

# Full Trees

Robert Milewski  
University of Białystok

MML Identifier: BINTREE2.

WWW: <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/bintree2.html>

The articles [19], [10], [22], [21], [20], [2], [17], [23], [1], [24], [18], [8], [9], [13], [6], [11], [12], [16], [15], [3], [4], [5], [7], and [14] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

## 1. TREES AND BINARY TREES

One can prove the following two propositions:

- (1) For every set  $D$  and for every finite sequence  $p$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $p \in D^*$  holds  $p \upharpoonright \text{Seg } n \in D^*$ .
- (2) For every binary tree  $T$  holds every element of  $T$  is a finite sequence of elements of *Boolean*.

Let  $T$  be a binary tree. We see that the element of  $T$  is a finite sequence of elements of *Boolean*. One can prove the following propositions:

- (3) For every tree  $T$  such that  $T = \{0, 1\}^*$  holds  $T$  is binary.
- (4) For every tree  $T$  such that  $T = \{0, 1\}^*$  holds  $\text{Leaves}(T) = \emptyset$ .
- (5) Let  $T$  be a binary tree,  $n$  be a natural number, and  $t$  be an element of  $T$ . If  $t \in T\text{-level}(n)$ , then  $t$  is a  $n$ -tuple of *Boolean*.
- (6) For every tree  $T$  such that for every element  $t$  of  $T$  holds  $\text{succ } t = \{t \hat{\ } \langle 0 \rangle, t \hat{\ } \langle 1 \rangle\}$  holds  $\text{Leaves}(T) = \emptyset$ .
- (7) For every tree  $T$  such that for every element  $t$  of  $T$  holds  $\text{succ } t = \{t \hat{\ } \langle 0 \rangle, t \hat{\ } \langle 1 \rangle\}$  holds  $T$  is binary.
- (8) For every tree  $T$  holds  $T = \{0, 1\}^*$  iff for every element  $t$  of  $T$  holds  $\text{succ } t = \{t \hat{\ } \langle 0 \rangle, t \hat{\ } \langle 1 \rangle\}$ .

In this article we present several logical schemes. The scheme *DecoratedBinTreeEx* deals with a non empty set  $\mathcal{A}$ , an element  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and a ternary predicate  $\mathcal{P}$ , and states that:

There exists a binary tree  $D$  decorated with elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\text{dom } D = \{0, 1\}^*$  and  $D(\emptyset) = \mathcal{B}$  and for every node  $x$  of  $D$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[D(x), D(x \hat{\ } \langle 0 \rangle), D(x \hat{\ } \langle 1 \rangle)]$

provided the following condition is met:

- For every element  $a$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  there exist elements  $b, c$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[a, b, c]$ .

The scheme *DecoratedBinTreeEx1* deals with a non empty set  $\mathcal{A}$ , an element  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and two binary predicates  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}$ , and states that:

There exists a binary tree  $D$  decorated with elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\text{dom}D = \{0, 1\}^*$  and  $D(\emptyset) = \mathcal{B}$  and for every node  $x$  of  $D$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[D(x), D(x \hat{\ } \langle 0 \rangle)]$  and  $Q[D(x), D(x \hat{\ } \langle 1 \rangle)]$

provided the parameters meet the following conditions:

- For every element  $a$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  there exists an element  $b$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[a, b]$ , and
- For every element  $a$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  there exists an element  $b$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $Q[a, b]$ .

Let  $T$  be a binary tree and let  $n$  be a non empty natural number. The functor  $\text{NumberOnLevel}(n, T)$  yields a function from  $T\text{-level}(n)$  into  $\mathbb{N}$  and is defined as follows:

(Def. 1) For every element  $t$  of  $T$  such that  $t \in T\text{-level}(n)$  and for every  $n$ -tuple  $F$  of *Boolean* such that  $F = \text{Rev}(t)$  holds  $(\text{NumberOnLevel}(n, T))(t) = \text{Absval}(F) + 1$ .

Let  $T$  be a binary tree and let  $n$  be a non empty natural number. One can check that  $\text{NumberOnLevel}(n, T)$  is one-to-one.

## 2. FULL TREES

Let  $T$  be a tree. We say that  $T$  is full if and only if:

(Def. 2)  $T = \{0, 1\}^*$ .

We now state three propositions:

(9)  $\{0, 1\}^*$  is a tree.

(10) For every tree  $T$  such that  $T = \{0, 1\}^*$  and for every natural number  $n$  holds  $\underbrace{\langle 0, \dots, 0 \rangle}_n \in T\text{-level}(n)$ .

(11) For every tree  $T$  such that  $T = \{0, 1\}^*$  and for every non empty natural number  $n$  and for every  $n$ -tuple  $y$  of *Boolean* holds  $y \in T\text{-level}(n)$ .

Let  $T$  be a binary tree and let  $n$  be a natural number. Observe that  $T\text{-level}(n)$  is finite.

Let us observe that every tree which is full is also binary.

Let us note that there exists a tree which is full.

The following proposition is true

(12) For every full tree  $T$  and for every non empty natural number  $n$  holds  $\text{Seg}(2^n) \subseteq \text{rng} \text{NumberOnLevel}(n, T)$ .

Let  $T$  be a full tree and let  $n$  be a non empty natural number. The functor  $\text{FinSeqLevel}(n, T)$  yields a finite sequence of elements of  $T\text{-level}(n)$  and is defined by:

(Def. 3)  $\text{FinSeqLevel}(n, T) = (\text{NumberOnLevel}(n, T))^{-1}$ .

Let  $T$  be a full tree and let  $n$  be a non empty natural number. Observe that  $\text{FinSeqLevel}(n, T)$  is one-to-one.

Next we state a number of propositions:

(13) For every full tree  $T$  and for every non empty natural number  $n$  holds  $(\text{NumberOnLevel}(n, T))(\underbrace{\langle 0, \dots, 0 \rangle}_n) = 1$ .

(14) Let  $T$  be a full tree,  $n$  be a non empty natural number, and  $y$  be a  $n$ -tuple of *Boolean*. If  $y = \underbrace{\langle 0, \dots, 0 \rangle}_n$ , then  $(\text{NumberOnLevel}(n, T))(-y) = 2^n$ .

(15) For every full tree  $T$  and for every non empty natural number  $n$  holds  $(\text{FinSeqLevel}(n, T))(1) = \underbrace{\langle 0, \dots, 0 \rangle}_n$ .

- (16) Let  $T$  be a full tree,  $n$  be a non empty natural number, and  $y$  be a  $n$ -tuple of *Boolean*. If  $y = \underbrace{\langle 0, \dots, 0 \rangle}_n$ , then  $(\text{FinSeqLevel}(n, T))(2^n) = \neg y$ .
- (17) Let  $T$  be a full tree,  $n$  be a non empty natural number, and  $i$  be a natural number. If  $i \in \text{Seg}(2^n)$ , then  $(\text{FinSeqLevel}(n, T))(i) = \text{Rev}(n\text{-BinarySequence}(i - 1))$ .
- (18) For every full tree  $T$  and for every natural number  $n$  holds  $\overline{\overline{T\text{-level}(n)}} = 2^n$ .
- (19) For every full tree  $T$  and for every non empty natural number  $n$  holds  $\text{lenFinSeqLevel}(n, T) = 2^n$ .
- (20) For every full tree  $T$  and for every non empty natural number  $n$  holds  $\text{domFinSeqLevel}(n, T) = \text{Seg}(2^n)$ .
- (21) For every full tree  $T$  and for every non empty natural number  $n$  holds  $\text{rngFinSeqLevel}(n, T) = T\text{-level}(n)$ .
- (22) For every full tree  $T$  holds  $(\text{FinSeqLevel}(1, T))(1) = \langle 0 \rangle$ .
- (23) For every full tree  $T$  holds  $(\text{FinSeqLevel}(1, T))(2) = \langle 1 \rangle$ .
- (24) Let  $T$  be a full tree and  $n, i$  be non empty natural numbers. Suppose  $i \leq 2^{n+1}$ . Let  $F$  be a  $n$ -tuple of *Boolean*. If  $F = (\text{FinSeqLevel}(n, T))((i + 1) \div 2)$ , then  $(\text{FinSeqLevel}(n + 1, T))(i) = F \cap \langle (i + 1) \bmod 2 \rangle$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/card\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/card_1.html).
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/nat\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/nat_1.html).
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Introduction to trees. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/trees\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/trees_1.html).
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's Lemma. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/trees\\_2.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/trees_2.html).
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Joining of decorated trees. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/trees\\_4.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/trees_4.html).
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finseq\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finseq_1.html).
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek and Piotr Rudnicki. On defining functions on binary trees. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/bintree1.html>.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html).
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct\\_2.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html).
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html).
- [11] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/finseq\\_2.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/finseq_2.html).
- [12] Czesław Byliński. Some properties of restrictions of finite sequences. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 7, 1995. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/finseq\\_5.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol7/finseq_5.html).
- [13] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finset\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/finset_1.html).
- [14] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/euclid.html>.
- [15] Robert Milewski. Binary arithmetics. Binary sequences. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 10, 1998. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/binari\\_3.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/binari_3.html).
- [16] Takaya Nishiyama and Yasuho Mizuhara. Binary arithmetics. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/binarith.html>.
- [17] Konrad Raczkowski and Andrzej Nędzusiak. Series. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 3, 1991. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/series\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol3/series_1.html).

- [18] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/domain\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/domain_1.html).
- [19] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html>.
- [20] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/mcart\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/mcart_1.html).
- [21] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of real numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Addenda, 2003. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Addenda/numbers.html>.
- [22] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html).
- [23] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. [http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat\\_1.html](http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relat_1.html).
- [24] Edmund Woronowicz. Many-argument relations. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/margrell.html>.

*Received February 25, 1998*

*Published January 2, 2004*

---