-sups-preserving. Then the lower adjoint of  $g$  is waybelow-preserving.
- (25) Let  $S$  be a complete lattice,  $T$  be a complete continuous lattice, and  $g$  be an infs-preserving map from  $S$  into  $T$ . Suppose the lower adjoint of  $g$  is waybelow-preserving. Then  $g$  is directed-sups-preserving.

Let  $S, T$  be topological spaces and let  $f$  be a map from  $S$  into  $T$ . We say that  $f$  is relatively open if and only if:

(Def. 9) For every open subset  $V$  of  $S$  holds  $f^\circ V$  is an open subset of  $T \upharpoonright \text{rng } f$ .

We now state three propositions:

- (26) Let  $X, Y$  be non empty topological spaces and  $d$  be a map from  $X$  into  $Y$ . Then  $d$  is relatively open if and only if  $d^\circ$  is open.
- (27) Let  $S, T$  be complete lattices,  $g$  be an infs-preserving map from  $S$  into  $T$ ,  $X$  be a Scott topological augmentation of  $T$ ,  $Y$  be a Scott topological augmentation of  $S$ , and  $V$  be an open subset of  $X$ . Then  $(\text{the lower adjoint of } g)^\circ V = \text{rng}(\text{the lower adjoint of } g) \cap \uparrow((\text{the lower adjoint of } g)^\circ V)$ .
- (28) Let  $S, T$  be complete lattices,  $g$  be an infs-preserving map from  $S$  into  $T$ ,  $X$  be a Scott topological augmentation of  $T$ , and  $Y$  be a Scott topological augmentation of  $S$ . Suppose that for every open subset  $V$  of  $X$  holds  $\uparrow((\text{the lower adjoint of } g)^\circ V)$  is an open subset of  $Y$ . Let  $d$  be a map from  $X$  into  $Y$ . If  $d = \text{the lower adjoint of } g$ , then  $d$  is relatively open.

Let  $X, Y$  be complete lattices and let  $f$  be a sups-preserving map from  $X$  into  $Y$ . Observe that  $\text{Im } f$  is complete.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (29) Let  $S, T$  be complete lattices,  $g$  be an infs-preserving map from  $S$  into  $T$ ,  $X$  be a Scott topological augmentation of  $T$ ,  $Y$  be a Scott topological augmentation of  $S$ ,  $Z$  be a Scott topological augmentation of  $\text{Im}(\text{the lower adjoint of } g)$ ,  $d$  be a map from  $X$  into  $Y$ , and  $d'$  be a map from  $X$  into  $Z$ . Suppose  $d = \text{the lower adjoint of } g$  and  $d' = d$ . If  $d$  is relatively open, then  $d'$  is open.
- (30) Let  $T_1, T_2, S_1, S_2$  be topological structures. Suppose that
- (i) the topological structure of  $T_1 = \text{the topological structure of } T_2$ , and
  - (ii) the topological structure of  $S_1 = \text{the topological structure of } S_2$ .
- If  $S_1$  is a subspace of  $T_1$ , then  $S_2$  is a subspace of  $T_2$ .
- (31) For every topological structure  $T$  holds  $T \upharpoonright \Omega_T = \text{the topological structure of } T$ .
- (32) Let  $S, T$  be complete lattices and  $g$  be an infs-preserving map from  $S$  into  $T$ . Suppose  $g$  is one-to-one. Let  $X$  be a Scott topological augmentation of  $T$ ,  $Y$  be a Scott topological augmentation of  $S$ , and  $d$  be a map from  $X$  into  $Y$ . Suppose  $d = \text{the lower adjoint of } g$ . Then  $g$  is directed-sups-preserving if and only if  $d$  is open.

Let  $X$  be a complete lattice and let  $f$  be a projection map from  $X$  into  $X$ . Note that  $\text{Im } f$  is complete.

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (33) Let  $L$  be a complete lattice and  $k$  be a kernel map from  $L$  into  $L$ . Then
- (i)  $k^\circ$  is infs-preserving,
  - (ii)  $k_\circ$  is sups-preserving,
  - (iii) the lower adjoint of  $k^\circ = k_\circ$ , and
  - (iv) the upper adjoint of  $k_\circ = k^\circ$ .

- (34) Let  $L$  be a complete lattice and  $k$  be a kernel map from  $L$  into  $L$ . Then  $k$  is directed-sups-preserving if and only if  $k^\circ$  is directed-sups-preserving.
- (35) Let  $L$  be a complete lattice and  $k$  be a kernel map from  $L$  into  $L$ . Then  $k$  is directed-sups-preserving if and only if for every Scott topological augmentation  $X$  of  $\text{Im} k$  and for every Scott topological augmentation  $Y$  of  $L$  and for every subset  $V$  of  $L$  such that  $V$  is an open subset of  $X$  holds  $\uparrow V$  is an open subset of  $Y$ .
- (36) Let  $L$  be a complete lattice,  $S$  be a sups-inheriting non empty full relational substructure of  $L$ ,  $x, y$  be elements of  $L$ , and  $a, b$  be elements of  $S$ . If  $a = x$  and  $b = y$ , then if  $x \ll y$ , then  $a \ll b$ .
- (37) Let  $L$  be a complete lattice and  $k$  be a kernel map from  $L$  into  $L$ . Suppose  $k$  is directed-sups-preserving. Let  $x, y$  be elements of  $L$  and  $a, b$  be elements of  $\text{Im} k$ . If  $a = x$  and  $b = y$ , then  $x \ll y$  iff  $a \ll b$ .
- (38) Let  $L$  be a complete lattice and  $k$  be a kernel map from  $L$  into  $L$ . Suppose that
- (i)  $\text{Im} k$  is continuous, and
  - (ii) for all elements  $x, y$  of  $L$  and for all elements  $a, b$  of  $\text{Im} k$  such that  $a = x$  and  $b = y$  holds  $x \ll y$  iff  $a \ll b$ .
- Then  $k$  is directed-sups-preserving.
- (39) Let  $L$  be a complete lattice and  $c$  be a closure map from  $L$  into  $L$ . Then
- (i)  $c^\circ$  is sups-preserving,
  - (ii)  $c_\circ$  is infs-preserving,
  - (iii) the upper adjoint of  $c^\circ = c_\circ$ , and
  - (iv) the lower adjoint of  $c_\circ = c^\circ$ .
- (40) Let  $L$  be a complete lattice and  $c$  be a closure map from  $L$  into  $L$ . Then  $\text{Im} c$  is directed-sups-inheriting if and only if  $c_\circ$  is directed-sups-preserving.
- (41) Let  $L$  be a complete lattice and  $c$  be a closure map from  $L$  into  $L$ . Then  $\text{Im} c$  is directed-sups-inheriting if and only if for every Scott topological augmentation  $X$  of  $\text{Im} c$  and for every Scott topological augmentation  $Y$  of  $L$  and for every map  $f$  from  $Y$  into  $X$  such that  $f = c$  holds  $f$  is open.
- (42) Let  $L$  be a complete lattice and  $c$  be a closure map from  $L$  into  $L$ . If  $\text{Im} c$  is directed-sups-inheriting, then  $c^\circ$  is waybelow-preserving.
- (43) Let  $L$  be a continuous complete lattice and  $c$  be a closure map from  $L$  into  $L$ . If  $c^\circ$  is waybelow-preserving, then  $\text{Im} c$  is directed-sups-inheriting.

### 3. DUALITY OF SUBCATEGORIES OF $INF$ AND $SUP$

Let  $W$  be a non empty set. The functor  $INF_W^\uparrow$  yielding a strict non empty subcategory of  $INF_W$  is defined by the conditions (Def. 10).

- (Def. 10)(i) Every object of  $INF_W$  is an object of  $INF_W^\uparrow$ , and
- (ii) for all objects  $a, b$  of  $INF_W$  and for all objects  $a', b'$  of  $INF_W^\uparrow$  such that  $a' = a$  and  $b' = b$  and  $\langle a, b \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and for every morphism  $f$  from  $a$  to  $b$  holds  $f \in \langle a', b' \rangle$  iff  ${}^@ f$  is directed-sups-preserving.

Let  $W$  be a set with a non-empty element. The functor  $SUP_W^0$  yielding a strict non empty subcategory of  $SUP_W$  is defined by the conditions (Def. 11).

- (Def. 11)(i) Every object of  $SUP_W$  is an object of  $SUP_W^0$ , and
- (ii) for all objects  $a, b$  of  $SUP_W$  and for all objects  $a', b'$  of  $SUP_W^0$  such that  $a' = a$  and  $b' = b$  and  $\langle a, b \rangle \neq \emptyset$  and for every morphism  $f$  from  $a$  to  $b$  holds  $f \in \langle a', b' \rangle$  iff the upper adjoint of  ${}^@f$  is directed-sups-preserving.

We now state three propositions:

- (44) Let  $S$  be a non empty relational structure,  $T$  be a non empty reflexive antisymmetric relational structure,  $t$  be an element of  $T$ , and  $X$  be a non empty subset of  $S$ . Then  $S \mapsto t$  preserves sup of  $X$  and  $S \mapsto t$  preserves inf of  $X$ .
- (45) Let  $S$  be a non empty relational structure and  $T$  be a lower-bounded non empty reflexive antisymmetric relational structure. Then  $S \mapsto \perp_T$  is sups-preserving.
- (46) Let  $S$  be a non empty relational structure and  $T$  be an upper-bounded non empty reflexive antisymmetric relational structure. Then  $S \mapsto \top_T$  is infs-preserving.

Let  $S$  be a non empty relational structure and let  $T$  be an upper-bounded non empty reflexive antisymmetric relational structure. Observe that  $S \mapsto \top_T$  is directed-sups-preserving and infs-preserving.

Let  $S$  be a non empty relational structure and let  $T$  be a lower-bounded non empty reflexive antisymmetric relational structure. Note that  $S \mapsto \perp_T$  is filtered-infs-preserving and sups-preserving.

Let  $S$  be a non empty relational structure and let  $T$  be an upper-bounded non empty reflexive antisymmetric relational structure. One can verify that there exists a map from  $S$  into  $T$  which is directed-sups-preserving and infs-preserving.

Let  $S$  be a non empty relational structure and let  $T$  be a lower-bounded non empty reflexive antisymmetric relational structure. One can verify that there exists a map from  $S$  into  $T$  which is filtered-infs-preserving and sups-preserving.

The following propositions are true:

- (47) Let  $W$  be a set with a non-empty element and  $L$  be a lattice. Then  $L$  is an object of  $INF_W^\dagger$  if and only if  $L$  is strict and complete and the carrier of  $L \in W$ .
- (48) Let  $W$  be a set with a non-empty element,  $a, b$  be objects of  $INF_W^\dagger$ , and  $f$  be a set. Then  $f \in \langle a, b \rangle$  if and only if  $f$  is a directed-sups-preserving infs-preserving map from  $\mathbb{L}_a$  into  $\mathbb{L}_b$ .
- (49) Let  $W$  be a set with a non-empty element and  $L$  be a lattice. Then  $L$  is an object of  $SUP_W^0$  if and only if  $L$  is strict and complete and the carrier of  $L \in W$ .
- (50) Let  $W$  be a set with a non-empty element,  $a, b$  be objects of  $SUP_W^0$ , and  $f$  be a set. Then  $f \in \langle a, b \rangle$  if and only if there exists a sups-preserving map  $g$  from  $\mathbb{L}_a$  into  $\mathbb{L}_b$  such that  $g = f$  and the upper adjoint of  $g$  is directed-sups-preserving.
- (51) For every set  $W$  with a non-empty element holds  $INF_W^\dagger = \text{Intersect}(INF_W, UPS_W)$ .

Let  $W$  be a set with a non-empty element. The functor  $CL_W$  yields a strict full non empty subcategory of  $INF_W^\dagger$  and is defined by:

- (Def. 12) For every object  $a$  of  $INF_W^\dagger$  holds  $a$  is an object of  $CL_W$  iff  $\mathbb{L}_a$  is continuous.

Let  $W$  be a set with a non-empty element. Observe that  $CL_W$  has complete lattices.

Next we state two propositions:

- (52) Let  $W$  be a set with a non-empty element and  $L$  be a lattice. Suppose the carrier of  $L \in W$ . Then  $L$  is an object of  $CL_W$  if and only if  $L$  is strict, complete, and continuous.
- (53) Let  $W$  be a set with a non-empty element,  $a, b$  be objects of  $CL_W$ , and  $f$  be a set. Then  $f \in \langle a, b \rangle$  if and only if  $f$  is an infs-preserving directed-sups-preserving map from  $\mathbb{L}_a$  into  $\mathbb{L}_b$ .

Let  $W$  be a set with a non-empty element. The functor  $CL_W^{\text{op}}$  yields a strict full non empty subcategory of  $SUP_W^0$  and is defined by:

(Def. 13) For every object  $a$  of  $SUP_W^0$  holds  $a$  is an object of  $CL_W^{\text{op}}$  iff  $\mathbb{L}_a$  is continuous.

Next we state several propositions:

- (54) Let  $W$  be a set with a non-empty element and  $L$  be a lattice. Suppose the carrier of  $L \in W$ . Then  $L$  is an object of  $CL_W^{\text{op}}$  if and only if  $L$  is strict, complete, and continuous.
- (55) Let  $W$  be a set with a non-empty element,  $a, b$  be objects of  $CL_W^{\text{op}}$ , and  $f$  be a set. Then  $f \in \langle a, b \rangle$  if and only if there exists a sups-preserving map  $g$  from  $\mathbb{L}_a$  into  $\mathbb{L}_b$  such that  $g = f$  and the upper adjoint of  $g$  is directed-sups-preserving.
- (56) For every set  $W$  with a non-empty element holds  $INF_W^\uparrow$  and  $SUP_W^0$  are anti-isomorphic under  $\text{LowerAdj}_W$ .
- (57) For every set  $W$  with a non-empty element holds  $SUP_W^0$  and  $INF_W^\uparrow$  are anti-isomorphic under  $\text{UpperAdj}_W$ .
- (58) For every set  $W$  with a non-empty element holds  $CL_W$  and  $CL_W^{\text{op}}$  are anti-isomorphic under  $\text{LowerAdj}_W$ .
- (59) For every set  $W$  with a non-empty element holds  $CL_W^{\text{op}}$  and  $CL_W$  are anti-isomorphic under  $\text{UpperAdj}_W$ .

#### 4. COMPACT PRESERVING MAPS AND SUP-SEMILATTICES MORPHISMS

Let  $S, T$  be non empty reflexive relational structures and let  $f$  be a map from  $S$  into  $T$ . We say that  $f$  is compact-preserving if and only if:

(Def. 14) For every element  $s$  of  $S$  such that  $s$  is compact holds  $f(s)$  is compact.

The following propositions are true:

- (60) Let  $S, T$  be complete lattices and  $d$  be a sups-preserving map from  $T$  into  $S$ . If  $d$  is waybelow-preserving, then  $d$  is compact-preserving.
- (61) Let  $S, T$  be complete lattices and  $d$  be a sups-preserving map from  $T$  into  $S$ . Suppose  $T$  is algebraic and  $d$  is compact-preserving. Then  $d$  is waybelow-preserving.
- (62) Let  $R, S, T$  be non empty relational structures,  $X$  be a subset of  $R$ ,  $f$  be a map from  $R$  into  $S$ , and  $g$  be a map from  $S$  into  $T$ . Suppose  $f$  preserves sup of  $X$  and  $g$  preserves sup of  $f^\circ X$ . Then  $g \cdot f$  preserves sup of  $X$ .

Let  $S, T$  be non empty relational structures and let  $f$  be a map from  $S$  into  $T$ . We say that  $f$  is finite-sups-preserving if and only if:

(Def. 15) For every finite subset  $X$  of  $S$  holds  $f$  preserves sup of  $X$ .

We say that  $f$  is bottom-preserving if and only if:

(Def. 16)  $f$  preserves sup of  $\emptyset_S$ .

Next we state the proposition

- (63) Let  $R, S, T$  be non empty relational structures,  $f$  be a map from  $R$  into  $S$ , and  $g$  be a map from  $S$  into  $T$ . Suppose  $f$  is finite-sups-preserving and  $g$  is finite-sups-preserving. Then  $g \cdot f$  is finite-sups-preserving.

Let  $S, T$  be non empty antisymmetric lower-bounded relational structures and let  $f$  be a map from  $S$  into  $T$ . Let us observe that  $f$  is bottom-preserving if and only if:

(Def. 17)  $f(\perp_S) = \perp_T$ .

Let  $L$  be a non empty relational structure and let  $S$  be a relational substructure of  $L$ . We say that  $S$  is finite-sups-inheriting if and only if:

(Def. 18) For every finite subset  $X$  of  $S$  such that  $\sup X$  exists in  $L$  holds  $\bigsqcup_L X \in$  the carrier of  $S$ .

We say that  $S$  is bottom-inheriting if and only if:

(Def. 19)  $\perp_L \in$  the carrier of  $S$ .

Let  $S, T$  be non empty relational structures. One can check that every map from  $S$  into  $T$  which is sups-preserving is also bottom-preserving.

Let  $L$  be a lower-bounded antisymmetric non empty relational structure. Observe that every relational substructure of  $L$  which is finite-sups-inheriting is also bottom-inheriting and join-inheriting.

Let  $L$  be a non empty relational structure. Observe that every relational substructure of  $L$  which is sups-inheriting is also finite-sups-inheriting.

Let  $S, T$  be lower-bounded non empty posets. Observe that there exists a map from  $S$  into  $T$  which is sups-preserving.

Let  $L$  be a lower-bounded antisymmetric non empty relational structure. Note that every full relational substructure of  $L$  which is bottom-inheriting is also non empty and lower-bounded.

Let  $L$  be a lower-bounded antisymmetric non empty relational structure. Observe that there exists a relational substructure of  $L$  which is non empty, sups-inheriting, finite-sups-inheriting, bottom-inheriting, and full.

One can prove the following proposition

(64) Let  $L$  be a lower-bounded antisymmetric non empty relational structure and  $S$  be a non empty bottom-inheriting full relational substructure of  $L$ . Then  $\perp_S = \perp_L$ .

Let  $L$  be a lower-bounded non empty poset with l.u.b.'s. Observe that every full relational substructure of  $L$  which is bottom-inheriting and join-inheriting is also finite-sups-inheriting.

The following propositions are true:

(65) Let  $S, T$  be non empty relational structures and  $f$  be a map from  $S$  into  $T$ . Suppose  $f$  is finite-sups-preserving. Then  $f$  is join-preserving and bottom-preserving.

(66) Let  $S, T$  be lower-bounded posets with l.u.b.'s and  $f$  be a map from  $S$  into  $T$ . Suppose  $f$  is join-preserving and bottom-preserving. Then  $f$  is finite-sups-preserving.

Let  $S, T$  be non empty relational structures. One can verify that every map from  $S$  into  $T$  which is sups-preserving is also finite-sups-preserving and every map from  $S$  into  $T$  which is finite-sups-preserving is also join-preserving and bottom-preserving.

Let  $S$  be a non empty relational structure and let  $T$  be a lower-bounded non empty reflexive antisymmetric relational structure. Observe that there exists a map from  $S$  into  $T$  which is sups-preserving and finite-sups-preserving.

Let  $L$  be a lower-bounded non empty poset. Observe that  $\text{CompactSublatt}(L)$  is lower-bounded. Next we state three propositions:

(67) Let  $S$  be a relational structure,  $T$  be a non empty relational structure,  $f$  be a map from  $S$  into  $T$ ,  $S'$  be a relational substructure of  $S$ , and  $T'$  be a relational substructure of  $T$ . Suppose  $f^\circ(\text{the carrier of } S') \subseteq \text{the carrier of } T'$ . Then  $f|_{\text{the carrier of } S'}$  is a map from  $S'$  into  $T'$ .

(68) Let  $S, T$  be lattices,  $f$  be a join-preserving map from  $S$  into  $T$ ,  $S'$  be a non empty join-inheriting full relational substructure of  $S$ ,  $T'$  be a non empty join-inheriting full relational substructure of  $T$ , and  $g$  be a map from  $S'$  into  $T'$ . If  $g = f|_{\text{the carrier of } S'}$ , then  $g$  is join-preserving.

(69) Let  $S, T$  be lower-bounded lattices,  $f$  be a finite-sups-preserving map from  $S$  into  $T$ ,  $S'$  be a non empty finite-sups-inheriting full relational substructure of  $S$ ,  $T'$  be a non empty finite-sups-inheriting full relational substructure of  $T$ , and  $g$  be a map from  $S'$  into  $T'$ . If  $g = f|_{\text{the carrier of } S'}$ , then  $g$  is finite-sups-preserving.

Let  $L$  be a complete lattice. Note that  $\text{CompactSublatt}(L)$  is finite-sups-inheriting. The following propositions are true:

- (70) Let  $S, T$  be complete lattices and  $d$  be a sups-preserving map from  $T$  into  $S$ . Then  $d$  is compact-preserving if and only if  $d \upharpoonright$  the carrier of  $\text{CompactSublatt}(T)$  is a finite-sups-preserving map from  $\text{CompactSublatt}(T)$  into  $\text{CompactSublatt}(S)$ .
- (71) Let  $S, T$  be complete lattices. Suppose  $T$  is algebraic. Let  $g$  be an infs-preserving map from  $S$  into  $T$ . Then  $g$  is directed-sups-preserving if and only if (the lower adjoint of  $g$ )  $\upharpoonright$  the carrier of  $\text{CompactSublatt}(T)$  is a finite-sups-preserving map from  $\text{CompactSublatt}(T)$  into  $\text{CompactSublatt}(S)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The well ordering relations. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/wellord1.html>.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol14/lattice3.html>.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol18/yellow\\_0.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol18/yellow_0.html).
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol18/waybel\\_0.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol18/waybel_0.html).
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. The “way-below” relation. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol18/waybel\\_3.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol18/waybel_3.html).
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bases and refinements of topologies. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 10, 1998. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/yellow\\_9.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/yellow_9.html).
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek. Categorical background for duality theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 13, 2001. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol13/yellow21.html>.
- [8] Grzegorz Bancerek. Miscellaneous facts about functors. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 13, 2001. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol13/yellow20.html>.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/binop\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/binop_1.html).
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/funct\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/funct_1.html).
- [11] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/funct\\_2.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/funct_2.html).
- [12] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/zfmisc\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/zfmisc_1.html).
- [13] Czesław Byliński. Galois connections. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol18/waybel\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol18/waybel_1.html).
- [14] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/finset\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/finset_1.html).
- [15] G. Gierz, K.H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J.D. Lawson, M. Mislove, and D.S. Scott. *A Compendium of Continuous Lattices*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1980.
- [16] Jarosław Gryko. Injective spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 10, 1998. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/waybel18.html>.
- [17] Beata Madras. On the concept of the triangulation. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 7, 1995. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol17/triang\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol17/triang_1.html).
- [18] Robert Milewski. Algebraic lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol18/waybel\\_8.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol18/waybel_8.html).
- [19] Michał Muzalewski. Categories of groups. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol13/grcat\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol13/grcat_1.html).
- [20] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/pre\\_topc.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/pre_topc.html).
- [21] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html>.
- [22] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol13/borsuk\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol13/borsuk_1.html).
- [23] Andrzej Trybulec. Categories without uniqueness of cod and dom. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 7, 1995. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol17/altcat\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol17/altcat_1.html).

- [24] Andrzej Trybulec. Examples of category structures. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/altcat\\_2.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/altcat_2.html).
- [25] Andrzej Trybulec. Functors for alternative categories. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/functor0.html>.
- [26] Andrzej Trybulec. Scott topology. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 9, 1997. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol9/waybell1.html>.
- [27] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/orders\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/orders_1.html).
- [28] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html).
- [29] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html).
- [30] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relset\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relset_1.html).
- [31] Mariusz Żynel and Czesław Byliński. Properties of relational structures, posets, lattices and maps. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 8, 1996. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow\\_2.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol8/yellow_2.html).
- [32] Mariusz Żynel and Adam Guzowski.  $T_0$  topological spaces. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 6, 1994. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/t\\_toposp.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol6/t_toposp.html).

*Received August 8, 2001*

*Published January 2, 2004*

---